

# SAS/STAT® 9.22 User's Guide The VARCOMP Procedure (Book Excerpt)



This document is an individual chapter from SAS/STAT® 9.22 User's Guide.

The correct bibliographic citation for the complete manual is as follows: SAS Institute Inc. 2010. SAS/STAT® 9.22 User's Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.

Copyright © 2010, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA

All rights reserved. Produced in the United States of America.

For a Web download or e-book: Your use of this publication shall be governed by the terms established by the vendor at the time you acquire this publication.

**U.S. Government Restricted Rights Notice**: Use, duplication, or disclosure of this software and related documentation by the U.S. government is subject to the Agreement with SAS Institute and the restrictions set forth in FAR 52.227-19, Commercial Computer Software-Restricted Rights (June 1987).

SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, North Carolina 27513.

1st electronic book, May 2010

SAS® Publishing provides a complete selection of books and electronic products to help customers use SAS software to its fullest potential. For more information about our e-books, e-learning products, CDs, and hard-copy books, visit the SAS Publishing Web site at **support.sas.com/publishing** or call 1-800-727-3228.

 $SAS^{\scriptsize @}$  and all other SAS Institute Inc. product or service names are registered trademarks or trademarks of SAS Institute Inc. in the USA and other countries.  $\tiny @$  indicates USA registration.

Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies.

# Chapter 95

# The VARCOMP Procedure

Contents	
Overview: VARCOMP Procedure	7983
Getting Started: VARCOMP Procedure	7984
Analyzing the Cure Rate of Rubber	7984
Syntax: VARCOMP Procedure	7987
PROC VARCOMP Statement	7988
BY Statement	7989
CLASS Statement	7989
MODEL Statement	7989
Details: VARCOMP Procedure	7990
Missing Values	7990
Fixed and Random Effects	7991
Negative Variance Component Estimates	7992
Computational Methods	7992
Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility Analysis	7994
Confidence Limits	7995
Displayed Output	7998
ODS Table Names	7999
Relationship to PROC MIXED	7999
Examples: VARCOMP Procedure	8000
Example 95.1: Using the Four General Estimation Methods	8000
Example 95.2: Using the GRR Method	8004
References	8008

# **Overview: VARCOMP Procedure**

The VARCOMP procedure handles general linear models that have random effects. Random effects are classification effects with levels that are assumed to be randomly selected from an infinite population of possible levels. PROC VARCOMP estimates the contribution of each of the random effects to the variance of the dependent variable.

A single MODEL statement specifies the dependent variables and the effects: main effects, interactions, and nested effects. The effects must be composed of classification variables; no continuous variables are allowed on the right side of the equal sign.

You can specify certain effects as fixed (nonrandom) by putting them first in the MODEL statement and indicating the number of fixed effects with the FIXED= option. An intercept is always fitted and assumed fixed. Except for the effects specified as fixed, all other effects are assumed to be random. Their contribution to the model can be thought of as an observation from a distribution that is normally and independently distributed.

The dependent variables are grouped based on the similarity of their missing values. Each group of dependent variables is then analyzed separately. The columns of the design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  are formed in the same order in which the effects are specified in the MODEL statement. A singular parameterization involving just 0–1 dummy variables is used, as in the GLM procedure.

You can specify four general methods of estimation in the PROC VARCOMP statement by using the METHOD= option. They are TYPE1 (based on computation of Type I sum of squares for each effect), MIVQUE0, maximum likelihood (METHOD=ML), and restricted maximum likelihood (METHOD=REML). A fifth method, METHOD=GRR, provides a specialized analysis for gauge repeatability and reproducibility (R&R) studies. See the section "Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility Analysis" on page 7994 for further details. Note that this method, along with the CL option in the MODEL statement for confidence limits, applies only to certain designs, namely balanced one-way or two-way designs. The other four general methods apply to any random-effects model and design.

Other procedures, such as PROC GLM, PROC MIXED, and PROC GLIMMIX, fit similar random effects models. The VARCOMP procedure is usually more computationally efficient for certain special designs and models. See the section "Relationship to PROC MIXED" on page 7999 for a more precise comparison with the MIXED procedure in particular.

The GAUGE application in SAS/QC software provides a graphical interface for computing many of the same statistics as METHOD=GRR in PROC VARCOMP.

# **Getting Started: VARCOMP Procedure**

# **Analyzing the Cure Rate of Rubber**

This example, using data from Hicks (1973), concerns an experiment to determine the sources of variability in cure rates of rubber. The goal of the experiment was to find out if the different laboratories contributed more to the variance of cure rates than did the different batches of raw materials. This information would be useful in trying to control the cure rate of the final product because it would provide insight into the sources of the variability in cure rates. The rubber used was cured at three temperatures, which were taken to be fixed. Three laboratories were chosen at random, and three different batches of raw material were tested at each combination of temperature and laboratory. The following statements read the data into the SAS data set Cure.

```
data Cure;
  input Lab Temp Batch $ Cure @@;
  datalines;
            1 145 A 17.0
1 145 A 18.6
                         1 145 A 18.7
                                      1 145 A 18.7
1 145 B 17.6
1 145 C 21.1 1 145 C 20.8
                         1 145 C 21.8
                                      1 145 C 21.0
1 155 A 9.5 1 155 A 9.4
                         1 155 A 9.5
                                      1 155 A 10.0
                         1 155 B 8.9
1 155 B 7.8 1 155 B 8.3
                                      1 155 B 9.1
1 155 C 11.1
1 165 A 5.4
           1 165 A 5.3
                         1 165 A 5.7
                                      1 165 A 5.3
1 165 B 5.2
           1 165 B 4.9 1 165 B 4.3
                                      1 165 B 5.2
1 165 C 6.3 1 165 C 6.4 1 165 C 5.8 1 165 C 5.6
2 145 A 20.0 2 145 A 20.1 2 145 A 19.4 2 145 A 20.0
2 145 B 18.4
           2 145 B 18.1 2 145 B 16.5
                                      2 145 B 16.7
           2 145 C 22.7
                         2 145 C 21.5
2 145 C 22.5
                                      2 145 C 21.3
2 155 A 11.4 2 155 A 11.5 2 155 A 11.4
                                      2 155 A 11.5
2 155 B 10.8 2 155 B 11.1
                         2 155 B 9.5
                                      2 155 B 9.7
           2 155 C 14.0 2 155 C 12.0
2 155 C 13.3
                                      2 155 C 11.5
2 165 A 6.8
           2 165 A 6.9 2 165 A 6.0
                                      2 165 A 5.7
2 165 B 6.0 2 165 B 6.1 2 165 B 5.0 2 165 B 5.2
2 165 C 7.7
           2 165 C 8.0 2 165 C 6.6
                                      2 165 C 6.3
3 145 A 19.7
            3 145 A 18.3
                         3 145 A 16.8
                                      3 145 A 17.1
           3 145 B 16.7
3 145 B 16.3
                         3 145 B 14.4
                                      3 145 B 15.2
3 145 C 22.7 3 145 C 21.9 3 145 C 19.3
                                      3 145 C 19.3
3 155 A 9.3 3 155 A 10.2 3 155 A 9.8
                                      3 155 A 9.5
                         3 155 B 8.0
3 155 B 9.1
            3 155 B 9.2
                                      3 155 B 9.0
           3 155 C 11.0 3 155 C 10.9
3 155 C 11.3
                                      3 155 C 11.4
3 165 A 6.7 3 165 A 6.0 3 165 A 5.0 3 165 A 4.8
3 165 B 5.7 3 165 B 5.5 3 165 B 4.6
                                      3 165 B 5.4
3 165 C 6.6
            3 165 C 6.5
                         3 165 C 5.9
                                      3 165 C 5.8
```

The variables Lab, Temp, and Batch contain levels of laboratory, temperature, and batch, respectively. The Cure variable contains the response values.

