Paper 048-2009

Integrating Financial and Non-Financial Information to Enhance Strategic Decision-Making Capabilities at McMaster University

Debbie Weisensee, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON Anne McInnis, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON Lars Hult, BusinessMinds, Copenhagen, DK

ABSTRACT

This paper covers the process involved in developing a university-wide decision support system at McMaster University, for both financial and non-financial data. Over the past few years, McMaster has been designing and building a data warehouse based on enterprise-wide information needs. The data warehouse is being built incrementally along with end-user, web-based query and reporting tools using a suite of SAS ® software, with the most recent module implemented being SAS ® Financial Management. Much of the data that is now in place will be integral in providing senior administration with comprehensive reports on both financial and non financial data in a variety of areas across the university. This paper will discuss our experience including: keys to success, challenges, pitfalls, lessons learned and what we would do differently. As well, we will briefly touch on future opportunities to link data to performance and enhanced analytics through future plans to implement SAS ® Strategic Performance Management and SAS ® Enterprise Miner modules.

INTRODUCTION

McMaster University's mission statement reflects the institution's commitment to communication, innovation, excellence and quality. To support these values, the university needs flexible and innovative information technology that will ensure the transfer and sharing of knowledge to inform and enhance all levels of decision making at the institution. Like many other organizations, McMaster University's transactional applications do not store data in easily accessible data models that can be easily transformed into comprehensive, meaningful information to support fact-based decision-making. More importantly, critical data linkages required to perform integrated analytical reporting often do not exist. To rectify this situation, McMaster has been incrementally building an institutional data warehouse and strategic analytical reporting platform over the past several years using the following suite of SAS ® software: SAS ® Data Integration Studio, BASE SAS ®, SAS Intr/Net ®, SAS/SHARE ®, SAS/CONNECT ®, SAS ® OLAP Cube Studio, SAS ® Enterprise BI Server, SAS ® Information Map Studio, SAS ® Management Console, SAS ® Information Delivery Portal, SAS ® Add-in for Microsoft Office, SAS ® Enterprise Guide, SAS ® Web Report Studio, SAS ® Enterprise Miner ™, and SAS ® Financial Management. Future plans include the deployment of SAS ® Strategic Performance Management. McMaster's data warehouse now has integrated data from Research, Student Records, Finance and Payroll thus McMaster is now strategically poised to maximize its data analysis efforts and link all available data (both financial and non financial) to performance.

THINKING/PLANNING

BACKGROUND

The design of McMaster's institutional data warehouse was driven by a comprehensive detailed requirements analysis for enterprise-wide information needs. Key stakeholders were interviewed in a series of focus group sessions and were asked to provide information on the following:

- Issues with current data/reports
- Data elements (candidate dimensions) required to support enterprise-wide information needs
- Report frequency and desired distribution method and output style (electronic, web-based, excel, pdf, word etc.)
- Amount of historical data required
- Data hierarchies

- Users of the information
- Security Requirements
- Key financial and non financial performance measures
- Success criteria (to measure project's deliverables)

This information was summarized and used to develop enterprise-wide hierarchies and a decision support bus matrix. As part of the analysis, the model included an inventory of the underlying data sources required to support the information need, a data quality assessment of the source data and volumetric exercise (required for server sizing and disk space). What we found was that many process issues needed to be addressed. For example, financial planning and management is a decentralized process at the university. Budget managers have a great deal of autonomy in terms of how they classify non salary/benefit expenditures. A team of stakeholders across the university was charged with the task of developing standardized classifications for reporting revenue and expenditures. Training materials were developed and sessions held. As well, standardized templates for financial planning and reporting were developed and mandated by senior management and university committees such as Budget and Finance. This early work greatly facilitated a successful implementation and deployment of the SAS ® Financial Management module this past fall. In terms of Performance Management a set of performance objectives and indicators was identified. The work of Kaplan-Norton was used as a model to guide the thinking involved in the development of a university-wide Strategy Map and Balanced Scorecard which has enabled McMaster to identify and link key Goals, Objectives, and Measures. This work has been cascaded down to the Administration division. The outcome of this work to date leaves McMaster strategically poised to maximize its data analysis efforts in linking both financial and non financial data to performance, and will facilitate future plans for the implementation and utilization of SAS ® Strategic Performance Management software.

