

Paper 067-2007

Do Which? Loop, Until or While? A Review Of Data Step And Macro Algorithms

Ronald J. Fehd, Centers for Disease Control, and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper reviews the three looping constructs: loop-repeat, do until and do while and offers examples of their use. The purpose of this paper is to provide both pseudo-code and examples so that programmers may understand the difference in logic and make an appropriate choice for their algorithm. The two data step loop processing verbs: continue (return to loop-top), and leave (exit) are illustrated. Macro examples using %goto are shown for continue and leave. The Whitlock sub-setting loop — also known as the Do-Whitlock (DOW) loop — and double-DOW are illustrated.

Topics covered:

```

loop:      loop ...repeat using goto
           do until(...)
           do while(...)
test in loop: if condition then ... continue
              if condition then ... leave
              macro %goto
do Whitlock: do until(last.var)

```

Audience: intermediate users and macro programmers.

Keywords: do until, do while, Do-Whitlock, double DOW, DOW, until(last.by-var), loop, repeat, subsetting, until, while, Whitlock do-loop,

INTRODUCTION

SAS® software provides two loop control verbs: `until` and `while`. The difference between the two keywords is that `while` tests its condition at the top of the loop and `until` tests its condition at the bottom on the loop. This is not obvious because the syntax requires both verbs to come after the keyword `do`: `do while(...)`

```
do until(...).
```

Many questions to the SAS-L listserve are from beginning programmers who do not understand the difference between these two loop control constructs nor the difference in logic needed to implement the same algorithm.

This paper provide a basic pseudo-code algorithm with code examples illustrating the loop-repeat, do until, and do while implementations.

Contents

Loop-Repeat Algorithm	2
Do Which?	2
Loop Repeat	3
Do While	3
Do Until	3
Do Iterate	3
Macro loops	4
Testing during loop	4
Continue or Leave	4
Macro %goto	5
Using logic in conditions	5
Using boolean logic	5
Combining iteration with loop control	6
Whitlock subsetting: do until(last.by-var)	6
Double-DOW	7
Conclusion	7
Bibliography	8

LOOP-REPEAT ALGORITHM

This is the basic pseudo-code of a loop-repeat block. All algorithms implement these eight steps. As shown in the next pseudo-code example SAS provides some elaborate extensions.

```

1  initial: assignment(s)
2  loop   :
3      pre-test assignment(s)
4  test   : if condition then goto done
5      post-test assignment(s)
6  iterate: assignment
7  repeat : goto loop
8  done   :

```

SAS provides extensions within its loop-repeat block.

Dorfman [3] discusses the details of the iteration process where the loop control variable `Index` is initialized with the `from` value and incremented using the `by` value.

```

1  initial: assignment(s): e.g.: allocate array(s)
2  assign : Index = value-1
3  loop   : *do;
4  test-1 : if Index gt value-last then goto done
5  test-2 : if while-condition then goto done
6      pre-test assignment(s)
7  test-3 : if continue-condition then goto iterate
8  test-4 : if leave-condition then goto done
9      post-test assignment(s)
10 test-5 : if until-condition then goto done
11 iterate: Index = next value
12 repeat : *end; goto loop
13 done   :

```

DO WHICH?

The difference between `while` and `until` is obfuscated by their placement at the top of the loop construct. As shown in loop algorithm: SAS enhancements, above, the `while-condition` is evaluated at the top of the loop, test-2, line 5, whereas the `until-condition` is evaluated at the bottom of the loop, test-5, line 10.

The following examples show that care must be taken in understanding the logical operators (see *Comparison Operators* in *SAS Language Reference, Concepts*) used in the `while(...)` and `until(...)` tests. Compare the sets of values in each and note that they are exclusive: `lt`: less than, `ge`: greater than or equal.