The following SAS statements perform a restricted maximum likelihood variance component analysis.

```
title 'Analyzing the Cure Rate of Rubber';
proc varcomp method=reml data=cure;
  class temp lab batch;
  model cure=temp|lab batch(lab temp) / fixed=1;
run;
```

The FIXED=1 option indicates that the first factor, Temp, is fixed. The effect specification TemplLab is equivalent to putting the three terms Temp, Lab, and Temp\*Lab in the model. Batch(Lab Temp) is equivalent to putting Batch(Temp\*Lab) in the MODEL statement. The results of this analysis are displayed in Figure 95.1 through Figure 95.4.

Figure 95.1 Class Level Information

Figure 95.1 provides information about the variables used in the analysis and the number of observations and specifies the dependent variable.

Figure 95.2 Iteration History

Var (Batch (Temp*					
Iteration	Objective	Var(Lab)	Var(Temp*Lab)	Lab))	Var(Error)
0	13.4500060254	0.5094464340	0	2.4004888633	0.5787185225
1	13.0898262160	0.3194348317	0	2.0869636935	0.6016005334
2	13.0893125570	0.3176048001	0	2.0738906134	0.6026217204
3	13.0893125555	0.3176017115	0	2.0738685461	0.6026234568

The "REML Iterations" table in Figure 95.2 displays the iteration history, which includes the value of the objective function associated with REML and the values of the variance components at each iteration.

Figure 95.3 REML Estimates

REML Estimates		
Variance Component	Estimate	
Var(Lab)	0.31760	
Var(Temp*Lab)	0	
Var (Batch (Temp*Lab))	2.07387	
Var(Error)	0.60262	
,		

Figure 95.3 displays the REML estimates of the variance components.

Figure 95.4 Covariance Matrix for REML Estimates

Asymptot	ic Covariance Matrix of	Estimates
	Var(Lab)	Var(Temp*Lab)
Var(Lab)	0.32452	0
Var(Temp*Lab)	0	0
Var(Batch(Temp*Lab))	-0.04998	0
Var(Error)	1.026E-12	0
Asymptot	ic Covariance Matrix of	Estimates
	Var (Batch (Temp*Lab))	Var(Error)
Var(Lab)	-0.04998	1.026E-12
Var(Temp*Lab)	0	0
Var (Batch (Temp*Lab))	0.45042	-0.0022417
Var (Error)	-0.0022417	0.0089668

The "Asymptotic Covariance Matrix of Estimates" table in Figure 95.4 displays the asymptotic covariance matrix of the REML estimates.

The results of the analysis show that the variance attributable to Batch(Temp\*Lab) (with a variance component of 2.0739) is considerably larger than the variance attributable to Lab (0.3176). Therefore, attempts to reduce the variability of cure rates should concentrate on improving the homogeneity of the batches of raw material used rather than standardizing the practices or equipment within the laboratories. Also, note that since the Batch(Temp\*Lab) variance is considerably larger than the experimental error (Var(Error)=0.6026), the Batch(Temp\*Lab) variability plays an important part in the overall variability of the cure rates.

# **Syntax: VARCOMP Procedure**

The following statements are available in PROC VARCOMP:

```
PROC VARCOMP < options > ;
  CLASS variables ;
  MODEL dependent = < effects > < / options > ;
  BY variables ;
```

Only one MODEL statement is allowed. The BY, CLASS, and MODEL statements are described after the PROC VARCOMP statement.

# PROC VARCOMP Statement

## PROC VARCOMP < options> ;

This statement invokes the VARCOMP procedure. You can specify the following options in the PROC VARCOMP statement.

#### DATA=SAS-data-set

specifies the input SAS data set to use. If this option is omitted, the most recently created SAS data set is used.

#### **EPSILON**=number

specifies the convergence value of the objective function for METHOD=ML or METHOD=REML. By default, EPSILON=1E-8.

#### **MAXITER**=number

specifies the maximum number of iterations for METHOD=ML or METHOD=REML. By default, MAXITER=50.

# METHOD=TYPE1 | MIVQUE0 | ML | REML | GRR < (options) >

specifies which of the five methods (TYPE1, MIVQUE0, ML, REML, or GRR) you want to use. By default, METHOD=MIVQUE0. METHOD=GRR provides a specialized analysis only for certain designs, whereas the other four methods apply to any random-effects model and design. You can specify the following options in parentheses after METHOD=GRR.

#### **SPECLIMITS=**(LSL,USL,< k>)

SL=(LSL,USL,< k>)

specifies the specification limits for the first random factor, which is regarded as the product being tested in the gauge R&R study. The lower limit (*LSL*) must be smaller than the upper limit (*USL*). The value *k* is optional. The default value is 6, which corresponds to the number of standard deviations between the "natural" tolerance limits containing the middle 99.73% of a normal process. *SPECLIMITS*=(*LSL*, *USL*, *k*) requests the estimates of the parameters PTR(LSL, USL, k) and Cp(LSL, USL, k) to be displayed.

### **RATIO**

specifies that certain additional ratios of variance components should also be computed and displayed, such as proportion of total variance due to the process. These ratios are listed in Table 95.4.

For more information see the section "Computational Methods" on page 7992.

#### SEED=n

specifies an unsigned integer used to start the pseudo-random number generator. If you do not specify a seed or if you specify zero, the seed is generated from reading the time of day from the computer clock. You can use a SAS date as a seed. The random number generation is used in the computation of generalized confidence limits; see the section "Confidence Limits" on page 7995.

# **BY Statement**

#### BY variables;

You can specify a BY statement with PROC VARCOMP to obtain separate analyses on observations in groups that are defined by the BY variables. When a BY statement appears, the procedure expects the input data set to be sorted in order of the BY variables. If you specify more than one BY statement, only the last one specified is used.

If your input data set is not sorted in ascending order, use one of the following alternatives:

- Sort the data by using the SORT procedure with a similar BY statement.
- Specify the NOTSORTED or DESCENDING option in the BY statement for the VARCOMP procedure. The NOTSORTED option does not mean that the data are unsorted but rather that the data are arranged in groups (according to values of the BY variables) and that these groups are not necessarily in alphabetical or increasing numeric order.
- Create an index on the BY variables by using the DATASETS procedure (in Base SAS software).

For more information about BY-group processing, see the discussion in *SAS Language Reference: Concepts.* For more information about the DATASETS procedure, see the discussion in the *Base SAS Procedures Guide.* 

# **CLASS Statement**

#### **CLASS** variables;

The CLASS statement specifies the classification variables to be used in the analysis. All effects in the MODEL statement must be composed of effects that appear in the CLASS statement. Classification variables can be either numeric or character; if they are character, only the first 16 characters are used.

Numeric classification variables are not restricted to integers since a variable's format determines the levels. For more information, see the discussion of the FORMAT statement in SAS Language Reference: Dictionary.

# **MODEL Statement**

**MODEL** dependent = < effects > </ options > ;

The MODEL statement gives the dependent variables and independent effects. If you specify more than one dependent variable, a separate analysis is performed for each one. The independent effects

are limited to main effects, interactions, and nested effects; no continuous effects are allowed. All independent effects must be composed of effects that appear in the CLASS statement. Effects are specified in the VARCOMP procedure in the same way as described for the ANOVA procedure. Only one MODEL statement is allowed.

The following options are available in the MODEL statement.

#### FIXED=n

specifies that the first n effects in the MODEL statement are fixed effects. The remaining effects are assumed to be random. By default, PROC VARCOMP assumes that all effects are random in the model. Keep in mind that if you use bar notation and, for example, specify Y=AlB / FIXED=2, then A\*B is considered a random effect.

