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Overview: QLIM Procedure

The QLIM (qualitative and limited dependent variable model) procedure analyzes univariate and multivariate limited dependent variable models in which dependent variables take discrete values or in which dependent variables are observed only in a limited range of values. These models include logit, probit, tobit, selection, and multivariate models. The multivariate model can contain discrete choice and limited endogenous variables in addition to continuous endogenous variables.

The QLIM procedure supports the following models:

- linear regression model with heteroscedasticity
- Box-Cox regression with heteroscedasticity
- probit with heteroscedasticity
- logit with heteroscedasticity
- tobit (censored and truncated) with heteroscedasticity
- bivariate probit
- bivariate tobit
- sample selection and switching regression models
• multivariate limited dependent variables
• stochastic frontier production and cost models

In the linear regression models with heteroscedasticity, the assumption that error variance is constant across observations is relaxed. The QLIM procedure allows for a number of different linear and nonlinear variance specifications. Another way to make the linear model more appropriate to fit the data and reduce skewness is to apply Box-Cox transformation. If the nature of the data is such that the dependent variable is discrete and it takes only two possible values, ordinary least squares (OLS) estimates are inconsistent. The QLIM procedure offers probit and logit models to overcome these estimation problems. Assumptions about the error variance can also be relaxed in order to estimate probit or logit with heteroscedasticity.

The QLIM procedure also offers a class of models in which the dependent variable is censored or truncated from below or above or both. When a continuous dependent variable is observed only within a certain range and values outside this range are not available, the QLIM procedure offers a class of models that adjust for truncation. In some cases, the dependent variable is continuous only in a certain range and all values outside this range are reported as being on its boundary. For example, if it is not possible to observe negative values, the value of the dependent variable is reported as equal to 0. Because the data are censored, OLS results are inconsistent, and it cannot be guaranteed that the predicted values from the model fall in the appropriate region.

Most of the models in the QLIM procedure can be extended to accommodate bivariate and multivariate scenarios. The assumption that one variable is observed only if another variable takes on certain values lead to the introduction of sample selection models. If the dependent variables are mutually exclusive and observed only for certain ranges of the selection variable, the sample selection can be extended to include cases of switching regression. Stochastic frontier production and cost models allow for random shocks of the production or cost. They include a systematic positive component in the error term that adjusts for technological or cost inefficiency.

The QLIM procedure can use the maximum likelihood method and the Bayesian method for both univariate and multivariate models. Initial starting values for the nonlinear optimizations are typically calculated by OLS.

---

**Getting Started: QLIM Procedure**

The QLIM procedure is similar in use to the other regression or simultaneous equations model procedures in the SAS System. For example, the following statements are used to estimate a binary choice model by using the probit probability function:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
  model y = x1;
  endogenous y ~ discrete;
run;
```

The response variable, y, is numeric and has discrete values. PROC QLIM enables the user to specify the type of endogenous variables in the ENDOGENOUS statement. The binary probit model can be also specified as follows:
model y = x1 / discrete;

When multiple endogenous variables are specified in the QLIM procedure, these equations are estimated as a system. Multiple endogenous variables can be specified with one MODEL statement in the QLIM procedure when these models have the same exogenous variables:

model y1 y2 = x1 x2 / discrete;

The preceding specification is equivalent to the following statements:

```
proc qlim data=a;
  model y1 = x1 x2;
  model y2 = x1 x2;
  endogenous y1 y2 ~ discrete;
run;
```

Some equations in multivariate models can be continuous while other equations can be discrete. A bivariate model with a discrete and a continuous equation is specified as follows:

```
proc qlim data=a;
  model y1 = x1 x2;
  model y2 = x3 x4;
  endogenous y1 ~ discrete;
run;
```

The standard tobit model is estimated by specifying the endogenous variable to be truncated or censored. The limits of the dependent variable can be specified with the CENSORED or TRUNCATED option in the ENDOGENOUS or MODEL statement when the data are limited by specific values or variables. For example, the two-limit censored model requires two variables that contain the lower (bottom) and upper (top) bound:

```
proc qlim data=a;
  model y = x1 x2 x3;
  endogenous y ~ censored(lb=bottom ub=top);
run;
```

The bounds can be numbers if they are fixed for all observations in the data set. For example, the standard tobit model can be specified as follows:

```
proc qlim data=a;
  model y = x1 x2 x3;
  endogenous y ~ censored(lb=0);
run;
```
Introductory Example: Binary Probit and Logit Models

The following example illustrates the use of PROC QLIM. The data were originally published by Mroz (1987) and downloaded from Wooldridge (2002). This data set is based on a sample of 753 married white women. The dependent variable is a discrete variable of labor force participation (inlf). Explanatory variables are the number of children ages 5 or younger (kidslt6), the number of children ages 6 to 18 (kidsge6), the woman’s age (age), the woman’s years of schooling (educ), wife’s labor experience (exper), square of experience (expersq), and the family income excluding the wife’s wage (nwifeinc). The program (with data values omitted) is as follows:

```cpp
/**-- Binary Probit --*/
proc qlim data=mroz plots=predicted;
  model inlf = nwifeinc educ exper expersq
            age kidslt6 kidsge6 / discrete;
run;
```

Results of this analysis are shown in the following four figures. In the first table, shown in Figure 29.1, PROC QLIM provides frequency information about each choice. In this example, 428 women participate in the labor force (inlf = 1).

**Figure 29.1** Choice Frequency Summary

### Binary Data

The **QLIM Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discrete Response Profile of inlf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Index</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second table is the estimation summary table shown in Figure 29.2. Included are the number of dependent variables, names of dependent variables, the number of observations, the log-likelihood function value, the maximum absolute gradient, the number of iterations, AIC, and Schwarz criterion.

**Figure 29.2** Fit Summary Table of Binary Probit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Endogenous Variables</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endogenous Variable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Observations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Log Likelihood</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Absolute Gradient</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Iterations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optimization Method</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIC</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schwarz Criterion</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goodness-of-fit measures are displayed in Figure 29.3. All measures except McKelvey-Zavoina’s definition are based on the log-likelihood function value. The likelihood ratio test statistic has chi-square distribution conditional on the null hypothesis that all slope coefficients are zero. In this example, the likelihood ratio statistic is used to test the hypothesis that $\text{kidslt6} = \text{kidge6} = \text{age} = \text{educ} = \text{exper} = \text{expersq} = \text{nwifeinc} = 0$.

**Figure 29.3** Goodness of Fit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio (R)</td>
<td>227.14 2 * (LogL - LogL0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Bound of R (U)</td>
<td>1029.7 2 * LogL0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldrich-Nelson</td>
<td>0.2317 R / (R+N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cragg-Uhler 1</td>
<td>0.2604 1 - exp(-R/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cragg-Uhler 2</td>
<td>0.3494 (1-exp(-R/N)) / (1-exp(-U/N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrella</td>
<td>0.2888 1 - (1-R/U)^N(U/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Estrella</td>
<td>0.2693 1 - ((LogL-K)/LogL0)^N(-2/N^2LogL0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFadden's LRI</td>
<td>0.2206 R / U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veall-Zimmermann</td>
<td>0.4012 (R * (U+N)) / (U * (R+N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKelvey-Zavoina</td>
<td>0.4025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$N = \#$ of observations, $K = \#$ of regressors

The parameter estimates and standard errors are shown in Figure 29.4.

**Figure 29.4** Parameter Estimates of Binary Probit

| Parameter     | DF | Estimate  | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|---------------|----|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------|---|
| Intercept     | 1  | 0.270077  | 0.508590       | 0.53    | 0.5954      |
| nwifeinc      | 1  | -0.012024 | 0.004840       | -2.48   | 0.0130      |
| educ          | 1  | 0.130905  | 0.025255       | 5.18    | <.0001      |
| exper         | 1  | 0.123348  | 0.018720       | 6.59    | <.0001      |
| expersq       | 1  | -0.001887 | 0.000600       | -3.14   | 0.0017      |
| age           | 1  | -0.052853 | 0.008477       | -6.24   | <.0001      |
| kidslt6       | 1  | -0.868329 | 0.118519       | -7.33   | <.0001      |
| kidge6        | 1  | 0.036005  | 0.043477       | 0.83    | 0.4076      |

Finally, the QLIM procedure profiles the predicted outcome with respect to the regressors. For example, Output 29.5 shows the predicted values profiled with respect to nwifeinc, educ, exper, expersq, age, and kidslt6.
When the error term has a logistic distribution, the binary logit model is estimated. To specify a logistic distribution, add D=LOGIT option as follows:

```sas
/*--- Binary Logit ---*/
proc qlim data=mroz;
   model inlf = nwifeinc educ exper expersq age kidslt6 kidsge6 / discrete(d=logit);
run;
```

The estimated parameters are shown in Figure 29.6.
The heteroscedastic logit model can be estimated using the HETERO statement. If the variance of the logit model is a function of the family income level excluding wife’s income (\( \text{nwifeinc} \)), the variance can be specified as

\[
\text{Var}(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2 \exp(\gamma \text{nwifeinc}_i)
\]

where \( \sigma^2 \) is normalized to 1 because the dependent variable is discrete. The following SAS statements estimate the heteroscedastic logit model:

```sas
/*--- Binary Logit with Heteroscedasticity ---*/
proc qlim data=mroz;
    model inlf = nwifeinc educ exper expersq
             age kidslt6 kidsge6 / discrete(d=logit);
    hetero inlf ~ nwifeinc / noconst;
run;
```

The parameter estimate, \( \gamma \), of the heteroscedasticity variable is listed as \_H.nwifeinc; see Figure 29.7.
The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t Value</th>
<th>Approx Pr &gt;</th>
<th>t</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.510445</td>
<td>0.983538</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.6038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nwifeinc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.026778</td>
<td>0.012108</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.255547</td>
<td>0.061728</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exper</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.234105</td>
<td>0.046639</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expersq</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.003613</td>
<td>0.001236</td>
<td>-2.92</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.100878</td>
<td>0.021491</td>
<td>-4.69</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kidslt6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.645206</td>
<td>0.311296</td>
<td>-5.29</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kidsge6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.066941</td>
<td>0.085633</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.4344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_H.nwifeinc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.013280</td>
<td>0.013606</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.3291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Syntax: QLIM Procedure

The following statements are available in the QLIM procedure:

```plaintext
PROC QLIM options ;
   BAYES < options > ;
   BOUNDS bound1 < , bound2 ... > ;
   BY variables ;
   CLASS variables ;
   FREQ variable ;
   ENDOGENOUS variables ~ options ;
   HETERO dependent variables ~ exogenous variables / options ;
   INIT initvalue1 < , initvalue2 ... > ;
   MODEL dependent variables = regressors / options ;
   NLOPTIONS options ;
   OUTPUT options ;
   PRIOR variables ~ distributions ;
   RANDOM random-effects < / options > ;
   RESTRICT restriction1 < , restriction2 ... > ;
   TEST options ;
   WEIGHT variable ;
```

At least one MODEL statement is required. If more than one MODEL statement is used, the QLIM procedure estimates a system of models. If a FREQ or WEIGHT statement is specified more than once, the variable specified in the first instance is used. Main effects and higher-order terms can be specified in the MODEL statement, as in the GLM procedure and PROBIT procedure in SAS/STAT. If a CLASS statement is used, it must precede the MODEL statement.
Functional Summary

Table 29.1 summarizes the statements and options used with the QLIM procedure.

Table 29.1  PROC QLIM Functional Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Set Options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies the input data set</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>DATA=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writes parameter estimates to an output data set</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>OUTEST=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writes predictions to an output data set</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>OUT=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaring the Role of Variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies BY-group processing</td>
<td>BY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies classification variables</td>
<td>CLASS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies a frequency variable</td>
<td>FREQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies a weight variable</td>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
<td>NONNORMALIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing Control Options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests all printing options</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>PRINTALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prints correlation matrix of the estimates</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>CORRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prints covariance matrix of the estimates</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>COVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prints a summary iteration listing</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppresses the normal printed output</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>NOPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plotting Options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displays plots</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>PLOTS=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options to Control the Optimization Process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies the optimization method</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>METHOD=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies the optimization options</td>
<td>NLOPTIONS</td>
<td>see Chapter 7, “Nonlinear Optimization Methods,”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sets initial values for parameters</td>
<td>INIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies upper and lower bounds for the parameter estimates</td>
<td>BOUNDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies linear restrictions on the parameter estimates</td>
<td>RESTRICT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Estimation Options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies options specific to Box-Cox transformation</td>
<td>MODEL</td>
<td>BOXCOX()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppresses the intercept parameter</td>
<td>MODEL</td>
<td>NOINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies variable selection</td>
<td>MODEL</td>
<td>SELECTVAR=( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies the type of random number generators</td>
<td>MODEL</td>
<td>RANDNUM=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies that initial values are generated using random numbers</td>
<td>MODEL</td>
<td>RANDOMINIT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Functional Summary

### Table 29.1 continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specifies a seed for pseudo-random number generation</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>SEED=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies the number of draws for Monte Carlo integration</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>NDRAW=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies the method to calculate parameter covariance</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>COVEST=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests estimation by Heckman’s two-step method</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>HECKIT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Integration Method Options for Random-Effects Models

- Requests the simulation method: RANDOM METHOD=SIMULATION()
- Requests the Gauss-Hermite quadrature method: RANDOM METHOD=HERMITE()
- Requests the Halton sequence method: RANDOM METHOD=HALTON()

### Bayesian MCMC Options

- Controls the aggregation of multiple posterior chains: BAYES AGGREGATION=
- Automates the initialization of the MCMC algorithm: BAYES AUTOMCMC()
- Specifies the initial values of the MCMC: INIT
- Evaluates the marginal likelihood: BAYES MARGINLIKE
- Specifies the maximum number of tuning phases: BAYES MAXTUNE=
- Specifies the minimum number of tuning phases: BAYES MINTUNE=
- Specifies the number of burn-in iterations: BAYES NBI=
- Specifies the number of iterations during the sampling phase: BAYES NMC=
- Specifies the number of samples for the prior predictive analysis: BAYES NMCPRIOR=
- Specifies the number of threads to use during the sampling phase: BAYES NTRDS=
- Specifies the number of iterations during the tuning phase: BAYES NTU=
- Controls options for constructing the initial proposal covariance matrix: BAYES PROPCOV=
- Specifies the sampling scheme: BAYES SAMPLING=
- Specifies the random number generator seed: BAYES SEED=
- Prints the time required for the MCMC sampling: BAYES SIMTIME
- Controls the thinning of the Markov chain: BAYES THIN=

### Bayesian Summary Statistics and Convergence Diagnostics

- Displays convergence diagnostics: BAYES DIAGNOSTICS=
- Displays summary statistics of the posterior samples: BAYES STATISTICS=

### Bayesian Prior and Posterior Samples

- Specifies a SAS data set for the posterior samples: BAYES OUTPOST=
- Specifies a SAS data set for the prior samples: BAYES OUTPRIOR=