LOOP REPEAT

We can build a loop in the data step using labels and goto statements. In this simple example I illustrate each of the steps in the pseudo-code loop-repeat algorithm shown above.

```

do-loops.sas
2  *initial;; I = 1;
3  loop:      put I=;
4             if I eq 2 then goto done;
5  *iterate;; I+ +1;
6  *repeat ;; goto loop;
7  done: put 'loop-repeat: ' I=;

```

```

do-loops.log
51  I=1
52  I=2
53  loop-repeat: I=2

```

DO WHILE

The while test is evaluated at the top of the loop.

```

do-loops.sas
8  J = 1;
9  do while(J lt 3);
10     put J=;
11     J+ +1;
12     end;
13  put 'do J: ' J=;

```

```

do-loops.log
54  J=1
55  J=2
56  do J: J=3

```

DO UNTIL

The until test is evaluated at the bottom of the loop.

```

do-loops.sas
14  K = 1;
15  do until(K ge 3);
16     put K=;
17     K+ +1;
18     end;
19  put 'do K: ' K=;

```

```

do-loops.log
57  K=1
58  K=2
59  do K: K=3

```

DO ITERATE

Compare the iteration with the do until and do while examples above. It is hidden in the do L statement, line 21, and happens between lines 22 and 23.

```

do-loops.sas
20  L = 0;
21  do L = 1 to 2;
22     put L=;
23     end;
24  put 'do L: ' L=;

```

```

do-loops.log
60  L=1
61  L=2
62  do L: L=3

```

MACRO LOOPS

Macro loops follow the same logic as the data step loops.

```

28  _____ do-loops.sas _____
29  %local I; %let I = 1;
30  %loop:   %put I=&I.;
31  %*test;  %if &I. eq 2 %then %goto done;
32  %*iterate; %let I = %eval(&I. +1);
33  %*repeat; %goto loop;
34  %done:   %put loop-repeat: I=&I.;
35
36  %local J; %let J = 1;
37  %do %while(&J lt 3);
38  %put J=&J.;
39  %let J = %eval(&J. +1);
40  %end;
41  %put do J: J=&J.;
42
43  %local K; %let K = 1;
44  %do %until(&K ge 3);
45  %put K=&K.;
46  %let K = %eval(&K. +1);
47  %end;
48  %put do K: K=&K.;
49
50  %local L;
51  %do L = 1 %to 2;
52  %put L=&L;
53  %end;
54  %put do L: L=&L.;

```

```

_____ do-loops.log _____
98  I=1
99  I=2
100 loop-repeat: I=2

```

```

_____ do-loops.log _____
101 J=1
102 J=2
103 do J: J=3

```

```

_____ do-loops.log _____
104 K=1
105 K=2
106 do K: K=3

```

```

_____ do-loops.log _____
107 L=1
108 L=2
109 do L: L=3

```

TESTING DURING LOOP

CONTINUE OR LEAVE

Some loop processing algorithms require either a skip pattern — return to top of loop: `continue` — or a conditional exit: `leave`.

```

_____ do-loop-tests.sas _____
1  DATA _Null_;
2  do I = 1 to 3;
3  put I= 'pre-test';
4  if I le 2 then continue;
5  put I= 'post test';
6  end;
7  put 'done continue: ' I= ;
8
9  do J = 1 to 3;
10 put J= 'pre-test';
11 if J gt 2 then leave;
12 put J= 'post test';
13 end;
14 put 'done leave: ' J= ;

```

```

_____ do-loop-tests.log _____
41  I=1 pre-test
42  I=2 pre-test
43  I=3 pre-test
44  I=3 post test
45  done continue: I=4
46  J=1 pre-test
47  J=1 post test
48  J=2 pre-test
49  J=2 post test
50  J=3 pre-test
51  done leave: J=3

```

MACRO %GOTO

There are no comparable %continue nor %leave statements in the macro language. However, as shown in the next examples they can be implemented using labels and %goto.

_____ do-loop-tests.sas _____

```

17 %Macro Do_Tests(i=,j=);
18 %do I = 1 %to 3;
19     %put I=&I. pre-test;
20     %if &I le 2 %then %goto continue;
21     %put I=&I. post test;
22     %continue:
23 %end;
24 %put done continue: I=&I. ;
25
26 %do J = 1 %to 3;
27     %put J=&J. pre-test;
28     %if &J. gt 2 %then %goto leave;
29     %put J=&J. post test;
30 %end;
31 %leave:
32 %put done leave: J=&J. ;

```

_____ do-loop-tests.log _____

```

76 I=1 pre-test
77 I=2 pre-test
78 I=3 pre-test
79 I=3 post test
80 done continue: I=4
81 J=1 pre-test
82 J=1 post test
83 J=2 pre-test
84 J=2 post test
85 J=3 pre-test
86 done leave: J=3

```

USING LOGIC IN CONDITIONS

USING BOOLEAN LOGIC

The following are equivalent: do while(not EndoFile)
do until(EndoFile)

This is an important difference to understand: that the same algorithm can be implement using the two verbs, but the logic is different because of when the condition is evaluated.