#### CL

# CL=MLS

# CL=GCL< (options)>

specifies that confidence limits for all of the parameters of interest be computed and displayed. It also optionally specifies the method to use for computing the confidence limits. There are two methods: the modified large-sample (MLS) method and the generalized confidence limits (GCL) method. The default method is MLS. For more information about these two methods, see the section "Confidence Limits" on page 7995.

You can specify the following options in parentheses after CL=GCL.

#### NSAMPLE=n

specifies the sample size for generalized pivot quantities (GPQ) sampling. The default value is 12,605.

#### **EPSILON**=number

specifies a small positive value used in some GPQ computations. The default value is 0.001.

The CL option applies only to balanced one-way or two-way designs for METHOD=TYPE1 or GRR.

#### $ALPHA=\alpha$

specifies the level of significance  $\alpha$  for  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$  two-sided confidence limits. The value of  $\alpha$  must be between 0 and 1. By default,  $\alpha$  is equal to 0.05.

# **Details: VARCOMP Procedure**

# Missing Values

If an observation has a missing value for any variable used in the independent effects, then the analyses of all dependent variables omit this observation. An observation is deleted from the analysis

of a given dependent variable if the observation's value for that dependent variable is missing. Note that a missing value in one dependent variable does not eliminate an observation from the analysis of the other dependent variables.

During processing, PROC VARCOMP groups the dependent variables on their missing values across observations so that sums of squares and crossproducts can be computed in the most efficient manner.

# **Fixed and Random Effects**

Central to the idea of variance components models is the idea of fixed and random effects. Each effect in a variance components model must be classified as either a fixed or a random effect. Fixed effects arise when the levels of an effect constitute the entire population in which you are interested. For example, if a plant scientist is comparing the yields of three varieties of soybeans, then Variety would be a fixed effect, providing that the scientist was concerned about making inferences about only these three varieties of soybeans. Similarly, if an industrial experiment focused on the effectiveness of two brands of a machine, Machine would be a fixed effect only if the experimenter's interest did not go beyond the two machine brands.

On the other hand, an effect is classified as a random effect when you want to make inferences about an entire population, and the levels in your experiment represent only a sample from that population. Psychologists comparing test results between different groups of subjects would consider Subject as a random effect. Depending on the psychologists' particular interest, the Group effect might be either fixed or random. For example, if the groups are based on the sex of the subject, then Sex would be a fixed effect. But if the psychologists are interested in the variability in test scores due to different teachers, then they might choose a random sample of teachers as being representative of the total population of teachers, and Teacher would be a random effect. Note that, in the soybean example presented earlier, if the scientists are interested in making inferences about the entire population of soybean varieties and randomly choose three varieties for testing, then Variety would be a random effect.

If all the effects in a model (except for the intercept) are considered random effects, then the model is called a *random-effects model*; likewise, a model with only fixed effects is called a *fixed-effects model*. The more common case, where some factors are fixed and others are random, is called a *mixed model*. In PROC VARCOMP, by default, effects are assumed to be random. You specify which effects are fixed by using the FIXED= option in the MODEL statement. In general, if an interaction or nested effect contains any effect that is random, then the interaction or nested effect should be considered a random effect as well.

In the linear model, each level of a fixed effect contributes a fixed amount to the expected value of the dependent variable. What makes a random effect different is that each level of a random effect contributes an amount that is viewed as a sample from a population of normally distributed variables, each with mean 0, and an unknown variance, much like the usual random error term that is a part of all linear models. The estimate of the variance associated with the random effect is known as the *variance component* because it measures the part of the overall variance contributed by that effect. Thus, PROC VARCOMP estimates the variance of the random variables that are associated with the random effects in your model, and the variance components tell you how much each of the random factors contributes to the overall variability in the dependent variable.

# **Negative Variance Component Estimates**

The variance components estimated by PROC VARCOMP should theoretically be nonnegative because they are assumed to represent the variance of a random variable. Nevertheless, when you are using METHOD=MIVQUE0, TYPE1, or GRR, some estimates of variance components might become negative. (Due to the nature of the algorithms used for METHOD=ML and METHOD=REML, negative estimates are constrained to zero.) These negative estimates might arise for a variety of reasons:

- The variability in your data might be large enough to produce a negative estimate, even though the true value of the variance component is positive.
- Your data might contain outliers. Refer to Hocking (1983) for a graphical technique for detecting outliers in variance components models by using the SAS System.
- A different model for interpreting your data might be appropriate. Under some statistical models for variance components analysis, negative estimates are an indication that observations in your data are negatively correlated. Refer to Hocking (1984) for further information about these models.

Assuming you are satisfied that the model that PROC VARCOMP is using is appropriate for your data, it is common practice to treat negative variance components as if they are zero.

# **Computational Methods**

Four methods of estimation can be specified in the PROC VARCOMP statement by using the METHOD= option. They are described in the following sections.

# The Type I Method

This method (METHOD=TYPE1) computes the Type I sum of squares for each effect, equates each mean square involving only random effects to its expected value, and solves the resulting system of equations (Gaylor, Lucas, and Anderson 1970). The  $\mathbf{X'X} \mid \mathbf{X'Y}$  matrix is computed and adjusted in segments whenever memory is not sufficient to hold the entire matrix.

#### The MIVQUE0 Method

Based on the technique suggested by Hartley, Rao, and LaMotte (1978), the MIVQUE0 method (METHOD=MIVQUE0) produces unbiased estimates that are invariant with respect to the fixed effects of the model and that are locally best quadratic unbiased estimates given that the true ratio of each component to the residual error component is zero. The technique is similar to TYPE1 except that the random effects are adjusted only for the fixed effects. This affords a considerable

timing advantage over the TYPE1 method; thus, MIVQUE0 is the default method used in PROC VARCOMP. The  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$  matrix is computed and adjusted in segments whenever memory is not sufficient to hold the entire matrix. Each element (i, j) of the form

$$SSQ(X_i'MX_i)$$

is computed, where

$$M = I - X_0(X_0'X_0)^-X_0'$$

and where  $X_0$  is part of the design matrix for the fixed effects,  $X_i$  is part of the design matrix for one of the random effects, and SSQ is an operator that takes the sum of squares of the elements. For more information refer to Rao (1971, 1972) and Goodnight (1978).

#### The Maximum Likelihood Method

The maximum likelihood method (METHOD=ML) computes maximum likelihood estimates of the variance components; refer to Searle, Casella, and McCulloch (1992). The computing algorithm makes use of the W-transformation developed by Hemmerle and Hartley (1973) and Goodnight and Hemmerle (1979). The procedure uses a Newton-Raphson algorithm, iterating until the log-likelihood objective function converges.

The objective function for METHOD=ML is  $ln(|V|) + r'V^{-1}r$ , where

$$\mathbf{V} = \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{I} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_r} \sigma_i^2 \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i'$$

and where  $\sigma_0^2$  is the residual variance,  $n_r$  is the number of random effects in the model,  $\sigma_i^2$  represents the variance components,  $\mathbf{X}_i$  is part of the design matrix for one of the random effects, and

$$r = y - X_0 (X_0' V^{-1} X_0)^- X_0' V^{-1} y$$

is the vector of residuals.

#### The Restricted Maximum Likelihood Method

The restricted maximum likelihood method (METHOD=REML) is similar to the maximum likelihood method, but it first separates the likelihood into two parts: one that contains the fixed effects and one that does not (Patterson and Thompson 1971). The procedure uses a Newton-Raphson algorithm, iterating until convergence is reached for the log-likelihood objective function of the portion of the likelihood that does not contain the fixed effects. Using notation from earlier methods, the objective function for METHOD=REML is  $\ln(|\mathbf{V}|) + \mathbf{r}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{r} + \ln(|\mathbf{X}_0'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X}_0|)$ . Refer to Searle, Casella, and McCulloch (1992) for additional details.

