ABSTRACT

In the consumer credit industry, privacy is key and the scrutiny increases every day. When returning files to a client, we must ensure that they are depersonalized so that the client cannot match back to any personally identifiable information (PII). This means that we must locate any values for a variable that occur on a limited number of records and null them out (i.e., replace them with missing values). When you are working with large files that have more than one million observations and thousands of variables, locating variables with few unique values is a difficult task. While the FREQ procedure and the DATA step merging can accomplish the task, using first/last BY variable processing to locate the suspect values and hash objects to merge the data set back together might offer increased efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

This paper will demonstrate an easy application of the hash object for the audience of a beginner or experienced SAS® programmer. It may offer time savings compared to “traditional” SAS procedures. We will compare two methods of solving the business problem, tested on the “rule of 5” (i.e. the values must occur on at least 5 records): one using PROC FREQ and DATA step match-merge and the other using first/last by variable processing and hash object lookups. We will also utilize SAS metadata, called dictionary tables, in combination with SAS macro assignments in PROC SQL to avoid hard coding our programs.

USING DICTIONARY TABLES (METADATA) WITH PROC SQL MACRO ASSIGNMENT

To solve this business problem we must perform the same task on thousands of variables and macros easily lend themselves to such operations. But how will we list the thousands of variable names in SAS? Are we going to upload a file to read in or type out each name in the program? Fortunately neither step is necessary, because SAS automatically stores metadata in dictionary tables during each session. We can query them using PROC SQL and then push the information into macro variable lists to use in loops. No hardcoding is necessary. The first bit of code reads in our data file and creates a listing of the variables we will analyze:

```sas
/* read in raw data file */
data rawreturn;
  retain matchkey 0;
  infile dat('reged1_test.data') missover lrecl=4000 DELIMITER='20'x
    termstr=lf;
%macro inp;
  input
    %do i = 1 %to 999;
      attr&i
    %end;
  label
    %do j = 1 %to 999;
      attr&j = "Attribute #&j"
    %end;
  %mend;
%inp
  matchkey = matchkey + 1; /* create a match key */
run;
```
data master;
    set rawreturn(keep=matchkey);
run;

/* queries the name field from dictionary.columns table to count the number of variables (i.e. columns) in the data set rawreturn, minus the matchkey field which will not be considered because it is an anonymous key that does not need to be nulled out */

proc sql;
    /* creates a macro var called ttlcol*/
    select count(distinct name) into : ttlcol
        from dictionary.columns
    where libname = 'WORK'
        and memname = 'RAWRETURN' /* data set name */
        and upcase(name) not in ('MATCHKEY');
%let ttlcol = &ttlcol; /* removes blanks */

    /* dynamically assign macro variables from name, type and label metadata (i.e. This simple PROC SQL statement will assign 3 macro variables var, type and lbl for each record variable in the rawreturn data set. We did not have to tell it how many records to look for because we stored that number in ttlcol.) */

    select name, type, label
        into : var1 - : var&ttlcol, : type1 - : type&t tlcol, : lbl1-:lbl&ttlcol
        from dictionary.columns
    where libname='WORK'
        and memname='RAWRETURN'
        and upcase(name) not in ('MATCHKEY');
quit;
%put Example : variable name = &var1 variable type = &type1 variable label = &lbl1;

Figure 1 is an example of the macro variables created from the PROC SQL code above for variable #1.

13 options symbolgen;
SYMBOLGEN: Macro variable VAR1 resolves to attr1
SYMBOLGEN: Macro variable TYPE1 resolves to num
SYMBOLGEN: Macro variable LBL1 resolves to Attribute #1
14 %put Example : variable name = &var1 variable type = &type1 variable label = &lbl1;
Example : variable name = attr1 variable type = num variable label = Attribute #1

Figure 1. SAS Log Window to show macro variable #1 resolved
Table 1 is an example of the data set rawreturn:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>matchkey</th>
<th>attr1</th>
<th>attr2</th>
<th>attr3</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>attr999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>5880</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>5880</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2630</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>5880</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>5880</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. rawreturn