Note that boolean values are in (False, not False). The preceeding statement means that only zero (0) is false; until and while evaluation treats negative as well as positive values as True.

_____ do-boolean.sas _____

```

2 DATA do_until_endofile;
3 do until(EndoFile);
4     set SAShelp.Class
5       end = EndoFile;
6     output;
7 end; stop;

```

_____ do-boolean.log _____

```

34 NOTE: There were 19 observations read from the data set
35 SASHELP.CLASS.
36 NOTE: The data set WORK.DO_UNTIL_ENDOFILE has 19 observations
37 and 5 variables.

```

_____ do-boolean.sas _____

```

9 DATA do_while_not_endofile;
10 do while(not EndoFile);
11     set SAShelp.Class
12       end = EndoFile;
13     output;
14 end; stop;

```

_____ do-boolean.log _____

```

51 NOTE: There were 19 observations read from the data set
52 SASHELP.CLASS.
53 NOTE: The data set WORK.DO_WHILE_NOT_ENDOFILE has 19
54 observations and 5 variables.

```

COMBINING ITERATION WITH LOOP CONTROL

An iteration loop may be combined with an `until` condition. As noted above care should be taken to ensure that the variable tested in the `until` has boolean values, — in (0,1) — only.

Note: line 38, `done: I=2` shows that the evaluation of the `until` is done before the iteration.

```

1  _____ do-I-eq-until.sas _____
2  DATA do_I_eq_until;
3  *initial; retain Done 0;
4  do I = 1 to 3
5      until(Done);
6      put I=;
7      output;
8      Done = (I ge 2);%*boolean;
9  end;
10 put 'done: ' I=;
11 stop; run;

```

```

36  _____ do-I-eq-until.log _____
37  I=1
38  I=2
39  done: I=2

```

WHITLOCK SUBSETTING: DO UNTIL(LAST.BY-VAR)

Ian Whitlock [sas1.52734 5, in Feb., 2000] posted a solution to SAS-L with a `do until(last.id)` which has come to be known as the Do-Whitlock (DOW) loop. Take the time to squint at this code and figure out what is happening.

What is missing? The subsetting if `last.id` then `output;` statement. (Inserted after line 44.)

```

39  _____ sas-l-post-052734.txt _____
40  data t ( keep = id v1 - v6 ) ;
41  array v (2,3) ;
42  array var ( 3 ) ;
43  do until ( last.id ) ;
44  set w ;
45  by id ;
46  do i = 1 to dim ( var ) ;
47  v ( method , i ) = var ( i ) ;
48  end ;
49  end ;
50  run ;

```

Whitlock's subsetting loop can be more easily understood with an explicit `output;` statement.

```

2  _____ do-Whitlock.sas _____
3  Proc Sort data = SAShelp.Class
4  out = Class;
5  by Sex Name;
6
7  DATA do_Whitlock_last;
8  do until(EndoFile);
9  do until(last.Sex);
10 set Class end = EndoFile;
11 by Sex;
12 end;
13 output;
14 put Sex= Name=;
15 end;
16 stop; run;

```

```

53  _____ do-Whitlock.log _____
54  Sex=F Name=Mary
55  Sex=M Name=William
56  NOTE: There were 19 observations read from the data set
57  WORK.CLASS.
58  NOTE: The data set WORK.DO_WHITLOCK_LAST has 2 observations and
59  5 variables.

```

The DOW can be used with `first.by-var` as well.

```

67 _____ do-Whitlock.log _____
68 17      DATA do_Whitlock_first;
69 18      do until(EndoFile);
70 19          do until(first.Sex);
71 20              set Class end = EndoFile;
72 21              by Sex;
73 22              end;
74 23          output;
75 24          put Sex= Name=;
76 25          end;
77 26      stop; run;
78
79 Sex=F Name=Alice
80 Sex=M Name=Alfred
81 NOTE: There were 19 observations read from the data set
82 WORK.CLASS.
83 NOTE: The data set WORK.DO_WHITLOCK_FIRST has 2 observations
    and 5 variables.