# The GRR Method

Based on the technique suggested by Burdick, Borror, and Montgomery (2005), the GRR method (METHOD=GRR) produces minimum variance unbiased estimators.

# Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility Analysis

In a typical gauge R&R experiment, each operator  $(O_j)$  makes multiple observations on each of several similar parts  $(P_i)$  from a monitored process. The statistical model used to describe the response variable is the balanced two-factor crossed random model with interaction

$$y_{ijk} = \mu_y + P_i + O_j + (PO)_{ij} + E_{ijk}$$

where  $i=1,\ldots,p,\ j=1,\ldots,o,\ k=1,\ldots,r,\ \mu_y$  is an unknown constant, and  $P_i,O_j,(PO)_{ij},E_{ijk}$  are jointly independent normal random variables with means of zero and variances Var(P),Var(O),Var(PO), and Var(E), respectively. The corresponding SAS statements are as follows:

```
proc varcomp method=grr;
  class P O;
  model y = P|O;
run;
```

The first random effect in the MODEL statement is assumed to be the "Part" effect and the second is "Operator."

The ANOVA table for the preceding model is shown in Table 95.1.

Table 95.1 GRR Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Mean Square	<b>Expected Mean Square</b>
Parts(P)	p - 1	$S_P^2$	Var(E) + rVar(PO) + orVar(P)
Operators(O)	o - 1	$S_Q^{2}$	Var(E) + rVar(PO) + prVar(O)
$P \times O$	(p-1)(o-1)	$S_O^2 \ S_{PO}^2 \ S_E^2$	Var(E) + rVar(PO)
Error(E)	po(r-1)	$S_E^2$	Var(E)

The gauge R&R parameters of interest are given in Table 95.2 in terms of Var(P), Var(O), Var(PO), and Var(E).

Table 95.2 Gauge R&R Parameters

Parameter	Formula
Mean of population of measurements	$\mu_y = \bar{y} = \Sigma_{ijk} y_{ijk} / por$
Variance of the monitored process	$\gamma_P = \text{Var}(P)$
Variance of the measurement system	$\gamma_M = \text{Var}(O) + \text{Var}(PO) + \text{Var}(E)$
Total variance of the response variable	$\gamma_y = Var(y) = \gamma_P + \gamma_M$
Ratio of process variance to measurement variance	$\gamma_R = \gamma_P/\gamma_M$
Proportion of total variance due to the process	$ \rho_P = \gamma_P / \gamma_y = \frac{\gamma_R}{1 + \gamma_R} $
Proportion of total variance due to the measurement	$\rho_{M} = \gamma_{M}/\gamma_{y} = 1 - \rho_{P}$
Signal-to-noise ratio	$SNR = \sqrt{2 \times \gamma_R}$
Discrimination ratio	$DR = 1 + 2\gamma_R$

For a one-way model,  $\gamma_M = \text{Var}(E)$ , and for a two-way model with no interaction,  $\gamma_M = \text{Var}(O) + \text{Var}(E)$ .

If you use the SPECLIMITS option to give specification limits, the two parameters in Table 95.3 will also be estimated and displayed.

Table 95.3 Gauge R&R Parameters Related to Specification Limits

Parameter	Formula
Precision-to-tolerance ratio	PTR(LSL, USL, k) = $k \sqrt{\gamma_M} / (USL - LSL)$
Process capability ratio	Cp(LSL, USL, k) = $(USL - LSL) / (k \sqrt{\gamma_P})$

Here, USL and LSL are the specification limits, and the value k corresponds to the number of standard deviations between the "natural" tolerance limits of a normal process.

If you use the RATIO option, the ratios in Table 95.4 will also be estimated and displayed.

**Table 95.4** Gauge R&R Ratios

Ratio	Formula
Ratio of process variance to total variance	$Var(P)/\gamma_y$
Ratio of operator variance to total variance	$Var(O)/\gamma_y$
Ratio of process by operator variance to total variance	$Var(PO)/\gamma_y$
Ratio of process variance to residual variance	Var(P)/Var(E)
Ratio of operator variance to residual variance	Var(O)/Var(E)
Ratio of process by operator variance to residual variance	Var(PO)/Var(E)

# **Confidence Limits**

When no exact confidence limits exist, it is common practice to use approximate confidence limits. Two such approximations are the modified large-sample (MLS) method and the generalized confidence limit (GCL) method as discussed in Burdick, Borror, and Montgomery (2005). When analyzing a balanced one-way or two-way design, if you specify the CL option with METHOD=TYPE1 or GRR, the VARCOMP procedure computes confidence limits by using either the MLS method (the default) or the GCL method. Generalized confidence limits are obtained by specifying the CL=GCL option in the MODEL statement.

### **MLS Confidence Limits**

The method of MLS confidence limits was first introduced by Graybill and Wang (1980). It starts with approximate large-sample confidence limits; then it modifies the limits to be exact under certain parameter conditions.

For a balanced two-way crossed random model with interaction, formulas for the MLS method are given in Table 95.5. See Burdick, Borror, and Montgomery (2005) for the formulas for one-way or balanced two-way with no interaction models.

Confidence limits for parameters such as variances and their ratios might not contain the corresponding point estimates, because negative confidence bounds are increased to zero.

**Table 95.5**  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  MLS Confidence Limits

Parameter	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
$\mu_y$	$\bar{y}_{\cdots} - C\sqrt{\frac{K}{por}}$	$\bar{y}_{\cdots} + C\sqrt{\frac{K}{por}}$
$\gamma_P$	$\hat{\gamma}_P - \sqrt{V_{LP}}/(or)$	$\hat{\gamma}_P + \sqrt{V_{UP}}/(or)$
$\gamma_M$	$\hat{\gamma}_{M} - \sqrt{V_{LM}}/(pr)$	$\hat{\gamma}_M + \sqrt{V_{UM}}/(pr)$
$\gamma_y$	$\hat{\gamma}_y - \sqrt{V_{LT}}/(por)$	$\hat{\gamma}_y + \sqrt{V_{UT}}/(por)$
$\gamma_R$	$L_R$	$U_R$
$\rho_P$	$L_R/(1+L_R)$	$U_R/(1+U_R)$
$\rho_{M}$	$1/(1+U_R)$	$1/(1+L_R)$