### Table 29.1 continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bayesian Analysis</strong></td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>NORMAL(MEAN=, VAR=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies normal prior distribution</td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>GAMMA(SHAPE=, SCALE=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies gamma prior distribution</td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>SQGAMMA(SHAPE=, SCALE=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies square root gamma prior distribution</td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>IGAMMA(SHAPE=, SCALE=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies inverse gamma prior distribution</td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>SQIGAMMA(SHAPE=, SCALE=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies uniform prior distribution</td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>UNIFORM(MIN=, MAX=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies beta prior distribution</td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>BETA(SHAPE1=, SHAPE2=, MIN=, MAX=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies t prior distribution</td>
<td>PRIOR</td>
<td>T(LOCATION=, DF=)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endogenous Variable Options</strong></td>
<td>ENDogenous</td>
<td>DISCRETE()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies discrete variable</td>
<td>ENDogenous</td>
<td>CENSORED()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies censored variable</td>
<td>ENDogenous</td>
<td>TRUNCATED()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies truncated variable</td>
<td>ENDogenous</td>
<td>SELECT()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies variable selection condition</td>
<td>ENDogenous</td>
<td>FRONTIER()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endogeneity and Overidentification Test Options</strong></td>
<td>ENDogenous</td>
<td>ENDOTEST()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests the variable addition test for endogeneity</td>
<td>ENDogenous</td>
<td>OVERID()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heteroscedasticity Model Options</strong></td>
<td>HETERO</td>
<td>LINK=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifies the function for heteroscedasticity models</td>
<td>HETERO</td>
<td>SQUARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squares the function for heteroscedasticity models</td>
<td>HETERO</td>
<td>NOCONST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output Control Options</strong></td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>PREDICTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs predicted values</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>XBETA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs structured part</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>RESIDUAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs residuals</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>ERRSTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs error standard deviation</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>MARGINAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs marginal effects</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>PROB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs probability for the current response</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>PROBALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs probability for all responses</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>EXPECTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs expected value</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>CONDITIONAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs inverse Mills ratio</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>MILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs technical efficiency measures</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>TE1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs technical efficiency measures</td>
<td>OUTPUT</td>
<td>TE2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes covariances in the OUTEST= data set</td>
<td>QLIM</td>
<td>COVOUT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROC QLIM Statement

PROC QLIM options;

The following options can be used in the PROC QLIM statement.

Data Set Options

DATA=SAS-data-set
specifies the input SAS data set. If the DATA= option is not specified, PROC QLIM uses the most recently created SAS data set.

Output Data Set Options

OUTEST=SAS-data-set
writes the parameter estimates to an output data set.

COVOUT
writes the covariance matrix for the parameter estimates to the OUTEST= data set. This option is valid only if the OUTEST= option is specified.

CORROUT
writes the correlation matrix for the parameter estimates to the OUTEST= data set. This option is valid only if the OUTEST= option is specified.

Printing Options

NOPRINT
suppresses the normal printed output but does not suppress error listings. If NOPRINT option is set, then any other print option is turned off.

PRINTALL
turns on all the printing-control options. The options set by PRINTALL are COVB and CORRB.
CORRB
  prints the correlation matrix of the parameter estimates.

COVB
  prints the covariance matrix of the parameter estimates.

ITPRINT
  prints the initial parameter estimates, convergence criteria, and all constraints of the optimization. At each iteration, objective function value, step size, maximum gradient, and slope of search direction are printed as well.

Model Estimation Options

COVEST=covariance-option
  specifies the method to calculate the covariance matrix of parameter estimates. The supported covariance types are as follows:
  
  OP               specifies the covariance from the outer product matrix.
  HESSIAN         specifies the covariance from the inverse Hessian matrix.
  QML             specifies the covariance from the outer product and Hessian matrices (the quasi-maximum likelihood estimates).

  The default is COVEST=HESSIAN.

HECKIT < (heckit-options) >
  requests that the selection model be estimated by Heckman’s two-step estimation method. You must specify exactly two MODEL statements when you use the HECKIT option. One of the models must be a binary probit model; therefore, you must specify the DISCRETE option in the MODEL or ENDOGENOUS statement. You base the selection on the binary probit model for the second model; therefore, you must specify the SELECT option for this model.

  You can specify one or both of the following heckit-options:

  SECONDSTAGE=OLS | ML
    specifies the estimation method of the second stage of Heckman’s two-step method. SECONDSTAGE=OLS requests the ordinary least squares method for the second stage. If you specify SECONDSTAGE=OLS, then the model of interest—that is, the model that uses the SELECT option—must be linear and contain a continuous dependent variable. Therefore, you cannot specify the DISCRETE, CENSORED, or TRUNCATED option along with the SELECT option for the model of interest. When you specify the SECONDSTAGE=OLS option, you cannot test or restrict the parameters of the model of interest. However, you can test or restrict the parameters of the selection model—that is, the model that defines the selection rule.