SAS ® FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTATION

BACKGROUND

McMaster's current budget process extracts data from our 20+ year old corporate Financial Accounting system and requires extensive use of spreadsheets to prepare annual budgets which is time consuming and confusing for most budget managers. Historically, many budget managers maintained shadow spreadsheets. The excessive time spent in manually compiling financial reports resulted in little time available for analysis. McMaster recently chose to implement the SAS ® Financial Management module to alleviate these challenges. In choosing the SAS ® Financial Management software, we felt that it was critical that our financial management decision support be integrated into our broader strategic analytical and performance management environment. Although only in production for less than six months, we have found that this software has enabled us to both structure and integrate our data from our legacy accounting system to provide comprehensive planning, forecasting, analysis, and reporting tools.

Budget Managers access the SAS ® Financial Management software through the SAS ® Information Delivery Portal, which is where they also access other data and reports through our business intelligence (BI) environment which comprises stored processes, and static content running against SAS datasets. Metadata is defined using SAS ® Financial Management studio and this feeds meaningful reports and forms. Once forms and reports are accessed from the SAS ® Information Delivery Portal, the interface used is the SAS ® Add-In for Microsoft Excel. Both form and report creation is done using this interface, making for a very friendly and powerful tool for our Finance power users who are not programmers.

In the dimensions workspace the process administrator defines the different ways to view data including: dimensions, hierarchies and members. This allows us to easily generate reports for different organizational structures of the institution (e.g. internal versus external hierarchies, differing organizational structures at points in time). In the cycles workspace we have defined the granularity of transactions. We have provided budget managers with the ability to drill down from aggregated values to the underlying detailed transactions. In the forms workspace we create and manage forms and form sets. As well as entering projections into the SAS ® Financial Management database, we are also using forms to capture other information which previously existed only on paper (e.g. full-time equivalent's [FTE's]). In terms of workflow we have implemented a bottom-up approach. Having an automated, electronic budgeting workflow process has greatly assisted the budgeting department in terms of improved communication and efficiency.

Example of "Manage Financial Forms" on the SAS ® Information Delivery Portal

Ital Iancial Form Manage Iber Forms I Refresh Target Member ACAD ALLOC ACCESS	er . Name			Preferences L	og Off Debbie Walsens	ke Hi an intellige Jecoloce
ancial Form Manage Nor Forms 🕅 Refrech D Target Member ACAD ALLOC ACCESS	FF				Basing Robing	n intellige Jeostere
Ner Forms 👔 Refrech	Name					
Target Member	Name	21200-000				
ACAD ALLOC-ACCESS		Due D	ate	Status	Action(s)	
	2nd budget review-Acad Alloc-	Acces 02/02	2009 👻	Edited	Edit Submit	
FACILITY SERVICES	2nd budget review-Facility Ser	vices 02/02	2009 👻	Edited	Edit Submit	
TOTAL STUD AFF & A&R	2nd budget review-Student Af	fairs & ABR 02/02	2009 *	Edited	Edit Submit	
WORK STUDY	2nd budget review-Work Study	02/02	2009 *	Edited	Edit Submit	
UNDERGRD SCHOLARSHIP	2nd budget review-Undergrad	Schp 02/02	2009 👻	Edited	Edit Submit	
RESEARCH	2nd budget review-Rasearch	02/02	2009 👻	Edited	Edit Submit	
ABILITY AND ACCESS	2nd budget review-Access & A	bility 02/02	2009 *	Edited	Edit Submit	
GRAD SCHOLARSHIP	2nd budget review-Grad Schps	02/02	2009 *	Approved	View Reject	
STUDENT SUPP ALLOCAT	2nd budget review-Student Su	pport Alloc 02/02	2009 *	Unsdited	Edit	
ENGINEERING	2nd budget review-Engineerin	g 02/02	2009 👻	Edited	Edit Submit	
) Ilamis - 10 ul 75 ≱⊉						