The terms in Table 95.5 are defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} V_{LP} &= G_1^2 S_P^4 + H_3^2 S_{PO}^4 + G_{13} S_P^2 S_{PO}^2 \\ V_{UP} &= H_1^2 S_P^4 + G_3^2 S_{PO}^4 + H_{13} S_P^2 S_{PO}^2 \\ V_{LM} &= G_2^2 S_O^4 + G_3^2 (p-1)^2 S_{PO}^4 + G_4^2 p^2 (r-1)^2 S_E^4 \\ V_{UM} &= H_2^2 S_O^4 + H_3^2 (p-1)^2 S_{PO}^4 + H_4^2 p^2 (r-1)^2 S_E^4 \\ V_{UM} &= H_2^2 S_P^2 + H_3^2 (p-1)^2 S_P^4 + G_3^2 (po-p-o)^2 S_{PO}^4 + G_4^2 (po)^2 (r-1)^2 S_E^4 \\ V_{LT} &= G_1^2 p^2 S_P^4 + H_2^2 o^2 S_O^4 + H_3^2 (po-p-o)^2 S_{PO}^4 + H_4^2 (po)^2 (r-1)^2 S_E^4 \\ V_{UT} &= H_1^2 p^2 S_P^4 + H_2^2 o^2 S_O^4 + H_3^2 (po-p-o)^2 S_P^4 + H_4^2 (po)^2 (r-1)^2 S_E^4 \\ L_R &= \frac{p(1-G_1)(S_P^2 - F_1 S_{PO}^2)}{po(r-1)S_E^2 + o(1-G_1)F_3 S_O^2 + o(p-1)S_{PO}^2} \\ U_R &= \frac{p(1+H_1)(S_P^2 - F_2 S_{PO}^2)}{po(r-1)S_E^2 + o(1+H_1)F_4 S_O^2 + o(p-1)S_{PO}^2} \\ G_1 &= 1 - F_{\alpha/2:\infty,p-1} \\ G_2 &= 1 - F_{\alpha/2:\infty,p-1} \\ G_2 &= 1 - F_{\alpha/2:\infty,p-1} \\ G_3 &= 1 - F_{\alpha/2:\infty,p-1} - 1 \\ H_2 &= F_{1-\alpha/2:\infty,p-1-1} - 1 \\ H_2 &= F_{1-\alpha/2:\infty,p-1-1} - 1 \\ H_2 &= F_{1-\alpha/2:\infty,p-1-1} - 1 \\ H_3 &= F_{1-\alpha/2:\infty,p-1-1} - 1 \\ H_4 &= F_{1-\alpha/2:\infty,po(r-1)} - 1 \\ F_1 &= F_{1-\alpha/2:p-1,(p-1)(o-1)} \\ F_2 &= F_{\alpha/2:p-1,(p-1)(o-1)} \\ F_3 &= F_{1-\alpha/2:p-1,o-1} \\ G_{13} &= \frac{(F_1 - 1)^2 - G_1^2 F_1^2 - H_3^2}{F_1} \\ H_{13} &= \frac{(1-F_2)^2 - H_1^2 F_2^2 - G_3^2}{F_2} \\ K &= s_P^2 + s_O^2 - s_{PO}^2 \\ C &= \frac{s_P^2 \sqrt{F_{1-\alpha:1,p-1}} + s_O^2 \sqrt{F_{1-\alpha:1,o-1}} - s_{PO}^2 \sqrt{F_{1-\alpha:1,(p-1)(o-1)}}}{K} \end{split}$$

The symbol  $F_{\alpha:df1,df2}$  represents the percentile of an F distribution with df1 and df2 degrees of freedom and area  $\alpha$  to the left.

#### **Generalized Confidence Limits**

The method of generalized confidence limits was first introduced by Weerahandi (1993). The  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  generalized confidence limits are determined as follows:

- 1. Initialize the random number generator with the seed. The seed value is specified by the SEED= option.
- 2. Sample *N* generalized pivot quantities (GPQ), defined to have a distribution that is independent of the parameters under study. The value *N* is specified by the NSAMPLE= option.
- 3. Define the lower and upper limits as the  $\alpha/2$  and  $1-\alpha/2$  quantiles of the sampled GPQ values.

Formulas for generalized confidence limits are given in Table 95.6, where Z denotes a standard normal random variable and  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ,  $W_3$ , and  $W_4$  denote jointly independent chi-squared random variables that are independent of Z with degrees of freedom p-1, o-1, (p-1)(o-1) and po(r-1), respectively. The value of  $\epsilon$  in Table 95.6 is specified by the EPSILON= option.

**Table 95.6** 100(1  $-\alpha$ )% Generalized Confidence Limits

Parameter	GPQ
$\mu_y$	$\bar{y} Z\sqrt{\max\left[\epsilon, \frac{(p-1)s_p^2}{porW_1} + \frac{(o-1)s_O^2}{porW_2} - \frac{(p-1)(o-1)s_{PO}^2}{porW_3}\right]}$
$\gamma_P$	$\max \left[ 0, \frac{(p-1)s_p^2}{orW_1} - \frac{(p-1)(o-1)s_{po}^2}{prW_3} \right] $ $\frac{(o-1)s_o^2}{prW_2} + \frac{(p-1)^2(0-1)s_p^2o}{prW_3} + \frac{po(r-1)^2s_E^2}{rW_4} $ $\frac{(p-1)s_p^2}{orW_1} + \frac{(o-1)s_O^2}{prW_2} + \frac{(po-p-o)(p-1)(o-1)s_{PO}^2}{porW_3} + \frac{po(r-1)^2s_E^2}{rW_4} $
$\gamma_M$	$\frac{(o-1)s_o^2}{prW_2} + \frac{(p-1)^2(0-1)s_p^2o}{prW_3} + \frac{po(r-1)^2s_E^2}{rW_4}$
$\gamma_y$	$\frac{(p-1)s_p^2}{orW_1} + \frac{(o-1)s_O^2}{prW_2} + \frac{(po-p-o)(p-1)(o-1)s_{PO}^2}{porW_3} + \frac{po(r-1)^2s_E^2}{rW_4}$
$\gamma_R$	$\frac{\mathrm{GPQ}(\gamma_P)}{\mathrm{GPQ}(\gamma_M)}$

In general, the GCL method provides a more accurate confidence interval with a shorter interval width than the MLS method. However, the greater accuracy comes at the cost of being somewhat nondeterministic, because of the reliance on simulation.

# **Displayed Output**

PROC VARCOMP displays the following items:

- Class Level Information for verifying the levels in your data
- Number of observations read from the data set and number of observations used in the analysis
- for METHOD=TYPE1, an analysis-of-variance table with Source, DF, Type I Sum of Squares, Type I Mean Square, and Expected Mean Square, and a table of Type I variance component estimates
- for METHOD=MIVQUE0, the SSQ Matrix containing sums of squares of partitions of the X'X crossproducts matrix adjusted for the fixed effects

- for METHOD=ML and METHOD=REML, the iteration history, including the objective function, a table of variance component estimates, and the estimated Asymptotic Covariance Matrix of the variance components
- for METHOD=GRR, an analysis-of-variance table with Source, DF, GRR Sum of Squares, GRR Mean Square, and Expected Mean Square, and a table of GRR parameter estimates. If the CL option is specified, confidence limits for each parameter estimate will also be displayed.

# **ODS Table Names**

PROC VARCOMP assigns a name to each table it creates. You can use these names to reference the table when using the Output Delivery System (ODS) to select tables and create output data sets. These names are listed in Table 95.7. For more information about ODS, see Chapter 20, "Using the Output Delivery System."

Table 95.7 ODS Tables Produced by PROC VARCOMP

<b>ODS Table Name</b>	Description	Statement
ANOVA	Type 1 analysis of variance	METHOD=TYPE1 or GRR
AsyCov	Asymptotic covariance matrix of estimates	METHOD=ML or REML
ClassLevels	Class level information	default
ConvergenceStatus	Convergence status	METHOD=ML or REML
DepVar	Dependent variable	METHOD=TYPE1, REML, ML, or GRR
DependentInfo	Dependent variable info (multiple variables)	
Estimates	Variance component estimates	default
IterHistory	Iteration history	METHOD=ML or REML
NObs	Number of observations	default
SSCP	Sum of squares matrix	METHOD=MIVQUE0

In situations where multiple dependent variables are analyzed that differ in their missing value pattern, separate names for ANOVAn, AsyCovn, Estimatesn, IterHistoryn, and SSCPn tables are no longer required. The results are combined into a single output data set. For METHOD=TYPE1, ML, or REML, the variable Dependent in the output data set identifies the dependent variable. For METHOD=MIVQUE0, a variable is added to the output data set for each dependent variable.

# **Relationship to PROC MIXED**

The MIXED procedure effectively performs the same analyzes as PROC VARCOMP and many others, including Type I, Type II, and Type III tests of fixed effects, confidence limits, customized contrasts, and least squares means. Furthermore, continuous variables are permitted as both fixed

and random effects in PROC MIXED, and numerous other covariance structures besides variance components are available. The VARCOMP procedure is more computationally efficient for some special designs and models.