    If you specify SECONDSTAGE=ML, then PROC QLIM uses the maximum likelihood method in the second stage, as it does in the first stage. When you specify SECONDSTAGE=ML, the model of interest can be nonlinear. Moreover, you can also use the TEST or RESTRICT statement to test or restrict the parameters of the model of interest.

    By default, SECONDSTAGE=OLS.
UNECCORRECTED
requests the conventional OLS standard errors when the second-stage estimation method is the ordinary least squares method. If you do not specify the UNCORRECTED option, PROC QLIM reports the corrected OLS standard errors. For more information about the corrected standard errors, see the section “Heckman’s Two-Step Selection Method” on page 1997.

If you specify both the UNCORRECTED and SECONDSTAGE=ML options, PROC QLIM ignores the UNCORRECTED option, because the UNCORRECTED option is related to the OLS standard errors.

\textbf{NDRAW=\textit{value}}

specifies the number of draws for Monte Carlo integration.

\textbf{SEED=\textit{value}}

specifies a seed for pseudo-random number generation in Monte Carlo integration.

\textbf{Optimization Process Control Options}

PROC QLIM uses the nonlinear optimization (NLO) subsystem to perform nonlinear optimization tasks. You can use any of the NLO options in the NLOPTOIONS statement. For more information, see Chapter 7, “Nonlinear Optimization Methods.”

\textbf{METHOD=\textit{value}}

specifies the optimization method. If this option is specified, it overwrites the TECH= option in NLOPTOIONS statement. Valid values are as follows:

- \textbf{CONGRA} performs a conjugate-gradient optimization
- \textbf{DBLDOG} performs a version of double-dogleg optimization
- \textbf{NEWRAP} performs a Newton-Raphson optimization combining a line-search algorithm with ridging
- \textbf{NMSIMP} performs a Nelder-Mead simplex optimization
- \textbf{NONE} specifies that no optimization be performed beyond using the ordinary least squares method to compute the parameter estimates
- \textbf{NRRIDG} performs a Newton-Raphson optimization with ridging
- \textbf{QUANEW} performs a quasi-Newton optimization
- \textbf{TRUREG} performs a trust region optimization

The default method is METHOD=QUANEW.

\textbf{Plotting Options}

\textbf{PLOTS< (global-plot-options) > = plot-request | (plot-requests)}

controls the display of plots. By default, the plots are displayed in panels unless the UNPACK \textit{global-plot-option} is specified. When you specify only one \textit{plot-request}, you can omit the parentheses around the \textit{plot-request}. 
Global Plot Options
You can specify the following global-plot-options:

ONLY
  displays only the requested plot.

PRIOR
  displays the prior predictive graph that is associated with the requested posterior predictive plot
  BAYESPRED. This option is available only for Bayesian analysis.

UNPACKPANEL
UNPACK
  specifies that all paneled plots be unpacked, meaning that each plot in a panel is displayed separately.

Plot Requests
You can specify the following plot-requests:

ALL
  specifies all types of available plots.

AUTOCORR< (LAGS=n) >
  displays the autocorrelation function plots for the parameters. This plot-request is available only for
  Bayesian analysis. The optional LAGS= suboption the number (up to lag n) of autocorrelations to be
  plotted in the AUTOCORR plot. If this suboption is not specified, autocorrelations are plotted up to
  lag 50.

BAYESDIAG
  displays the TRACE, AUTOCORR, and DENSITY plots. This plot-request is available only for
  Bayesian analysis.

BAYESPRED
  displays the predictive analysis. The predictive analysis takes into account the variability of the error
  term, whereas the PREDICTED plot-request does not. The BAYESPRED plot-request is available
  only for Bayesian analysis.

BAYESSUM
  displays the posterior distribution, the prior distribution, and the maximum likelihood estimates. This
  plot-request is available only for Bayesian analysis.

CONDITIONAL
  displays the conditional expected values for continuous endogenous variables. Each contributing
  regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This
  plot-request is not available for Bayesian analysis.

DENSITY< (FRINGE) >
  displays the kernel density plots for the parameters. This plot-request is available only for Bayesian
  analysis. If you specify the FRINGE suboption, a fringe plot is created on the X axis of the kernel
  density plot. This plot-request is available only for Bayesian analysis.
ERRSTD
displays the error standard deviation versus observed regressors when you also specify a HETERO statement. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

EXPECTED
displays the expected values for continuous endogenous variables. Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

MARGINAL
displays the marginal effects. Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

MILLS
displays the inverse Mills ratio. Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

NONE
suppresses all diagnostic plots.

PREDICTED
displays the model predicted values. Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

PROB
displays the predicted response probability. Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

PROBALL
displays the predicted probabilities for each level of the response. Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

PROFLIK
displays the profiled log likelihood. Each profiled graph is obtained by setting all the parameters to their maximum likelihood estimate except for the profiling parameter. The profiling parameter takes values on a predefined grid that is determined by the maximum likelihood estimate of the corresponding standard deviation. When a restricted optimization is requested, the profiled log likelihood plots depict the behavior of the profiled log likelihood around the restricted MLE without imposing the actual restrictions.

RESIDUAL
displays the residuals versus observed regressors. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

TE1
displays the technical efficiency for the stochastic frontier model as suggested by Battese and Coelli (1988). Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.
TE2
displays the technical efficiency for the stochastic frontier model as suggested by Jondrow et al. (1982). Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This *plot-request* is not available for Bayesian analysis.

TRACE<(SMOOTH)> 
displays the trace plots for the parameters. This *plot-request* is available only for Bayesian analysis. The SMOOTH suboption displays a fitted penalized B-spline curve for each TRACE plot.

XBETA 
displays the structural part on the right-hand side of the model. Each contributing regressor is set equal to its mean, except for the parameter that is reported on the X axis. This is not available for Bayesian analysis.

---

**BAYES Statement**