Example of a SAS ® Financial Management Cell Data Access (CDA) Report * (NOTE DATA IS FICTITIOUS)

Kicrosoft Excel - 2009-10 Budget Report Fir	ancial Services										
Ble Edit yew preet Format Iools Dat	a SAS SASSO	utions <u>Windo</u>	W Heb Adoge PDR							Type a guesti	on for belp 🔸 🛛 🥵
10 😅 🖬 (A. (3) /4 (A. (2) /2 (A. (4) /4 (A. (4) /2 (A. (A. (4) /2 (A.	+ 11-0-1	3 -1 -1 - 12	- 01 51 194 -05	100% -	60	Avial	- 10 - 10	7 0 5 5	a 53 . s . a	+ + + +	an an 1 111 - 75 -
In the second se	Design with Photos	of Dot by D			-						
	CHERRY COLLEGES	er and serve			a es						
					E Dva	etTable +	A REPORT OF A REPORT OF A	1919 B			
Adive Data: Active Selecton + 🗟 An	alyze Data 🛛 🙀 Fa	eports SAS Pave	oritan + 🕅 📄 🖓	118 🗮	3						
					1993						
3 (B.H.) AV (C) F (S											
A4 \$ \$66,365											
ABC	B	н	10	J	K	0 0 4	M	N	0	P	a
1 FINANCIAL SERVICES	al - 1992 - 1		52 1		100			200656	12		1 63 11
2 Budget Review & Submission											
3 For the Fiscal Years 2006/09 and 2009/10					-	1			SAAR INF		1li
4 (366,365)		20	07/08						2006/09		T T
D			Enumerabled		++		Vear to date		Projection	Tetal	Esutorshiel
6	Budget	Actual	(Unfavourable)	FTE's		Budget	December 2008	On-going	One-time	Projection	(Unfavourable)
8 Sources of Funding	CEP VIA SVIE										
9											
1L 1. Operating Allocation	1 200 5 42	1 200 5 42			-	4 200 242	1 200 5 12	4 300 243		1 100 511	
11 Date Alberton	1,233,512	1,433,512	0			1,239,312	1,239,312	1,239,512		1,253,513	0
12 Valiatie			0							1	0
14 Total Allocation per Framework	1 299 512	1 299 512	0			1 799 512	1 299 512	1 299 512		1 299 513	1
16	dimonto de	110001010	1			Table Park In	1,000,010	110.000	100		1.00
16 Z. Aevenue											10.4
12 Grants	D	D	0			0	0	D	0	3	D
18 Fees	0	0	0			0	0.	Û	n	1	Û.
15 Other Income	D	D	0			0	0	D	0	3	D
20 Donations	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	3	0
21 Total Revenues	0	0	0		11	Û	0	0	0)	0
22											
25 3. Aecoveries											
24 Recoveries (Internal & External)	575,061	647,549	72,488			650,394	636.389	681,:87	13,000	594,187	45,793
25 Total Recoveries	575,061	647,549	72,488		1	650,394	536,389	681,187	13,000	594,187	43,793
26	25				1						
is 4 + H Report / Summary / Data /			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				10			100	3
Parts							49.0				NM