To translate PROC VARCOMP code into PROC MIXED code, move all random effects to the RANDOM statement in PROC MIXED. For example, the syntax for the example in the section "Getting Started: VARCOMP Procedure" on page 7984 is as follows:

```
proc mixed;
  class Temp Lab Batch;
  model Cure = Temp;
  random Lab Temp*Lab Batch(Lab Temp);
run;
```

REML is the default estimation method in PROC MIXED, and you can specify other methods by using the METHOD= option.

# **Examples: VARCOMP Procedure**

# **Example 95.1: Using the Four General Estimation Methods**

In this example, a and b are classification variables and y is the dependent variable. a is declared fixed, and b and a\*b are random. Note that this design is unbalanced because the cell sizes are not all the same. PROC VARCOMP is invoked four times, once for each of the general estimation methods. The data are from Hemmerle and Hartley (1973). The following statements produce Output 95.1.1.

```
data a;
   input a b y @@;
   datalines;
1 1 237   1 1 254   1 1 246   1 2 178   1 2 179
2 1 208   2 1 178   2 1 187   2 2 146   2 2 145   2 2 141
3 1 186   3 1 183   3 2 142   3 2 125   3 2 136
;

proc varcomp method=type1 data=a;
   class a b;
   model y=a|b / fixed=1;
run;
```

Output 95.1.1 VARCOMP Procedure: Method=TYPE1

Variance Components Estimation Procedure								
Class Level Information								
		Class	Level	ls Values				
		a		3 123				
		b		2 1 2				
			bservations F					
		Number of C	bservations (	Jsed 16	5			
		Dep	endent Variab	ole: y				
		Туре	1 Analysis of	. Variance				
		Sum of						
Source	DF	Squares	Mean Square	Expected Mean	Square			
a	2	11736	5868.218750	Var(Error) + 2				
	-	11440	11440	+ 0.1 Var(b) +				
b a*b	1 2	11448 299.041026			2.6308 Var(a*b) + 7.8 Var(b)			
a*D Error	10	786.333333		Var(Error) + 2	2.3040 Val (axb)			
Corrected Total	15	24270	70.03333	var (Error)				
			Type 1 Estin	nates				
		Variance	Component	Estimate				
		Var(b)		1448.4				
		Var(a*b)		27.42659				
		Var (Erro		78.63333				

The "Class Level Information" table in Output 95.1.1 displays the levels of each variable specified in the CLASS statement. You can check this table to make sure the data are input correctly.

The Type I analysis of variance in Output 95.1.1 consists of a sequential partition of the total sum of squares. The mean square is the sum of squares divided by the degrees of freedom, and the expected mean square is the expected value of the mean square under the mixed model. The "Q" notation in the expected mean squares refers to a quadratic form in parameters of the parenthesized effect.

The Type I estimates of the variance components in Output 95.1.1 result from solving the linear system of equations established by equating the observed mean squares to their expected values.

The following statements are the same as before, except that the estimation method is MIVQUE0 instead of the default TYPE1. They produce Output 95.1.2.

```
proc varcomp method=mivque0 data=a;
  class a b;
  model y=a|b / fixed=1;
run;
```

Output 95.1.2 VARCOMP Procedure: Method=MIVQUE0

	Variance (	Components Estim	ation Procedure	
		MIVQUE(0) SSQ M	Matrix	
Source	b	a*b	Error	У
b	60.84000	20.52000	7.80000	89295.4
a*b	20.52000	20.52000	7.80000	30181.3
Error	7.80000	7.80000	13.00000	12533.5
		MIVQUE(0) Estim	ates	
	Variar	nce Component	У	
	Var(b)		1466.1	
	Var(a	ъb)	-35.49170	
	Var (Er	rror)	105.73660	

The MIVQUE0 estimates in Output 95.1.2 result from solving the equations established by the MIVQUE0 SSQ matrix. Note that the estimate of the variance component for the interaction effect, Var(a\*b), is negative for this example.

The following statements use METHOD=ML to invoke maximum likelihood estimation. They produce Output 95.1.3.

```
proc varcomp method=ml data=a;
  class a b;
  model y=a|b / fixed=1;
run;
```

Output 95.1.3 VARCOMP Procedure: Method=ML

Maximum Likelihood Iterations							
Iteration	Objective	Var(b)	Var(a*b)	Var(Error)			
0	78.3850371200	1031.49070	0	74.3909717935			
1	78.2637043807	732.3606453635	0	77.4011688154			
2	78.2635471161	723.6867470850	0	77.5301774839			
3	78.2635471152	723.6658365289	0	77.5304926877			

# Output 95.1.3 continued

	Maximum L	ikelihood	
	Estim	ates	
	Variance		
	Component	Estimate	
	Var(b)	723.66584	
	Var(a*b)	0	
	Var(Error)	77.53049	
Asymp	ptotic Covariance	Matrix of Estim	nates
	Var(b)	Var(a*b)	Var(Error)
Var(b)	537826.1	0	-107.33905
Var(a*b)	0	0	0
Var(Error)	-107.33905	0	858.71104

The "Maximum Likelihood Iterations" table in Output 95.1.3 shows that the Newton-Raphson algorithm used by PROC VARCOMP requires three iterations to converge.

The ML estimate of Var(a\*b) is zero for this example, and the other two estimates are smaller than their Type I and MIVQUE0 counterparts.

One benefit of using likelihood-based methods is that an approximate covariance matrix is available from the matrix of second derivatives evaluated at the ML solution. This covariance matrix is valid asymptotically and can be unreliable in small samples.

Here the variance component estimates for B and the Error are negatively correlated, and the elements for Var(a\*b) are set to zero because the estimate equals zero. Also, the very large variance for Var(b) indicates a lot of uncertainty about the estimate for Var(b), and one contributing explanation is that B has only two levels in this data set.

Finally, the following statements use the restricted maximum likelihood (REML) for estimation. They produce Output 95.1.4.

```
proc varcomp method=reml data=a;
  class a b;
  model y=a|b / fixed=1;
run;
```

Output 95.1.4 VARCOMP Procedure: Method=REML

	Variand	ce Components Es	timation Proce	edure					
REML Iterations									
Iteration	Objective	e Var(	(b) Va:	r(a*b)	Var(Error)				
0	63.4134144942	2 1269.527	'01	0	91.5581191305				
1	63.0446869787	7 1601.841	.99 32.7632	417174	76.9355562461				
2	63.0311530508	1468.829	32 27.2258	186561	78.7548276319				
3	63.0311265148	3 1464.336	26.9564	053003	78.8431476502				
4	63.031126512	1464.367	26.9588	525177	78.8423898761				
Convergence criteria met.  REML Estimates									
		111111 11111							
		Variance							
		Component	Estimate						
		Var(b)	1464.4						
		Var(a*b)	26.95885						
		Var(Error)	78.84239						
	Asymptot	cic Covariance M	Matrix of Esti	mates					
		Var(b)	Var(a*b)	Var (E	rror)				
V	ar(b)	4401703.8	1.29359	-273.	39651				
V	ar(a*b)	1.29359	3559.1	-502.	85157				
7	ar (Error)	-273.39651	-502.85157	1:	249.7				

The "REML Iterations" table in Output 95.1.4 shows that the REML optimization requires four iterations to converge.

The REML estimates in Output 95.1.4 are all larger than the corresponding ML estimates (adjusting for potential downward bias) and are fairly similar to the Type I estimates.

The "Asymptotic Covariance Matrix of Estimates" table in Output 95.1.4 shows that the Error variance component estimate is negatively correlated with the other two variance component estimates, and the estimated variances are all larger than their ML counterparts.

