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Introduction

The NETAPP® EF550™ flash storage array delivers excellent performance and scalability for SAS® workloads. The array can be configured for entry-level to enterprise-level storage needs. This technical paper will outline performance test results performed by SAS, and general considerations for setup and tuning to maximize SAS Application performance with NETAPP® EF550™ flash storage arrays.

An overview of the flash testing will be discussed first, including the purpose of the testing, a detailed description of the actual test bed and workload, followed by a description of the test hardware. A report on test results will follow, accompanied by a list of tuning recommendations arising from the testing. This will be followed by a general conclusions and a list of practical recommendations for implementation with SAS®.

EF550 Performance Testing

Performance testing was conducted with the EF550 Array to garnish a relative measure of how well it performed with heavy workloads compared with a traditional spinning disk array configured for high performance. Of particular interest was whether the flash storage would yield substantial benefits for SAS large-block, sequential IO pattern. In this section of the paper, we will describe the performance tests, the hardware used for testing and comparison, and the test results.

Test Bed Description

The test bed chosen for the flash testing was a mixed analytics SAS workload. This was a scaled workload of computation and IO oriented tests to measure concurrent, mixed job performance.

The actual workload chosen was composed of 19 individual SAS tests: 10 computation, 2 memory, and 7 IO intensive tests. Each test was composed of multiple steps, some relying on existing data stores, with others (primarily computation tests) relying on generated data. The tests were chosen as a matrix of long running and shorter-running tests (ranging in duration from approximately 5 minutes to 1 hour and 53 minutes. In some instances the same test (running against replicated data streams) is run concurrently, and/or back-to-back in a serial fashion, to achieve an average 30 simultaneous test-mix of heavy IO, computation (fed by significant IO in many cases), and Memory stress. In all, to achieve the 30-concurrent test matrix, 101 tests were launched.

Data and IO Throughput

The IO tests input an aggregate of approximately 300 Gigabytes of data, the computation over 120 Gigabytes of data – for a single instance of each test. Much more data is generated as a result of test-step activity, and threaded kernel procedures such as SORT. As stated, some of the same tests run concurrently using different data, and some of the same tests are run back to back, to garnish a total average of 30 tests running concurrently. This raises the total IO throughput of the workload significantly. In its 1 hour and 45 minute span, the workload quickly jumps to 900 MB/sec, climbs steadily to 2.0 GB/s, and achieves a peak of 2.5 GB/s throughput before declining again. This is a good average “SAS Shop” throughput characteristic for a single-instance OS (e.g. non-grid). This throughput is from all three primary SAS file systems: SASDATA, SASWORK, and UTILLOC.
SAS File Systems Utilized

There are 3 primary file systems, all XFS, involved in the flash testing:

- SAS Permanent Data File Space - SASDATA
- SAS Working Data File Space – SASWORK
- SAS Utility Data File Space – UTILLOC

For this workload’s code set, data, result space, working and utility space the following space allocations were made:

- SASDATA – 3 Terabytes
- SASWORK – 3 Terabytes
- UTILLOC – 2 Terabytes

This gives you a general “size” of the application’s on-storage footprint. It is important to note that throughput, not capacity, is the key factor in configuring storage for SAS performance. Fallow space was left on the file systems to facilitate write performance and avoid write issues due to garbage collection when running short on cell space.

Hardware Description

The host server information the tests were performed on is as follows:

**Host:** HP DL980 G7  
**OS:** Linux version RHEL 6.5, Kernel Version 2.6.32-431.el6.x86_64 (mockbuild@x86-023.build.eng.bos.redhat.com) (gcc version 4.4.7 20120313 (Red Hat 4.4.7-4) (GCC)) #1 SMP Sun Nov 10 22:19:54 EST 2013  
**Memory:** 505 GB  
**CPU:** 64 x Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU X7560 @ 2.27GHz GenuineIntel, Model 46, CPU Family 6, Stepping 6, 2266 Mhz, 24576 KB Cache

Storage

Comparative performance testing was conducted between a traditional spinning disk array, and the NETAPP EF550 Flash array. A comparison of the two arrays follows:

Traditional Spinning Disk Array Definition:

- Number and types of disks: 192x 300GB 15K rpm FC Drives in an 84u footprint  
- 4 x 16 Port 8 Gb Controllers, 2 Ports from each Controller were attached to the test host for a total of 8 x 8 Gb throughput  
- Raid levels: Multiple raid5 sets (3data/1parity disk per set)  
- File System Type: XFS  
- File System/Logical Volume Arrangement: File Systems /SASDATA, SASWORK, /UTILLOC are placed across 1 Logical Volume utilizing all 192 spindles in the array  
- Host Bus Adapters: 8 x 8 Gb Fiber Channel Ports with ACTIVE/ACTIVE multi-pathing  
- 8 GB/sec potential throughput for the array
The NETAPP EF550 Flash Array Definition:

- 2 – Controllers, each with 4 – 8 Gb/sec FC adapters, yielding 32 Gb/sec throughput per Controller, 64 Gb/sec per Array. The EF550 has 16 GB/sec FC connections, but we only had 8 GB FC connections on our host server
- No RAID stripe
- 1 – Disk Shelves consisting of 24 800 GB SSD’s each yielding 744 GB for a total of 17.4TB of raw usable flash storage in an 2u footprint
- The EF550 Array was configured into 8 luns; 4 for the /SASDATA and 4 for /SASWORK file systems (/UTILLLOC was placed under /SASWORK)
- The file system stripe crossed all 8 luns using lvm striping, “lvmcreate –i8”, and formatted with XFS
- 8 GB/sec potential throughput for the array, although the EF550 is capable of 12, again our host connections were the limitation

Test Results

The mixed analytic workload was run in a quiet setting (no competing activity on server or storage) for both the spinning disk storage and the NETAPP EF550 array. Multiple runs were committed to standardize results.

We worked with NETAPP engineers to tune the host and IO pacing (see General Considerations and Tuning Recommendations below).

The tuning options noted in General Considerations and Tuning Recommendations below apply to LINUX operating systems for Red Hat RHEL 6.5, work with your NETAPP vendor for appropriate tuning mechanisms for any different OS, or the particular processors used in your system.

Table 2 below shows the performance difference between the completely tuned NETAPP EF550 system and the spinning disk system. This table shows an aggregate SAS FULLSTIMER Real Time, summed of all the 101 tests submitted. It also shows Summed Memory utilization, Summed User CPU Time, and Summed System CPU Time in Minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage System</th>
<th>Elapsed Real Time in Minutes – Workload Aggregate</th>
<th>Memory Used in GB – Workload Aggregate</th>
<th>User CPU Time in Minutes – Workload Aggregate</th>
<th>System CPU Time in Minutes – Workload Aggregate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinning Storage</td>
<td>1482.10</td>
<td>56.07</td>
<td>1750.63</td>
<td>282.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2u NETAPP EF550</td>
<td>1462.97</td>
<td>56.07</td>
<td>1742.77</td>
<td>274.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate DELTA</td>
<td>-19.95</td>
<td>+0.00</td>
<td>-7.86</td>
<td>-11.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Total Workload Elapsed Time, Memory, and User & CPU Time Reduction by using NETAPP EF550 array

The first column in shows the total elapsed run time in minutes, summed from each of the jobs in the workload. It can be seen that the NETAPP EF550 array reduced the aggregate run time of the workload by approximately 20 minutes.

Another way to review the results is to look at the ratio of total CPU time (User + System CPU) against the total Real time. Table 3 below shows the ratio of total CPU Time to Real time. If the ratio is less than 1, then the CPU is spending time waiting on resources, usually IO. The Spinning Storage array is a very large, expensive, and fast array. The Real time/CPU time ratio of the workload on the Spinning Storage array was 1.157, which is excellent.

The NETAPP EF550 array achieved approximately the same performance at 1.154 with a 2 rack unit (ru) single array. Both of these numbers indicate the storage was keeping up with the CPU demand and provided excellent performance. The standard deviation and spread of the ratios for spinning storage is very slightly higher than the NETAPP test.