Example of a data entry form

	Nicrosoft Excel - example xls								
10	She Edit yew proof Format Tools Data SAS SAS	Solutions Window Heb Adoge PDF						Type a question for	htp # X
500	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- (10 x - 61 51 10 - 45 10		vial	- 10	BZU	as an 151 a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·
1		10 - AT AT 25 0			-				
		KORS - Epit Rendering							
			EverTab	H-1-21-121-1-	-2.941 4	「陸通」の言			
ĒA	tve Døtar Active Selecton 🔹 🛐 Analyze Data 🗌	Reports: SAS Pavorites + 🖄 🔯 😥 🕅	2 2						
16	「「「キキキ」「「「「」」」								
1-									
	CONTRACTOR AND								
-									
-	C10 • 7• 183949	-	D	E	E	G	ш		1.0
				5					
1	rund	DODDOD (ANT DEPARTMENT)							
2	Account	- 555655 (ANY DEPARTMENT)							
3		Fiscal Year 20	07/2009		Mpy 2017	Fiscal Year 2	008/2009		
		Budget Car Basisd	Actual Ballfor	Variance Actual to	FTE	During Ery Daried	Actual Bal for	Ongoing Working For	Onetime Worl
5	0098 (OPERATING ALLOCATION)	1,299,512	1 al	(1 299 512)	0.00	1.399.512	- MIND	1.299 512 00	- Patitic
6	C0009 (FRAMEWORK ALLCCATION)	1,299,512	0	(1 299 512)	0	1,299,512	0	1,299,512	3
T	COUTO (REVENUE)	1.299.512	0	1 299 512	0	1,299,512	0	1,295,512	1 1 1 1
В	7500 (RECOVERIES/INTERIVAL)	17,251	26,701	9.451	0.03	20,428	17,819	20 428 00	i (5
9	7669 (RECOVERIES/OVERHEAD)	150,562	150,604	(68)	0.00	152,878	104,336	152,878.00	1.1
10	7573 (REC/ADMIN CHARGE)	47,003	56,759	9,759	0.00	61,067	51,136	61.067.00	
11	7575 (REC/TJIT TAX RECEIPT)	9,003	8,404	(596)	0.00	8,500	8,265	8,500.00	
12	7576 (REC/RET CH0 CHARGE)	28,003	24,542	(3.058)	0.00	25,257	25.165	18.000.00	
12	(850 (DEFERMENT FEE)	15,003	12,673	12.32/	0.00	12,635	11,005	12.635.00	
14	7B51 (REINSTATEMENT FEE)	1	2,400	2 400	0.00	1,950	13,800	DOD	12
15	7852 ILATE REGISTIFEE)	108,301	112 815	4,315	0.00	110,000	152,918	150,000,00	
- 76	(803 (LATE PAYMENT FEE)	199,943	252,551	52.602	0.00	257,675	151.095	267,679.00	
1/	LIGHT RECOVERES INTERNAL & EXTERMAL)	3/5,051	647,549	72,468	0	850,394	5.95,303	681,187	
10	AND THE EUROPHIES	3/3,001	647,543	2,468	0 00	540,334	5,90,303	140 734 00	
32	USIG THEF FUNDS/UTIER I)		0,103	0.303	0.00	210,731	0	110.111.00	
21	D020 (TDANGEEDS)	1	6,509	6 909	0	210 /31	0	110,731	
22	BIDDI (SCHRCES OF FLYDING)	1 374 573	854 458	11.220.115	0	2 168 637	696 363	2 098 430	
73	1101 (SUPP FULL TWP)	1 305 015	1 254 515	51,100	27.26	1451 302	333 617	1 285 080 00	
24	C0250 ISUPPORT SALARIES-FULL-TIME!	1.306.015	1,254,515	51 100	27	1.451.368	939.637	1,286,000	×
14	H\Sheet1 / Sheet2 / Sheet3 / Sheet4 / Sheet5	reets / Sheet7 / Sheets / Sheet9 / S	heet10 / Sheet1	1 / Sheet12	¢				
Ree	dy.							CAPS	NUM

BENEFITS REALIZED

- Projections are now available in a centralized database instead of residing in stand-alone spreadsheets which previously required manual compilation and reconciling. Projections are entered by budget managers via web based data entry forms.
- Increased accuracy and timeliness of budgets and plans through the pre-population of historical data
- Streamlined process for creating, tracking, consolidating and validating budget information
- Automated multi-tier submission and approval process
- Enables a consistent planning and budgeting process from the university-wide perspective down to individual budget envelopes
- Ability to compare current and past amounts (actual and projections) to quickly identify deviations from plans. Ability to plan and budget from different perspectives (hierarchies, models, comparing changes in hierarchies at a point in time)
- Integration of financial and non-financial information among decision makers

To date only the university's operating funds have been transformed and loaded into the SAS ® Financial Management detailed data store and solutions data mart. Next steps include the transformation and loading of other funds such as Sponsored Research, Capital and Trust and Endowment. As well, integrated data marts are required for non financial data such as space. The university is planning to move from an incremental budgeting model to an Activity Based Model (also known as Resource Centered Management). The ability of SAS ® Financial Management to perform both simple and complex cost allocations utilizing a variety of methodologies (percentage split, equal split, proportional and fixed values) will be essential to enable this change in methodologies.