```

DOUBLE-DOW

Paul Dorfman and Howard Schrier have posted several examples to SAS-L using the DOW algorithm and showing expanded usages. Here is an example.

```

42 _____ do-double-dow.log _____
43 6      DATA do_double_Class;
44 7      do until(EndoFile);
45 8          do until(first.Sex);
46 9              set Class end = EndoFile;
47 10              by Sex;
48 11              end;
49 12          put Sex= Name=;
50 13          output;
51 14          do until(last.Sex);
52 15              set Class end = EndoFile;
53 16              by Sex;
54 17              end;
55 18          put Sex= Name=;
56 19          output;
57 20          end;
58 21      stop; run;
59
60 Sex=F Name=Alice
61 Sex=F Name=Mary
62 Sex=M Name=Alfred
63 Sex=M Name=William
64 NOTE: There were 11 observations read from the data set
65 WORK.CLASS.
66 NOTE: There were 19 observations read from the data set
67 WORK.CLASS.
68 NOTE: The data set WORK.DO_DOUBLE_CLASS has 4 observations and
    5 variables.

```

CONCLUSION

The two do-loop verbs `until` and `while` are distinguished by the execution of their loop-exit tests. To implement the same algorithm requires using different test conditions.

The DOW and double-DOW are an interesting use of the `do until` loops.

Suggested Readings

- Cassell [1] shows a use of the DOW with the prx (Perl) functions.
- Chakravarthy [2] shows how to use the DOW for the LOCF algorithm.
- Dunn and Chung [4, Examples 9–10] show how to calculate sum of variables using dougle-DOW.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] David L. Cassell. Double your pleasure, double your words. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual SAS® Users Group International Conference, 2004*. URL <http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/sugi29/046-29.pdf>. Coders' Corner, 5 pp.; using the Whitlock DO-loop with the PRX* (Perl) functions to find doubled words in lines of text.
- [2] Venky Chakravarthy. The DOW (not that DOW!!!) and the LOCF in clinical trials. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual SAS® Users Group International Conference, 2003*. URL <http://www2.sas.com/proceedings/sugi28/099-28.pdf>. Coders' Corner, 4 pp.; explanation of default reset of non-retained vars to missing when using first. and last. processing; do until(last.pt) replaces retain and if first.pt processing.
- [3] Paul M. Dorfman. The magnificent Do. In *Proceedings of the 9th Annual Southeast SAS® Users Group Conference, 2002*. URL <http://www.devenezia.com/papers/other-authors/sesug-2002/TheMagnificentDO.pdf>. Topic: sequential processing, do-loop; info: do-loop terminology, DOW-loop: until(last.var), optimization, simplification.
- [4] Toby Dunn and Chang Y. Chung. Retaining, laggin, leading, and interleaving data. In *Proceedings of the Pharmaceutical SAS® Users Group Conference, 2005*. URL <http://pharmasug.org/2005/TU09.pdf>. Tutorials, 8 pp.; topic: retain and lag functions; info: merge, reset of values to missing, DOW-loop: until(last.var).
- [5] Ian Whitlock. Re: SAS novice question. In *Archives of the SAS-L listserve*, 16 Feb. 2000. URL <http://www.listserv.uga.edu/cgi-bin/wa?A2=ind0002C&L=sas-l&P=R5155>. First use of do until(last.id).

SAS and all other SAS Institute Inc. product or service names are registered trademarks or trademarks of SAS Institute Inc. In the USA and other countries ® indicates USA registration.

Author: Ronald Fehd <mailto:RJF2@cdc.gov>
Centers for Disease Control
4770 Buford Hwy NE
Atlanta GA 30341-3724

about the author:

education:	B.S. Computer Science, U/Hawaii,	1986
	SUGI attendee	since 1989
	SAS-L reader	since 1994
experience:	programmer: 20+ years	
	data manager at CDC, using SAS: 18+ years	
	author: 10+ SUG papers	
SAS-L:	author: 3,000+ messages to SAS-L since 1997	
	Most Valuable SAS-L contributor: 2001, 2003	

Document Production: This paper was typeset in L^AT_EX. For further information about using L^AT_EX to write your SUG paper, consult the SAS-L archives:

<http://www.listserv.uga.edu/cgi-bin/wa?S1=sas-l>
 Search for :
 The subject is or contains: LaTeX
 The author's address : RJF2
 Since : 01 June 2003