```
BAYES < options > ;
```

The BAYES statement controls the Metropolis sampling scheme that is used to obtain samples from the posterior distribution of the underlying model and data.

**AGGREGATION=**WEIGHTED | UNWEIGHTED  *(Experimental)*
specifies how multiple posterior samples should be aggregated. AGGREGATION=WEIGHTED implements a weighted resampling scheme for the aggregation of multiple posterior chains. You can use this option when the posterior distribution is characterized by several very distinct posterior modes. AGGREGATION=UNWEIGHTED aggregates multiple posterior chains without any adjustment. You can use this option when the posterior distribution is characterized by one or few relatively close posterior modes. By default, AGGREGATION=UNWEIGHTED. For more information, see the section “Aggregation of Multiple Chains” on page 2013.

**AUTOMCMC <=(automcmc-options)>  *(Experimental)*
specifies an algorithm for the auto-initialization of the MCMC sampling algorithm. For more information, see the section “Automated Initialization of MCMC” on page 2014.

**ACCURACY=** *(accuracy-options)*
customizes the behavior of the AUTOMCMC algorithm when you are searching for an accurate representation of the posterior distribution. You can specify the following *accuracy-options*:

**ATTEMPTS=**number
specifies the maximum number of attempts that is required in order to obtain accurate samples from the posterior distribution. By default, ATTEMPTS=10.

**TARGETESS=**number
requests that the accuracy search be based on the effective sample size (ESS) analysis. If you specify this option, you must also specify the minimum *number* of effective samples.

**TARGETSTATS<=(targetstats-option)>**
requests that the accuracy search be based on the analysis of the posterior mean and a posterior quantile of interest. You can customize the behavior of the analysis of the posterior
mean by adjusting HEIDELBERGER sub-options. You can customize the behavior of the analysis of the posterior quantile by adjusting the RAFTERY sub-options. If you specify TARGETSTATS, you can also specify how the Raftery-Lewis test should be interpreted by using the following option:

\[
\text{RLLIMITS}=(\text{LB}=\text{number} \ \text{UB}=\text{number})
\]

specifies a region where the search for the optimal sample size depends directly on the Raftery-Lewis test. By default, RLLIMITS (LB=10000 UB=300000).

\[
\text{TOL}=\text{value}
\]

specifies the proportion of parameters that are required to be accurate. By default, TOL=0.95.

\[
\text{MAXNMC}=\text{number}
\]

specifies the maximum number of posterior samples that the AUTOMCMC option allows. By default, MAXNMC=700000.

\[
\text{RANDINIT} \leq \{\text{randinit-options}\}
\]

specifies random starting points for the MCMC algorithm. The starting points can be sampled around the maximum likelihood estimate and around the prior mean. You can specify the following randinit-options:

\[
\text{MULTIPLIER}=(\text{value})
\]

specifies the radius of the area where the starting points are sampled. For the starting points that are sampled around the maximum likelihood estimate, the radius equals the standard deviation of the maximum likelihood estimate multiplied by the multiplier value. For the starting points that are sampled around the prior mean, the radius equals the standard deviation of the prior distribution multiplied by the multiplier value. By default, MULTIPLIER=2.

\[
\text{PROPORTION}=(\text{value})
\]

specifies the proportion of starting points that are sampled around the maximum likelihood estimate and around the prior mean. By default, PROPORTION=0, which implies that all the initial points are sampled around the maximum likelihood estimate. If you use choose to sample starting points around the prior mean, the convergence of the MCMC algorithm could be very slow.

\[
\text{STATIONARITY}=(\text{stationarity-options})
\]

customizes the behavior of the AUTOMCMC algorithm when you are trying to sample from the posterior distribution. You can specify the following stationarity-options:

\[
\text{ATTEMPTS}=\text{number}
\]

specifies the maximum number of attempts that are required in order to obtain stationary samples from the posterior distribution. By default, ATTEMPTS=10.

\[
\text{TOL}=\text{value}
\]

specifies the proportion of parameter whose samples must to be stationary. By default, TOL=0.95.
DIAGNOSTICS=ALL | NONE | (keyword-list)

DIAG=ALL | NONE | (keyword-list)

controls which diagnostics are produced. All the following diagnostics are produced with DIAGNOSTICS=ALL. If you do not want any of these diagnostics, specify DIAGNOSTICS=NONE. If you want some but not all of the diagnostics, or if you want to change certain settings of these diagnostics, specify a subset of the following keywords. By default, DIAGNOSTICS=NONE.

AUTOCORR < (LAGS= numeric-list)>

computes the autocorrelations at lags that are specified in the numeric-list. Elements in the numeric-list are truncated to integers, and repeated values are removed. If the LAGS= option is not specified, autocorrelations of lags 1, 5, 10, and are computed.

AUTOMCMCSUM

produces a summary table for the AUTOMCMC (automatic MCMC) sampling tool is used.

ESS

computes Carlin’s estimate of the effective sample size, the correlation time, and the efficiency of the chain for each parameter.

GEWEKE < (geweke-options)>

computes the Geweke spectral density diagnostics, which are essentially a two-sample \( t \) test between the first \( f_1 \) portion and the last \( f_2 \) portion of the chain. The default is \( f_1 = 0.1 \) and \( f_2 = 0.5 \), but you can choose other fractions by using the following geweke-options:

\[ \text{FRAC1}=\text{value} \]

specifies the fraction \( f_1 \) for the first window.

\[ \text{FRAC2}=\text{value} \]

specifies the fraction \( f_2 \) for the second window.

HEIDELBERGER < (heidel-options)>

computes the Heidelberger and Welch diagnostic for each variable, which consists of a stationarity test of the null hypothesis that the sample values form a stationary process. If the stationarity test is not rejected, a halfwidth test is then carried out. Optionally, you can specify one or more of the following heidel-options:

\[ \text{SALPHA}=\text{value} \]

specifies the \( \alpha \) level \( (0 < \alpha < 1) \) for the stationarity test.

\[ \text{HALPHA}=\text{value} \]

specifies the \( \alpha \) level \( (0 < \alpha < 1) \) for the halfwidth test.

\[ \text{EPS}=\text{value} \]

specifies a positive number \( \epsilon \) such that if the halfwidth is less than \( \epsilon \) times the sample mean of the retained iterates, the halfwidth test is passed.

MCSE

MCERROR

computes the Monte Carlo standard error for each parameter. The Monte Carlo standard error, which measures the simulation accuracy, is the standard error of the posterior mean estimate and is calculated as the posterior standard deviation divided by the square root of the effective sample size.