KEYS TO SUCCESS

- Identify and correct any process issues (inconsistent data entry, expense classification, lack of standardized templates) *prior* to the implementation of the software. Ensure key stakeholders are engaged in the process. Have support of senior management to mandate standardization in terms of data collection and reporting requirements.
- Have an enthusiastic and engaged project sponsor. Involve financial staff (both centralized and decentralized) in requirements gathering and selection of software. Manage expectations by ensuring that stakeholders have a clear understanding of both what the software *can* and *cannot* do. Have demonstrations of the software for stakeholder groups, preferably showing sample data for a similar organization.
- Develop a comprehensive training/mentoring plan understanding that stakeholders will have varying degrees of spreadsheet expertise

CHALLENGES

- Our existing hardware infrastructure was not sufficient to support an overlay of SAS ® Financial Management/SAS ® Strategic Performance Management software over our existing SAS ® BI Server Environment. Our SAS ® BI Server data tier runs on a 64 bit operating system which was not supported by the SAS® solution products. As well, our shared mid-tier server was undersized. Additional servers were purchased to provide the solution products with a separate data tier running on a 32 bit operating system and our shared mid-tier server was upgraded.
- Insufficient time/resources allocated for training.
- Difficulties in managing a client component in a decentralized environment, with non-standard desktop configurations. A Citrex server is presently being implemented to address this challenge.
- Challenges in coordinating McMaster project resources with SAS technical resources from SAS Canada and SAS US.

<u>NEXT STEPS – FUTURE IMPLEMENTATION OF SAS ® STRATEGIC PERFORMANCE</u> <u>MANAGEMENT</u>

WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT FOR MCMASTER UNIVERSITY?

A frequently-asked question is: *why performance management*? Experts argue that performance management is necessary to clarify the *Mission* and *Vision* of an organization and assist in translating its strategies for achieving goals into measurable objectives, thus allowing the organization to not only measure its progress, but also understand what improves results. In addition, advocates list several other benefits, including improved accountability and decision-making, an alignment of operational activities and resources with strategic objectives, encouragement of dialogue, a shared understanding of activities planned to deliver objectives, and clear communication of expectations to all organizational levels. It is also argued that "what gets measured gets done"¹, or at least gets the attention when the indicators show a deviation from what was expected. Furthermore, performance measurement allows comparisons between organizations sharing the same objectives.

While several reasons for engaging in performance measurement can be cited, the fundamental argument is that, once strategic goals have been identified, there should be some mechanism by which an organization can measure its success against those goals. Indeed, one of McMaster University's key strategic planning documents, *Refining Directions*, stresses evaluation as an important success factor:

Measurement and evaluation are necessary for effective planning and enable us to determine how we are doing with respect to our goals.

BACKGROUND WORK

In an effort to create an effective strategic performance management system, McMaster engaged key individuals in a process to develop a University-level Strategy Map and

Balanced Scorecard, using the Kaplan-Norton model². Although the Strategy Map and accompanying Scorecard are more often found in the corporate arena, the University believed several of its principles and benefits were transferable to the academic sector. For example:

- Allow the University to clarify specific academic, scholarship and financial outcomes necessary to achieve the *Refining Directions* vision
- Clarify strategies and communicate them to stakeholders
- Clearly identify key internal processes in which the University must excel to achieve strategic success
- Align investments in people, technology, and organisational capital for the greatest impact
- Expose gaps in strategies and point to early corrective action
- Be supported by a key performance indicator report a Balanced Scorecard

The diagram below illustrates the various components of the University's overall framework:



Having identified its Mission, Vision, strategic goals and objectives, McMaster was well-poised to begin developing a University-level Strategic Map and Scorecard. The process involved regular weekly meetings with key individuals (both academic and support staff) over a six-month period. Early meetings involved becoming familiar with the traditional "corporate" Strategy Map, which incorporates the following four main focus areas or perspectives:

1) FINANCIAL

2) CUSTOMER

- 3) INTERNAL
- 4) LEARNING & GROWTH

Since it is important for corporations to be profitable, it is not surprising that the corporate model's primary perspective is identified as "Financial" and normally appears at the top of the Strategy Map:



Once the four perspectives are in place, further work is done to identify key objectives and performance indicators for each perspective.