**PROC FREQ APPROACH**

Now that we have a list of variables stored in macro variables, we insert them in a loop and null out those values that occur on less than 5 observations to depersonalize the data. Our first attempt at solving our problem involves running PROC FREQ on every one of the variables individually and looking for any values which appear on less than 5 observations. Then the output data set with counts by variable values is subset to only those where count is less than 5. We'll take a look at the code here:

```
%macro nullitf;
  /* loop through all of the variables in rawreturn data set */
  %do i=1 %to &ttlcol;
    /* sort out one variable at a time */
    proc sort data=rawreturn(keep=matchkey &&var&i) out=sorted_&i;
      by &&var&i;
    run;

    /* run a freq on that variable and only keep the values that occur on < 5 obs */
    proc freq data=sorted_&i(keep=&&var&i) noprint;
      tables &&var&i/out=freq_&i(where=(count lt 5) drop=percent);
    run;

    /* merge the “problem” values back onto sorted data set and null them */
    /* now we have one data set per variable with values nulled out where necessary */
    data nulled_&i;
      merge sorted_&i(in=o) freq_&i (in=l keep=&&var&i);
      /* we merge by the variable &&var&i here and not the matchkey */
      by &&var&i;
      if o;

      /* the call missing() routine sets the variable to missing if it exists in the freq_&i data set which has values occurring on <5 obs */
```

3
We will show an example of the macro processing for the first variable attr1. Table 2 shows the data set sorted_1 which is the raw return data set sorted by attr1. Notice we show the first four rows in bold red because the values 172, 183 and 184 occur on less than 5 observations. The frequency procedure counts these values and outputs them in Table 3. In the final Table 4 we see that the values 172, 183 and 184 have been set to missing but the values 241 remain intact.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>matchkey</th>
<th>attr1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. sorted_1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>attr1</th>
<th>count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. freq_1
To create the final return data set, the variables are merged together using the DATA step match-merge.

```
%macro createf;
    /* one DATA step and a loop merges all the nulled_&i data sets back togethe r on matchkey */

data hsh.final_return_frq;
    length matchkey 8.;
    merge master(in=m)
        %do i=1 %to &ttlcol;
            nulled_&i
        %end;
    by matchkey;
    if m; /* left join on matchkey from master data set */
    run;

    proc datasets lib=work;
        delete nulled: ;
    run; quit;
%mend;
createf
```
The macro `%createf` merges all the nulled_.&i data sets together on matchkey using a DATA step match-merge like in Figure 2. The final data set is found in Table 5.

### Table 5. hsh.final_return_fq

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>matchkey</th>
<th>attr1</th>
<th>attr2</th>
<th>attr3</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>attr999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIRST./LAST. BY VARIABLES AND HASH OBJECT APPROACH**

In the alternative solution we create a counter variable on the sorted data set to count individual values and only keep those which appear on less than 5 observations. Then a DATA step hash object nulls out the appropriate values and another hash object merges the nulled data sets together. This alternative approach code is found here:

```sas
%macro nullith;
    /* loop through all the variables in rawreturn data set */
    %do i=1 %to &ttlcol;
        /* sort out one variable at a time */
        proc sort data=rawreturn(keep=matchkey &&var&i) out=sorted_&i;
            by &&var&i;
        run;
```
/* use first. and last. variables to count the values of a by-group variable */
/* keep any values that occur on less than 5 records */

data firstlast_&i;
  set sorted_&i(keep=&var&i);
  by &var&i;
  /* start counter at 1 for each &var&i value */
  if first.&var&i then count = 1;
  else count + 1;
  /* only keep last instance of the variable &var&i value if count is
  less than 5 (ie. value occurs on < 5 observations) */
  if last.&var&i and count lt 5;
run;

/* null out the necessary values by looking them up in the hash object
created from the firstlast_&i data set */

data nulled_&i(drop=rc);
  if _n_ = 1 then do;
    if 0 then set firstlast_&i(drop=count); /* set up PDV */
    /* declare and instantiate the hash object n&i created from the data
    set firstlast_&i */
    declare hash n&i(dataset: "work.firstlast_&i");
    n&i..definekey("&var&i"); /* define the key as &var&i */
    n&i..definedata("&var&i"); /* define the data as &var&i */
    n&i..definedone(); /* done defining the hash object */
  end;
set sorted_&i;

/* rc = return code that says whether or not the key variable &var&i
has a match in the hash object n&i (=0 if there is a match, <>0
otherwise)*/
rc = n&i..find(key:&var&i);

/* nulls values out if match found */
if rc eq 0 then call missing(&var&i);
run;
%end;
proc datasets lib=work;
delete sorted: firstlast: ;
run; quit;
%mend;
%nullith

The %nullith macro begins the same way the %nullitf did, with a PROC SORT, so you can see Table 2 for
details on sorted_1. The nulled_1 data set is also the same so see Table 4. The difference in this code
is where we replace the PROC FREQ with a DATA step and use the first./last. by variables to count the
distinct values for attr1. Table 6 is the data set firstlast_1 and contains values which occurred on less
than 5 records for the variables attr1. We can see that it matches Table 3 so we get the same result with either %nullith or %nullitf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>attr1</th>
<th>count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. firstlast_1