computes the Raftery and Lewis diagnostics, which evaluate the accuracy of the estimated quantile ($\hat{\theta}_Q$ for a given $Q \in (0, 1)$) of a chain. $\hat{\theta}_Q$ can achieve any degree of accuracy when the chain is allowed to run for a long time. The computation is stopped when the estimated probability $\hat{P}_Q = \Pr(\theta \leq \hat{\theta}_Q)$ reaches within $\pm R$ of the value $Q$ with probability $S$; that is, $\Pr(Q - R \leq \hat{P}_Q \leq Q + R) = S$. The following `raftery-options` enable you to specify $Q, R, S,$ and a precision level $\epsilon$ for the test:

**QUANTILE** | $Q=value$
---
specifies the order (a value between 0 and 1) of the quantile of interest. The default is 0.025.

**ACCURACY** | $R=value$
---
specifies a small positive number as the margin of error for measuring the accuracy of estimation of the quantile. The default is 0.005.

**PROBABILITY** | $S=value$
---
specifies the probability of attaining the accuracy of the estimation of the quantile. The default is 0.95.

**EPSILON** | $EPS=value$
---
specifies the tolerance level (a small positive number) for the stationary test. The default is 0.001.

**MARGINLIKE** | (NSIM=number)
---
(Experimental)
evaluates of the logarithm of the marginal likelihood. Two estimates are produced: the cross entropy estimate and the harmonic mean. The cross entropy estimate is based on an importance sampling algorithm. You can specify the number of importance samples in the NSIM=number option. By default NSIM=10,000. For more information, see the section “Marginal Likelihood” on page 2022.

**MAXTUNE** | number
---
specifies the maximum number of tuning phases. The default is 24.

**MINTUNE** | number
---
specifies the minimum number of tuning phases. The default is 2.

**NBI** | number
---
specifies the number of burn-in iterations before the chains are saved. The default is 1,000.

**NMC** | number
---
specifies the number of iterations after the burn-in. The default is 1,000.

**NMCPRIOR** | number
---
specifies the number of samples for the prior predictive analysis when PLOTS(PRIOR)=BAYESPRED is requested. The default is 10,000.

**NTRDS** | number
---
THREADS | number
---
specifies the number of threads to be used. The number of threads cannot exceed the number of computer cores available. Each core samples the number of iterations that is specified by the NMC option. The default is 1.
The QLIM Procedure

NTU=number
specifies the number of samples for each tuning phase. The default is 500.

OUTPOST=SAS-data-set
names the SAS data set to contain the posterior samples. Alternatively, you can create the output data set by specifying an ODS OUTPUT statement as follows:

   ODS OUTPUT POSTERIORSAMPLE = <SAS-data-set> ;

OUTPRIOR=SAS-data-set
names the SAS data set to contain the prior samples used to generate the prior predictive analysis when you request the prior predictive plots. Alternatively, you can create the output data set by specifying an ODS OUTPUT statement as follows:

   ODS OUTPUT PRIORSAMPLE = <SAS-data-set> ;

PROPCOV=value
specifies the method used in constructing the initial covariance matrix for the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. The QUANEW and NMSIMP methods find numerically approximated covariance matrices at the optimum of the posterior density function with respect to all continuous parameters. The tuning phase starts at the optimized values; in some problems, this can greatly increase convergence performance. If the approximated covariance matrix is not positive definite, then an identity matrix is used instead. You can specify the following values:

   CONGRA
   performs a conjugate-gradient optimization.

   DBLDOG
   performs a version of double-dogleg optimization.

   NEWRAP
   performs a Newton-Raphson optimization that combines a line-search algorithm with ridging.

   NMSIMP
   performs a Nelder-Mead simplex optimization.

   NRRIDG
   performs a Newton-Raphson optimization with ridging.

   QUANEW
   performs a quasi-Newton optimization.

   TRUREG
   performs a trust-region optimization.

SAMPLING=MULTIMETROPOLIS | UNIMETROPOLIS | MODELMETROPOLIS
specifies how to sample from the posterior distribution. SAMPLING=MULTIMETROPOLIS implements a Metropolis sampling scheme on a single block that contains all the parameters of the model. SAMPLING=MODELMETROPOLIS implements a Metropolis sampling scheme on multiple blocks: one block for each model (all the parameters of the model) plus a block for all the correlation parameters across the models. SAMPLING=UNIMETROPOLIS implements a Metropolis sampling scheme on multiple blocks, one for each parameter of the model. By default, SAMPLING=MULTIMETROPOLIS.
**SEED=number**
specifies an integer seed in the range 1 to \(2^{31} - 1\) for the random number generator in the simulation. Specifying a seed enables you to reproduce identical Markov chains for the same specification. If you do not specify the SEED= option, or if you specify a nonpositive seed, a random seed is derived from the time of day.

**SIMTIME**
prints the time required for the MCMC sampling.

**STATISTICS < (global-options) >= ALL | NONE | keyword | (keyword-list)**
controls the number of posterior statistics produced. Specifying STATISTICS=ALL is equivalent to specifying STATISTICS= (CORR COV INTERVAL PRIOR SUMMARY). If you do not want any posterior statistics, specify STATISTICS=NONE. The default is STATISTICS=(SUMMARY INTERVAL). You can specify the following *global-options*:

**ALPHA=numeric-list**
controls the probabilities of the credible intervals. The ALPHA= values must be between 0 and 1. Each ALPHA= value produces a pair of 100(1–ALPHA)% equal-tail and HPD intervals for each parameter. The default is ALPHA=0.05, which yields the 95% credible intervals for each parameter.

**PERCENT=numeric-list**
requests the percentile points of the posterior samples. The PERCENT= values must be between 0 and 100. The default is PERCENT=25, 50, 75, which yields the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentile points, respectively, for each parameter.

You can specify the following *keywords*:

**CORR**
produces the posterior correlation matrix.

**COV**
produces the posterior covariance matrix.

**INTERVAL**
produces equal-tail credible intervals and HPD intervals. The default is to produce the 95% equal-tail credible intervals and 95% HPD intervals, but you can use the ALPHA= *global-option* to request intervals of any probabilities.

**NONE**
suppresses printing of all summary statistics.

**PRIOR**
produces a summary table of the prior distributions used in the Bayesian analysis.

**SUMMARY**
produces the means, standard deviations, and percentile points (25th, 50th, and 75th) for the posterior samples. You can use the global PERCENT= *global-option* to request specific percentile points.
THIN=number
THINNING=number

controls the thinning of the Markov chain. Only one in every k samples is used when THIN=k, and if
NBI=n0 and NMC=n, the number of samples that are kept is

\[ \left\lfloor \frac{n0 + n}{k} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n0}{k} \right\rfloor \]