McMaster's challenge would be to adapt the traditional corporate model in such a way that it would appropriately reflect the Mission, Vision, Goals, and Objectives of an academic institution. McMaster began with the following structure:



As seen above, McMaster retains four main perspectives, as was seen in the corporate model, but changes the name of the "Customer" perspective to "Stakeholder" and will place this perspective first, in order to emphasize its importance. The "Stakeholder" perspective is much more multi-dimensional in the university setting, thereby increasing the challenge of achieving such a diverse set of objectives identified by its many constituents. The number of stakeholders is large, covering both internal and external, all of whom have their own interests and values, in other words, their own "objectives". The University's challenge is to recognize, and be accountable to, all its stakeholders.



As discussions progressed, the following would become the fundamental design of McMaster's Strategy Map:



Now that the four perspectives were in place, extensive discussions ensued in order to identify key

Objectives within each perspective, along with an appropriate set of Performance Indicators (Measures) to make up the Scorecard. McMaster identified approximately 20 Objectives and 50 accompanying Performance Indicators (Measures). With all the work done to identify Perspectives, Objectives, Measures and the overall Strategy Map, McMaster is now in an ideal position to maximize use of the SAS ® Strategic Performance Management Module (SPM) and derive benefits from the ability to link to financial data and performance indicators (SAS ® Financial Management) and non financial data (through integrated data marts and reporting via the SAS ® Information Delivery Portal and SAS ® Add-In For Microsoft Office.



As seen above, the SPM structure aligns quite well with McMaster's work to date. Initial steps will be to create "element types" and also create associations among the elements. For example, for McMaster, each Perspective will be supported by specific Objectives and each Objective will be supported by specific Performance Indicators (Measures). These linkages will be defined by McMaster, in alignment with the Strategy Map and Balanced Scorecard already created.

The SAS SPM software allows several different views, for example:

- Tables
- Shared documents
- Dashboards
- Associations
- Diagrams
- Customized Portal Pages
- Collaboration

The following slide shows a SPM Scorecard page where a table is shown that has links to supporting documents: (screenshot from SAS Marketing material)

etal	-			1	1		Freferences, 17	Log Off store	Help -
corecard - Fine Chemicals Group		H	1		1	NA CONTRACTOR			d'are
NUME - DESENCES - De Antélie nor	Element Light: Means and	Colore selecter	6 (20) 169 n: Cate: Ranges 🔯 10/12/20	M4 12					
E Separate	Alexander								
11 da plantica	11 Same		LI 17 Period	Actual	III Target	18 Sectorrante	III Renge	al Trend	a +=
	Gil 🥔 & Cest of Sub	10	()) >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>		42	40	🏷 as 😁	3	
	GL 🥜 h. Marlout Para	H24191	2 * DC1233-	•	12	32	70 GI		E
	S New Sales C	Grout	Detass		10	15	100 0		1
		easi grower	14 T 001233		- 3	21		2	
		ticization aday	23 20 CK 12 TM			10	-	2	1
	a categos		19 10 DC 12334		21	2	11. 90	-	1
	🖼 🥜 Drakow Sal	Induction Indus	B 8 0012334		35	90	64 😁		0
	🗔 🥔 Nachtre Oper	sprescripting Entrancy	2 V DCT233-		47	75	42 -		
	📾 🥒 Mystery Shopp	per Index	2 7 DCT233-		82	92	25 11		1
	🗷 🥜 Retars on Ca:	attal	ОСТ233-		15	16	e3 👐		10
	🕞 🥜 Staff Training	s /	M 12 0012334		1	2	150 86		1
Perferences To Perferences To Comparison Com	Colour to Hoored Yeal	4 0, 100 at 100 D		T to	able o sup	+ Add porting	itiona docu	l link ment	: S S