The %createh macro declares a hash object for each of the nulled_&i data sets. Then instead of a DATA step match-merge, it uses those hash objects to lookup the match key and appends all the variables that have been nulled where appropriate. Instead of reading all the nulled_&i data sets like the previous solution, it loads them all into hash objects in memory to access using FIND(). Let’s take a look at this code:

```plaintext
%macro createh;
  data hsh.final_return_hsh(drop=rc);
    length matchkey 8.;
    /* Set up HASH objects for each of the &&ttlcol columns */
    if _n_ = 1 then do;
      %do i=1 %to &ttlcol;
        /* Set up metadata for hash object (i.e. adds variable info to PDV) */
        if 0 then set nulled_&i;
        /* declare and instantiate the hash object h&i created from the data set nulled_&i */
        declare hash h&i(dataset: "work.nulled_&i");
        h&i..definekey("matchkey"); /* define the key as matchkey */
        h&i..definedata("&var&i"); /* define the data as &var&i */
        h&i..definedone(); /* done defining the hash object */
      %end;
    end;
  set master;

  /* use the &ttlcol hash objects to locate the values for the variables that are now nulled where necessary */
  %do i=1 %to &ttlcol;
    rc = h&i..find(key:matchkey); /* return code for hash method */
    /* if lookup failed, assign a special missing value */
    if rc ne 0 then do;
      %if &&type&i eq num %then %do;
        &&var&i = .A;
      %end;
      %else %do;
        &&var&i = 'Z';
      %end;
    end;
%end;
```


else do;
   /* updates the variable &&var&&i from hash object h&&i using matchkey */
   h&&i..find(key:matchkey);
end;
label &&var&&i = "&&lbl&&i";
%end;
run;

proc datasets lib=work;
   delete nulled: ;
run; quit;
%mend;
%createh

COMPARISON OF THE TWO METHODS

Either method gives the desired output, but the processing is quite different. Depending on your system settings, data set and/or server load, you may find one more advantageous than the other. Using PROC FREQ, significant disk space is taken up by utility files that are written to the work directory. If space is limited and there are many unique values for the variables, you may easily run out of space. In the PROC FREQ solution, we need to read multiple data sets while merging the nulled fields together by the match key to create the final data set and this takes a significant amount of I/O operations. This solution is cleaner to read and uses basic procedures, but may take longer to process than the hash solution.

The hash solution is different because most of the processing is done in memory. In the DATA step, the first./last. by variables count the variable values. Then we lookup these values by loading the count data sets into memory once using a hash object and the FIND() method to lookup the values that must be nulled out. This is faster than the time associated with a DATA step match-merge which reads through the data sets sequentially. In the last step, we create the final data set by match key using a hash object for each nulled data set, which again happens in memory and uses less disk space.

CONCLUSION

There are times when system constraints or large data sets force us to learn new programming techniques. The hash object is extremely useful for lookups, eliminating the need to rely on the DATA step match-merge or PROC SQL joins and reducing run time due to its use of memory instead of disk space. This technique provided the optimal solution to depersonalize the client file in a timely manner for this particular project as PROC FREQ quickly ran out of space. The memory settings were managed carefully to accommodate the multiple large hash objects and the columns were partitioned because we only had so much memory allocated.

A few sample data sets were run through both methods and disk space and real time measurements were compared (see Table 7). Surprisingly both methods used a similar amount of disk space but, depending on the structure of the data set, one method was faster than the other. Please keep in mind that system load had a significant impact on the run times so this was just for demonstration purposes only and multiple runs would have been tested, if time allowed, for accurate benchmarking.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>Data Set Structure</th>
<th>#Vars</th>
<th>#Obs</th>
<th>FREQ work space (kb)</th>
<th>FREQ utility space (kb)</th>
<th>FREQ real time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>HASH work space (kb)</th>
<th>HASH utility space (kb)</th>
<th>HASH real time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rawreturn</td>
<td>all numeric fields, many unique values</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>820 136</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00:05:18</td>
<td>823 104</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00:03:07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample2</td>
<td>mixed numeric and character, PII data with many unique values</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td>966 1816</td>
<td>240 123904</td>
<td>00:07:19</td>
<td>966 1888</td>
<td>240 123904</td>
<td>00:19:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample3</td>
<td>mixed numeric and character, attribute data mixed with PII</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>3,094,742</td>
<td>635 9388</td>
<td>173 408256</td>
<td>19:47:28</td>
<td>635 91492</td>
<td>173 408256</td>
<td>18:54:04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Method tests for disk space and real run time
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