TAP TO GO

BACK TO

KIOSK MENU

SAS® GLOBAL FORUM 2020

MARCH 29 - APRIL 1 WASHINGTON, DC





Child Maltreatment Report Outcomes: Do Reports from Mandated and Permissive Reporters Differ?

Maria Gandarilla Ocampo, MSW
Brett Drake, PhD
Melissa Jonson-Reid, PhD

Background

- Child maltreatment is a significant societal problem.
- Over a third of children in the United States will be the subject of a child maltreatment investigation in their lifetimes.¹
- One in 8 will be confirmed to have experienced maltreatment.²
- One in 17 children will be placed in out of home care.³
- All states have had mandated reporting laws in place since 1969, with this practice being federally mandated since the passage of CAPTA in 1974.
- Prior studies have attempted to understand if reports from mandated and permissive sources are similar or different in nature and outcomes.
- Most of this work has focused on a single outcome variable
 case substantiation.
- It has been consistently found that reports form mandates sources are more likely to be substantiated.
- Little work exists tracking longer term child maltreatment outcomes by report source beyond the initial substantiation decision (Giovannoni, 1995).⁴

Research Questions

- Do reports from mandated and permissive sources have different outcomes at the index report (substantiation and foster care entry)?
- Do reports from mandated and permissive sources have different trajectories beyond the index report (rereport, substantiated rereport and later foster care entry)?

Table 1. State Frequencies and Percentages or Mean and SD of the Sample (n=7213)						
Variables	n	% or Mean (SD)				
Children's Age	7213	5.09 (3.43)				
Neighborhood Income/10k	7213	2.46 (1.25)				
Abuse Type						
Neglect	4471	61.98%				
Physical Abuse	2007	27.82%				
Sexual Abuse	578	08.01%				
Mix	153	02.12				
Report Severity						
Severe	963	13.35%				
Sex						
Female	3503	48.57%				
Male	3710	51.43%				
Report Source						
Mandated	2951	40.91%				
Permissive	4262	59.09%				
Index Outcome						
Unsubstantiated	5551	76.96%				
Substantiated	1,662	23.04%				
Recurrence						
No	3493	48.43%				
Yes	3720	51.57%				
Recurrence Report Outcome						
Unsubstantiated	3548	80.73%				
Substantiated	847	19.27%				
Foster Care at Index						
No Entry	6892	95.55%				
Entry	321	4.45%				
Later Foster Care						
No	6065	84.08%				
Yes	1148	15.92%				

Methodology

- Primary analysis utilized a detailed cross-sector, longitudinal dataset collected in a midwestern metropolitan area from 1993 to 2009 (N=7,302).
- We followed children with index reports from mandated and permissive sources and tracked initial case disposition (substantiation, entry into care), as well as later recurrence events (any report, any substantiated report, subsequent entry into care).
- Bivariate analysis, survival analysis and logistic regressions using a range of control variables were performed.
- A separate set of similar analyses using the less detailed data available from the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) Child Files and the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) was conducted (N=about 1.8 million.

Results

Both datasets yielded similar results.

- Consistent with prior literature, mandated reporters were much more likely to have their reports substantiated
 - Almost 4xs (3xs bivariate) more likely at the state level and 2x at the national level)
- Index reports from mandated sources were also much more likely to transition to foster care
 - At the *state* level, they were 2.1x (4.5 bivariate) more likely, while 2x more likely at the *national* level.
- In stark contrast, we found that index event reporter status (mandated/permissive) did not predict report recurrence at the state or national level.
 - They were 4% (national level) and 8% (state level) less likely, though these were not significant.
- At the *state* level, index reports from mandated sources were 13 percent (3% at bivariate) less likely to predict later foster care entry, while at the *national* level they were 12% much more likely.



Child Maltreatment Report Outcomes: Do Reports from Mandated and Permissive Reporters Differ?

Maria Gandarilla Ocampo, MSW Brett Drake, PhD Melissa Jonson-Reid, PhD

Results

Table 2. Logistic Regression Models for State Level Outcomes (N=7,118)							
Predictor Variables	Model I: Substantiation Odds Ratio	Model II: Foster Care Odds Ratio	Model III: Recurrence Odds Ratio				
Substantiated Outcome (Unsubstantiated)		12.82****	1.11				
Reporter Type (Permissive)							
Mandated	3.92***	2.17****	0.87**				
Severity	1.30**	1.02	0.87				
Urbanicity (Non-City)	1.06	1.12	1.12 1.29***				
Maltreatment Type (Neglect)							
Physical Abuse	.68***	1.45**	0.74***				
Sexual Abuse	2.12****	0.44**	0.57***				
Mix	3.38***	1.32	1.02				
Child and Family Demographics							
Age	1.02*	0.87***	0.97***				
White (Non-White)	0.66****	***	0.76***				
Female (Male)	0.95	1.13	0.95				
Income/10k	0.92*	1.04	0.91**				
Mother H.S. Graduate (Non-Graduate)	0.88*	0.76*	0.83***				
Pseudo R ²	0.171	0.29	0.062				
Model Likelihood Ratio χ^2 (df)	856.09 (11)****	653.34***	339.51(12)****				

Reference Group is shown in parenthesis. *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001, ****p<.0001 one-tailed test

Results

Table 3: Bivariate Analysis Comparisons in Percentages											
		Index		Foster Care		Recurrence		Substantiation		Later Foster	
		Substantiation		Entry				2nd Report		Care	
		No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
State	Permissive	87.2%	12.8%	98.2%	1.8%	46.7%	53.4%	82.3%	17.7%	83.9%	16.1%
(n=7209)	Mandated	62.1%	37.9%	91.8%	8.2%	51.0%	49.0%	78.4%	21.6%	84.4%	15.6%
National (n=1,792,74	Permissive	85.1%	14.9%	97.1%	2.9%	57.8%	42.3%	90.3%	9.8%	97.5%	2.5%
4)	Mandated	69.8%	30.2%	94.3%	5.8%	59.4%	40.7%	89.6%	10.4%	97.2%	2.8%
State	Ratio	2.96		4.56		0.92		1.22		0.97	
National		2.	03	2.	00	0.	96	1.	06	1.	12

Discussion

- We confirm the longstanding finding that reports from mandated sources have higher initial substantiation rates.
- However, while cases from mandated and permissive reporters clearly differ in *immediate* outcomes, they are not very different in *longer term* outcomes.
- A primary goal of the child protective services system is providing preventative interventions to forestall subsequent maltreatment.
- Since we find children reported by either type of reporter to be at similar long-term risk, we would advise that screening protocols should not prioritize reports from professional sources.

Contact Information

Maria Gandarilla Ocampo: mgandarillaocampo@wustl.edu.

Dr. Brett Drake: Brettd@wustl.edu.

Dr. Melissa Jonson-Reid: jonsonrd@wustl.edu.