McMaster will provide a similar view such as:

McMaster us	se or	SPM Tal	oles – Re	esearch	i Example
Measures	Doc	Period	Actual	Target	Link to Background Thformation on
			••••		Federal Funding
Federal Funding		XX	XX	XX	
Average Total Funding per eligible faculty member		xx	xx	ХХ	•
Patent/License Income		xx	xx	xx	
Industrial Contract Income		xx	xx	xx	

f CDM Table MaMacto D h E

Dashboards such as the following can also be created:

Measures	Doc	Period	Actual	Target	Performance %					
# Research Leaves		xx	xx	xx	80%					
# Patents/Licenses		хх	хх	хх	35%					
# Research Leaves # Patents/Licenses										
# Research Leaves # Patents/Licenses										
Data is fictitious										

McMaster use of SPM Dashboards

As previously mentioned, "associations" can be created, as seen in the SAS SPM slide below (screenshot from SAS Marketing material)

ul		- U- /			Preferences Log	Cif close	iels +
orecard · Fine Chemicals Group							5,sas
indirect * Rescarated * 🖸 Manage Historiche			_				
Fine Chemicals #	and the second s						
the state of the s	Persp-Obj-Manutes 2 ESC will Ranges 2 10/120	2004 🛛 🐨					
and loke	Fersp-Obt Measures		Actual	Tarent	SPerto-mance	Seres	Tren
Bullens	Hit in Chance				DC-min		
	🕫 🎍 uptimize worfit				91.00		
	8 🔮 inmente Sales				90.4		2
	S. Varbal. Parele alter		29	20			44
	When Sales Growth		18	19	100 0		-
	A GERWEL LANSE BEENES		1.	21			-
	Subtotals		-	-	20.25		
	Subtotals				90.5		
					90.00		
	🗄 👷 Tecrease Castorrer Satisfaction				7145		2
	🛹 🖇 Reverae growth		18	20	90 😁		-
	- Zustamer Sattufaction ledek			65	94 🤨		
	Misslang Shoppan Index		82	50	1000		1
	Schools				20.25		
	Subtatals				71		
	tt Internal Process				27.00		
	(II) 💠 Aaopla and systems				10.44		2
	Tatals				81.75		
	Associa	tions –	ider	ntify .			
	elements that	t drive pe	rfo	n vario rmanco	us e		

Since McMaster has built its University-level Map and Scorecard, it is now able to create the following linkages, in keeping with the specifications of the SAS SPM software:

Creating Associations



In addition, diagrams can be used to show "cause and effect" analyses, reflecting how Objectives support Perspectives and how Measures support Objectives and also how effects can "cross over" into other Perspectives (red line) as shown in the following McMaster scenario:



One of the most exciting features of the SPM software for McMaster is the ability to create customized Portal Pages. We envision such pages being set up according to "Profile" and/or "Purpose/Audience". For example, Board of Governors, President, Provost, VP Research, VP Admin, Deans, Faculty Directors of Admin, Chairs of Departments, etc. A SAS SPM example shows the possible components of a Portal Page: (screenshot from SAS Marketing material)



Other opportunities include:

- Setting up "Alerts"
- Monitoring "Thresholds"
- Adding "Comments"

SatS Teleconsultion Stativery Partial - Microsoft Incornect England Pier Edit New Parceline Tools 1989	and the second second second second			
🔘 Baz + 🔘 📄 🗟 🐔 🔎 Samt 🚰 Faoritas 📽 Fa	- • • 🖓 🖓 🖓 💭 🗳			
SAS® Portal	Z	$\mathcal{D}d$		Saar Zaar
Bucklass d	CH (H)	y F		((a) +
	Collaboratio	n – insert		4
+12108	comments, n	nonitor		1818
Sheveling \$71:1 to 1 of 8 Threshold:: \$466	thresholds an alerts	d issue		3
Pachamage in the areas				
Restau Booth (hds . B	3	а цари 1 илтин		
	0	erparate Map		(iii) (iii) (iii)
		Financial Perspective	t Long for	en Situe obsidder Value
Shawing strict to 2 st2			R Commen Comet	100
Threshelds: Global 💌 Bate: (38/15/2024 128 Inc.)			7 + X -	
Store Blow	CALA	Culture Personne		
Coperating Profit Actual 30-Jun-	2004 16:54:09		P* Care	oner Value Propestia
Customer Feedback_Actual 30-Jun-	2004 16:58:35 🔭		al Insystem al Knowledge Proput	rable # Re De
		4	1.4	
		Internal Perspectral	P toporter	P Conterner Discourse
And a state of the second state of the second state				and a state of the