The 1.154 Mean Ratio associated with the NETAPP EF550 array indicates a much more CPU bound process (e.g. not spending time waiting on IO). For the IO intensive SAS Application set, this is the goal you wish to achieve! The question arises, “How can I get above a ratio of 1.0?” Because some SAS procedures are threaded, you can actually use more CPU Cycles than wall-clock, or Real time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage System</th>
<th>Mean Ratio of CPU/Real-time - Ratio</th>
<th>Mean Ratio of CPU/Real-time - Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Mean Ratio of CPU/Real-time - Range of Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinning Storage</td>
<td>1.157</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>2.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NETAPP EF550</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>2.228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Frequency Mean, Standard Deviation, and Range of Values for CPU/Real Time Ratios. Less than 1 indicates IO inefficiency.

In short our test results were very pleasing. It showed that the NETAPP EF550 Array, when tuned at install with recommended parameters provides excellent performance for SAS workloads, in this case as good as a large, high throughput spinning array. The workload utilized was a mixed representation of what an average SAS shop may be executing at any given time. Due to workload differences your mileage may vary.
General Considerations and Tuning Recommendations

General Notes

Utilizing the NETAPP EF550 Flash Array can deliver significant performance for an intensive SAS IO workload. Work with your NETAPP Engineer on install tuning, and host CSTATE tuning (if your IT standards allow CSTATES to be altered) to maximize performance. Processor CSTATES recommendations are typically different for different processor types, and even models. They govern power states for the processor. By not allowing processors to enter a deep “sleep” state, they are more rapidly available to respond quickly to demand loads. Generally keeping CSTATES at a 1 or 0 level works well, and for those processors supporting turbo-boost mode, it should be set to take advantage of that.

It is very helpful to utilize the SAS tuning guides for your operating system to optimize server-side performance before flash array tuning, and additional host tuning is performed as noted below. See:
http://support.sas.com/kb/42/197.html

Some general considerations for using flash storage include leaving overhead in the flash devices, and considering which workloads if not all, to use flash for.

Reads vs. Writes. Flash devices perform much better with Reads than Writes for large-block, sequential IO (SAS). If your workload scale dictates you can afford flash for all your file systems that is good. If not, you may wish to bias your flash usage to file systems and data that are read-intensive to get the maximum performance for the dollar. For example, if you have a large repository that gets updated nightly, or weekly, and is queried and extracted from at a high level by users, that may be where you wish to provision your flash storage.

NETAPP and SAS Tuning Recommendations

The following install tuning was performed on the host server during the installation of the ION Accelerator. These are NETAPP and SAS recommended tuning steps.

- The following kernel boot options were used preventing cstates from going above 1:
  - intel_idle.max_cstate=0
  - processor.max_cstate=1
- Tuned Storage Profile – Enterprise Storage Profile
- The EF550 array was direct attached with 8 x 8 Gb connections to HP DL980 utilizing native LINUX Multi-pathing.
- Eight LUNs were created each for /saswork and /sasdata file systems. The file systems were striped across all LUNs with lvm using “lvmcreate --i8”, formatted with XFS.
- The file systems were mounted with noatime, nodiratime, nobARRIER
- NO UDEV RULES DEFINED
  We appended following lines to /etc/rc.local:
  `blockdev --setra 16384 /dev/mapper/vg_netapp-lv_netapp_saswork`
  `blockdev --setra 16384 /dev/mapper/vg_netapp-lv_netapp_sasdata`
  `echo never > /sys/kernel/mm/redhat_transparent_hugepage/enabled`
- We added following device section to /etc/multipath.conf:
  `device {
    vendor "(LSI)NETAPP"
    product "INF-01-00"
    path_grouping_policy group_by_prio
    prio rdac`
We set fc hba queue depths to 128 in modprobe files:

```bash
#qlogic /etc/modprobe.d/qla2xxx.conf:
Options qla2xxx ql2xmaxdepth=128
#emulex /etc/modprobe.d/lpfc.conf:
Options lpfc lpfc_devloss_tmo=10
Options lpfc lpfc_hba_queue_depth=128
```

### Conclusion

The NETAPP EF550 Flash Storage Array can be extremely beneficial for many SAS workloads. Testing has shown it can significantly eliminate application IO latency, providing improved performance. It is crucial to work with your NETAPP engineer to plan, install, and tune your host utilizing the EF550 array to get maximum performance. The guidelines listed in this paper are beneficial and recommended. Your individual experience may require additional guidance by NETAPP depending on your host system, and workload characteristics.
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