# **Example 95.2: Using the GRR Method**

In this example from Houf and Burman (1988), the response variable is the thermal performance of a module measured in Celsius degrees per watt. Each of three operators measures 10 parts three times. It is assumed that parts and operators are selected at random from larger populations. The following statements produce Output 95.2.1.

```
data Houf;
  input a b y @@;
  datalines;
  1 37
         1 1 38
                    1 1 37
  2 41
         1 2 41
                    1 2 40
  3 41
         1 3 42 1 3 41
         2 1 41
                    2 1 43
2
  1 42
  2 42
         2 2 42
                    2 2 42
  3 43
         2 3 42
2
                    2 3 43
3
  1 30
         3 1 31
                    3 1 31
3
  2 31
         3 2 31
                    3 2 31
  3 29
         3 3 30
                    3 3 28
  1 42
         4 1 43
                   4 1 42
         4 2 43
  2 43
                    4 2 43
  3 42
         4 3 42
                   4 3 42
5
         5 1 30
                   5 1 29
  1 28
5
  2 29
         5 2 30
                   5 2 29
       5 3 29
5
  3 31
                   5 3 29
6
  1 42
         6 1 42
                    6 1 43
       6 2 45
                 6 2 45
6
  2 45
  3 44
       6 3 46
                    6 3 45
7
  1 25
         7 1 26
                    7 1 27
         7 2 28
                    7 2 30
  2 28
7
  3 29
         7 3 27
                   7 3 27
  1 40
         8 1 40
                   8 1 40
8
 2 43
         8 2 42
                    8 2 42
  3 43
         8 3 43
                    8 3 41
       9 1 25
                 9 1 25
9
 1 25
  2 27
       9 2 29
                 9 2 28
9 3 26
       9 3 26
                 9 3 26
10 1 35
        10 1 34
                   10 1 34
10 2 35 10 2 35 10 2 34
10 3 35 10 3 34
                 10 3 35
proc varcomp data=Houf method=grr (speclimits=(18,58) ratio);
  class a b;
  model y=a|b/cl;
run;
```

You specify METHOD=GRR in this example to drive the VARCOMP procedure to produce a gauge repeatability and reproducibility analysis. With the option speclimits=(18 58), the parameters PTR and Cp are estimated and displayed. With the RATIO option, certain additional ratios of variance components are also estimated and displayed. Finally, the CL option in the MODEL statement specifies that estimates of GRR quantities should have the corresponding confidence limits.

Output 95.2.1 Class Level Information Using Method=GRR

Variance Componer	nts Estimation Proced	dure
Class Le	vel Information	
Class Level:	s Values	
a 1	0 12345678	9 10
b :	3 123	
Number of Observ		90 90
Dependent	t Variable: y	

The "Class Level Information" table in Output 95.2.1 displays the levels of each variable specified in the CLASS statement.

Output 95.2.2 Analysis of Variance Using Method=GRR

DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square
	Squares	Mean Square
		nean square
9	3935.955556	437.328395
2	39.266667	19.633333
18	48.511111	2.695062
60	30.666667	0.511111
89	4054.400000	
RR Analysis	of Variance	
Expected M	ean Square	
Var (Error)	+ 3 Var(a*b)	+ 9 Var(a)
Var(Error)	+ 3 Var(a*b)	+ 30 Var(b)
Var(Error)	+ 3 Var(a*b)	
Var (Error)		
	18 60 89  ERR Analysis Expected M  Var(Error) Var(Error) Var(Error)	18

The GRR analysis of variance in Output 95.2.2 is the same as for the Type I analysis when the design is balanced.

Finally, the estimates of the GRR parameters of interest and their confidence limits are displayed in Output 95.2.3.

Output 95.2.3 Parameter Estimates Using Method=GRR

	GRR Estimate	es	
Parameter	Estimate	95% Confide	ence Limits
Mu Y	35.80000	30.49477	41.10523
Var(a)	48.29259	22.69452	161.63918
Var(b)	0.56461	0.07296	25.75077
Var(a*b)	0.72798	0.33273	1.79272
Var(Error)	0.51111	0.36816	0.75754
Gamma Y	50.09630	24.48844	166.22217
Gamma P	48.29259	22.69452	161.63918
Gamma M	1.80370	1.20623	27.01724
Gamma R	26.77413	1.69168	105.60895
SNR	7.31767	1.83939	14.53334
PTR(18,58,6)	0.20145	0.16474	0.77967
Cp(18,58,6)	0.95933	0.52437	1.39942
DR	54.54825	4.38336	212.21791
Rho P	0.96400	0.62848	0.99062
Rho M	0.03600	0.0093801	0.37152
Var(a)/Gamma Y	0.96400	0.62848	0.99062
Var(b)/Gamma Y	0.01127	0.0008700	0.34151
Var(a*b)/Gamma Y	0.01453	0.0027083	0.04744
Var(a)/Var(Error)	94.48551	40.19199	327.32469
Var(b)/Var(Error)	1.10467	0.13662	50.37744
Var(a*b)/Var(Error)	1.42432	0.55232	3.74691

You can draw the following inferences from the results of the analysis. Most of the variation is due to differences between parts because of the relative larger value of Gamma R. The measurement system is nearly inadequate because the PTR exceeds 20%. However, the measurement system is of value in monitoring the process since the SNR is greater than five. Refer to Burdick, Borror, and Montgomery (2003) for more information about interpreting gauge R&R studies.

The confidence limits in Output 95.2.3 are based on large-sample asymptotic approximation. You can alternatively compute more accurate and usually smaller confidence intervals by using CL=GCL for generalized confidence limits. The following statements produce Output 95.2.4:

```
proc varcomp data=Houf method=grr (speclimits=(18,58) ratio) seed=104;
  class a b;
  model y=a|b/cl=gcl;
run;
```

Output 95.2.4 Generalized Confidence Limits

variance Co	omponents Esti	mation Procedu	re					
GRR Estimates								
Parameter	Estimate	95% Generali:	zed Confidence Limit					
Mu Y	35.80000	30.48351	41.31148					
Var(a)	48.29259	22.79316	168.91421					
Var(b)	0.56461	0.07157	24.28846					
Var(a*b)	0.72798	0.33476	1.75806					
Var(Error)	0.51111	0.36816	0.75754					
Gamma Y	50.09630	25.47092	180.85535					
Gamma P	48.29259	22.79316	168.91421					
Gamma M	1.80370	1.18494	25.76890					
Gamma R	26.77413	1.91286	87.60026					
SNR	7.31767	1.95594	13.23633					
PTR(18,58,6)	0.20145	0.16328	0.76145					
Cp(18,58,6)	0.95933	0.51295	1.39639					
DR	54.54825	4.82572	176.20052					
Rho P	0.96400	0.65669	0.98871					
Rho M	0.03600	0.01129	0.34331					
Var(a)/Gamma Y	0.96400	0.65669	0.98871					
Var(b)/Gamma Y	0.01127	0.0010082	0.32122					
Var(a*b)/Gamma Y	0.01453	0.0032088	0.04300					
Var(a)/Var(Error)	94.48551	40.44585	336.50782					
Var(b)/Var(Error)	1.10467	0.12886	47.19043					
Var(a*b)/Var(Error)	1.42432	0.55232	3.74691					

Note that the generalized confidence interval widths from Output 95.2.4 for parameters  $\gamma_R$  and DR are 85.7 and 171.4, respectively. These widths are much shorter than the MLS-based widths, which are 103.9 and 207.8 from Output 95.2.3.

In general, the GCL method provides a more accurate confidence interval with a shorter interval width than the MLS method. However, as discussed in the section "Generalized Confidence Limits" on page 7997, they are computationally intensive and somewhat nondeterministic, because they are based on an underlying Monte Carlo simulation.