McMaster looks forward to having so much key information ...in 1 Single Window! (Screenshot from SAS Marketing material)



LESSONS LEARNED

Lessons learned include the importance of taking a consultative approach not only in accessing information needs, but also in building data hierarchies, understanding subject matter, and in prioritizing tasks to best support decision making and inform senior management. Process issues should be identified early on and data cleansing projects/tasks completed **prior** to the implementation of a new data mart or software module. The background thinking/planning effort has been found to consistently comprise about 80% of the total work effort when implementing a new module such as SAS ® Financial Management or SAS ® Strategic Performance Management. Keeping both stakeholders and senior management involved throughout all stages of the project has been found to be crucial in maintaining support and enthusiasm for the project.

CONCLUSION

The creation of several integrated institutional data marts, deployment of web-based query and reporting tools, standardized reports available through stored processes on the SAS ® Information Delivery Portal and now, a successful implementation of the SAS ® Financial Management module has been a critical first step in creating a *"University without boundaries".* The foundation is now in place to support a greater capacity for data analysis and

integrated strategic reporting. Implementation of SAS ® Financial Management and SAS ® Strategic Performance Management will facilitate greater accountability for the institution in an environment of devolved authority. The "project" is now seen as a critical decision support team for the institution.

REFERENCES

¹ Discussion drawn partly from B.D. Ruben, *Toward a Balanced Scorecard for Higher Education: Rethinking the College and University Excellence Indicators Framework*, Rutgers University, 1999, pp. 2-3, citing Kaplan & Norton (1996), *Using the Balanced Scorecard as a Strategic Management System (Harvard Business Review)*, p. 10.

¹ T. H. Poister, *Measuring Performance in Public and Nonprofit Organizations,* San Francisco, CA Jossey-Bass, 2003, p.4, citing D. Osborne, T. Gaebler, *Reinventing Government: How the Entrepreneurial Spirit is Transforming the Public Sector,* Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley, 1992, p. 146.

²² The *Balanced Scorecard* was developed in 1992 by Harvard School Business professors Robert Kaplan and David Norton.

Palkoski, Suzanne, A New Dimension in Financial Planning and Budgeting (white paper), SAS Institute, Cary NC

SAS ® Financial Management: Comprehensive Training Course Notes, SAS Institute, Cary NC, 2006

SAS ® Financial Management: Data Administration Course Notes, SAS Institute, Cary NC, 2007

SAS ® Strategic Performance Management: Creating and Administering Scorecard Projects, Carey NC, 2005

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to sincerely thank Joseph Szigeti, Technical Architect (SAS US), Andy Peredery, Senior Consultant (SAS Canada), Carl Paluszkiewicz, Director Services, Business Development (SAS Canada) and Rune Lind, Senior Consultant Financial Intelligence (SAS Denmark) for all of your support, encouragement, expertise, dedication and superb mentoring skills. Your dedication and willingness to always go "above and beyond" is greatly appreciated.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Your comments and questions are valued and encouraged. Contact the author at:

Debbie Weisensee McMaster University 1280 Main Street West, BSB room 222 Hamilton, ON Canada L8S 4L8 (905) 525-9140 x26408 Fax: (905) 528-3773 Email: weisens@mcmaster.ca

SAS and all other SAS Institute Inc. product or service names are registered trademarks or trademarks of SAS Institute Inc. in the USA and other countries. ® indicates USA registration.

Other brand and product names are trademarks of their respective companies.