# References

- Burdick, R. K., Borror, C. M., and Montgomery, D. C. (2003), "A Review of Methods for Measurement Systems Capability Analysis," *Journal of Quality Technology*, 35, 342–354.
- Burdick, R. K., Borror, C. M., and Montgomery, D. C. (2005), *Design and Analysis of Gauge R&R Studies: Making Decisions with Confidence Intervals in Random and Mixed ANOVA Models*, Philadelphia, PA and Alexandria, VA: SIAM and ASA.
- Gaylor, D. W., Lucas, H. L., and Anderson, R. L. (1970), "Calculation of Expected Mean Squares by the Abbreviated Doolittle and Square Root Methods," *Biometrics*, 26, 641–655.

- Goodnight, J. (1978), *Computing MIVQUE0 Estimates of Variance Components*, Technical report, SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, SAS Technical Report R-105 Edition.
- Goodnight, J. H. and Hemmerle, W. J. (1979), "A Simplified Algorithm for the W-Transformation in Variance Component Estimation," *Technometrics*, 21, 265–268.
- Graybill, F. A. and Wang, C. M. (1980), "Confidence Intervals on Nonnegative Linear Combinations of Variances," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 75, 869–873.
- Hartley, H. O., Rao, J. N. K., and LaMotte, L. (1978), "A Simple Synthesis-Based Method of Variance Component Estimation," *Biometrics*, 34, 233–244.
- Hemmerle, W. J. and Hartley, H. O. (1973), "Computing Maximum Likelihood Estimates for the Mixed AOV Model Using the W-Transformation," *Technometrics*, 15, 819–831.
- Hicks, C. R. (1973), Fundamental Concepts in the Design of Experiments, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Hocking, R. R. (1983), "A Diagnostic Tool for Mixed Models with Applications to Negative Estimates of Variance Components," in *Proceedings of the Eighth Annual SAS Users Group International Conference*, Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Hocking, R. R. (1984), Analysis of Linear Models, Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Houf, R. E. and Burman, D. B. (1988), "Statistical Analysis of Power Module Thermal Test Equipment Performance," *IEEE Transactions on Components Hybrids, and Manufacturing Technology*, 11, 516–520.
- Patterson, H. D. and Thompson, R. (1971), "Recovery of Inter-Block Information When Block Sizes Are Unequal," *Biometrika*, 58, 545–554.
- Rao, C. R. (1971), "Minimum Variance Quadratic Unbiased Estimation of Variance Components," *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 1, 445–456.
- Rao, C. R. (1972), "Estimation of Variance and Covariance Components in Linear Models," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 67, 112–115.
- Searle, S. R., Casella, G., and McCulloch, C. E. (1992), *Variance Components*, New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Weerahandi, S. (1993), "Generalized Confidence Intervals," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 899–905.

# Subject Index

classification variables
VARCOMP procedure, 7989
computational details
GRR method (VARCOMP), 7993
maximum likelihood method (VARCOMP),
7993
MIVQUE0 method (VARCOMP), 7992
restricted maximum likelihood method
(VARCOMP), 7993
Type I method (VARCOMP), 7992
VARCOMP procedure, 7992, 8000
confidence limits
VARCOMP procedure, 7995
estimation methods
VARCOMP procedure, 7988
VARCOWII procedure, 1700
fixed effects
VARCOMP procedure, 7984, 7991
fixed-effects model
VARCOMP procedure, 7991
VARCOWII procedure, 1991
gauge R&R
VARCOMP procedure, 7988, 7994
Three on proceeding, 1900, 1991
maximum likelihood
VARCOMP procedure, 7988
methods of estimation
VARCOMP procedure, 7984, 8000
<u>*</u>
missing values
VARCOMP procedure, 7990
mixed model
VARCOMP procedure, 7991
nagativa variance components
negative variance components
VARCOMP procedure, 7992
random effects
VARCOMP procedure, 7983, 7991
•
random effects model
VARCOMP procedure, 7991
restricted maximum likelihood
VARCOMP procedure, 7988
16
seed for random number
VARCOMP procedure, 7988
VADCOMD procedure
VARCOMP procedure

computational details, 7992

confidence level, 7990 Confidence limits, 7995 confidence limits, 7990 dependent variables, 7984, 7989 estimation methods, 7988 fixed effects, 7984, 7991 fixed-effects model, 7991 gauge R&R, 7988, 7994 input data sets, 7988 introductory example, 7984 maximum likelihood, 7988 methods of estimation, 7984, 8000 missing values, 7990 mixed model, 7991 negative variance components, 7992 ODS table names, 7999 random effects, 7983, 7991 random-effects model, 7991 relationship to PROC MIXED, 7999 repeatability and reproducibility, 7984 restricted maximum likelihood, 7988 seed for random number, 7988 variability, 7984 variance component, 7991 variability VARCOMP procedure, 7984 variance component VARCOMP procedure, 7991

# Syntax Index

ALPHA= option MODEL statement(VARCOMP), 7990 BY statement VARCOMP procedure, 7989 CL option MODEL statement(VARCOMP), 7990 **CLASS** statement VARCOMP procedure, 7989 DATA= option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 EPSILON= option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 FIXED= option MODEL statement (VARCOMP), 7990 MAXITER= option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 METHOD= option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 MODEL statement VARCOMP procedure, 7989 NSAMPLE= option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7990 PROC VARCOMP statement, see VARCOMP procedure VARCOMP procedure, 7988 **RATIO** option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 SEED= option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 SPECLIMITS= option PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 VARCOMP procedure, 7987 CLASS statement, 7989 MODEL statement, 7989 PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988 **SYNTAX, 7987** VARCOMP procedure, BY statement, 7989 VARCOMP procedure, CLASS statement, 7989 VARCOMP procedure, MODEL statement, 7989 ALPHA= option, 7990
CL option, 7990
FIXED= option, 7990
VARCOMP procedure, PROC VARCOMP statement, 7988
DATA= option, 7988
EPSILON= option, 7988
MAXITER= option, 7988
METHOD= option, 7988
NSAMPLE= option, 7990
RATIO option, 7988
SEED= option, 7988
SPECLIMITS= option, 7988

# **Your Turn**

We welcome your feedback.

- If you have comments about this book, please send them to yourturn@sas.com. Include the full title and page numbers (if applicable).
- If you have comments about the software, please send them to suggest@sas.com.

# **SAS®** Publishing Delivers!

Whether you are new to the work force or an experienced professional, you need to distinguish yourself in this rapidly changing and competitive job market. SAS\* Publishing provides you with a wide range of resources to help you set yourself apart. Visit us online at support.sas.com/bookstore.

# **SAS®** Press

Need to learn the basics? Struggling with a programming problem? You'll find the expert answers that you need in example-rich books from SAS Press. Written by experienced SAS professionals from around the world, SAS Press books deliver real-world insights on a broad range of topics for all skill levels.

support.sas.com/saspress

#### **SAS®** Documentation

To successfully implement applications using SAS software, companies in every industry and on every continent all turn to the one source for accurate, timely, and reliable information: SAS documentation. We currently produce the following types of reference documentation to improve your work experience:

- Online help that is built into the software.
- Tutorials that are integrated into the product.
- Reference documentation delivered in HTML and PDF free on the Web.
- Hard-copy books.

support.sas.com/publishing

# **SAS®** Publishing News

Subscribe to SAS Publishing News to receive up-to-date information about all new SAS titles, author podcasts, and new Web site features via e-mail. Complete instructions on how to subscribe, as well as access to past issues, are available at our Web site.

support.sas.com/spn



Sas THE POWER TO KNOW