where \([a]\) represents the integer part of the number \(a\). The default is THIN=1.

---

**BOUNDS Statement**

BOUNDS bound1 < , bound2 . . . > ;

The BOUNDS statement imposes simple boundary constraints on the parameter estimates. BOUNDS
statement constraints refer to the parameters estimated by the QLIM procedure. Any number of BOUNDS
statements can be specified.

Each bound is composed of parameters and constants and inequality operators. Parameters associated with
regressor variables are referred to by the names of the corresponding regressor variables:

item operator item < operator item < operator item . . . >

Each item is a constant, the name of a parameter, or a list of parameter names. See the section “Naming of
Parameters” on page 2032 for more details on how parameters are named in the QLIM procedure. Each
operator is ’<’, ’>’, ’<=’, or ’>=’.

Both the BOUNDS statement and the RESTRICT statement can be used to impose boundary constraints;
however, the BOUNDS statement provides a simpler syntax for specifying these kinds of constraints. See the
“RESTRICT Statement” on page 1982 for more information.

The following BOUNDS statement constrains the estimates of the parameters associated with the variable
time and the variables x1 through x10 to be between 0 and 1. This example illustrates the use of parameter
lists to specify boundary constraints.

bounds 0 < ttime x1-x10 < 1;

The following BOUNDS statement constrains the estimates of the correlation (_RHO) and sigma (_SIGMA)
in the bivariate model:

bounds _rho >= 0, _sigma.y1 > 1, _sigma.y2 < 5;

The BOUNDS statement is not supported if a BAYES statement is also specified. In Bayesian analysis, the
restrictions on parameters are usually introduced through the prior distribution.
BY Statement

BY variables;

A BY statement can be used with PROC QLIM to obtain separate analyses on observations in groups defined by the BY variables.

CLASS Statement

CLASS variables;

The CLASS statement names the classification variables to be used in the analysis. Classification variables can be either character or numeric.

Class levels are determined from the formatted values of the CLASS variables. Thus, you can use formats to group values into levels. See the discussion of the FORMAT procedure in *SAS Language Reference: Dictionary* for details.

ENDOGENOUS Statement

ENDOGENOUS variables ~ options;

The ENDOGENOUS statement specifies the type of dependent variables that appear on the left-hand side of the equation. Endogenous variables listed refer to the dependent variables that appear on the left-hand side of the equation.

Discrete Variable Options

DISCRETE (discrete-options)

specifies that the endogenous variables in this statement are discrete. Valid *discrete-options* are as follows:

ORDER=DATA | FORMATTED | FREQ | INTERNAL

specifies the sorting order for the levels of the discrete variables specified in the ENDOGENOUS statement. This ordering determines which parameters in the model correspond to each level in the data. The following table shows how PROC QLIM interprets values of the ORDER= option.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value of ORDER=</th>
<th>Levels Sorted By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATA</td>
<td>Order of appearance in the input data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORMATTED</td>
<td>Formatted value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREQ</td>
<td>Descending frequency count; levels with the most observations come first in the order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNAL</td>
<td>Unformatted value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By default, ORDER=FORMATTED. For the values FORMATTED and INTERNAL, the sort order is machine dependent. For more information about sorting order, see the chapter on the SORT procedure in the *Base SAS Procedures Guide*. 
DISTRIBUTION=NORMAL | LOGISTIC
DIST=NORMAL | LOGISTIC
D=NORMAL | LOGISTIC

specifies the cumulative distribution function used to model the response probabilities. DISTRIBUTION=NORMAL specifies the normal distribution for the probit model. DISTRIBUTION=LOGISTIC specifies the logistic distribution for the logit model.

By default, DISTRIBUTION=NORMAL.

If a multivariate model is specified, logistic distribution is not allowed. Only normal distribution is supported.

Censored Variable Options

CENSORED (censored-options)
specifies that the endogenous variables in this statement be censored. Valid censored-options are as follows:

LB=value or variable
LOWERBOUND=value or variable
specifies the lower bound of the censored variables. If value is missing or the value in variable is missing, no lower bound is set. By default, no lower bound is set.

UB=value or variable
UPPERBOUND=value or variable
specifies the upper bound of the censored variables. If value is missing or the value in variable is missing, no upper bound is set. By default, no upper bound is set.

Truncated Variable Options

TRUNCATED (truncated-options)
specifies that the endogenous variables in this statement be truncated. Valid truncated-options are as follows:

LB=value or variable
LOWERBOUND=value or variable
specifies the lower bound of the truncated variables. If value is missing or the value in variable is missing, no lower bound is set. By default, no lower bound is set.

UB=value or variable
UPPERBOUND=value or variable
specifies the upper bound of the truncated variables. If value is missing or the value in variable is missing, no upper bound is set. By default, no upper bound is set.
Stochastic Frontier Variable Options

**FRONTIER** < (frontier-options )>
specifies that the endogenous variable in this statement follow a production or cost frontier. Valid frontier-options are as follows:

**TYPE=HALF | EXPONENTIAL | TRUNCATED**
specifies the model type:

- **HALF** specifies a half-normal model.
- **EXPONENTIAL** specifies an exponential model.
- **TRUNCATED** specifies a truncated normal model.

**PRODUCTION**
specifies that the model estimated be a production function.

**COST**
specifies that the model estimated be a cost function.

If neither PRODUCTION nor COST option is specified, production function is estimated by default.

Selection Options

**SELECT (select-option)**
specifies selection criteria for sample selection model. The BAYES statement does not support the SELECT option. The select-option specifies the condition for the endogenous variable to be selected. It is written as a variable name, followed by an equality operator (=) or an inequality operator (<, >, <=, >=), followed by a number:

**variable operator number**

The variable is the endogenous variable that the selection is based on. The operator can be =, <, >, <=, or >=. Multiple select-options can be combined with the logic operators: AND, OR. The following example illustrates the use of the SELECT option:

```plaintext
endogenous y1 ~ select(z=0);
endogenous y2 ~ select(z=1 or z=2);
```

The SELECT option can be used together with the DISCRETE, CENSORED, or TRUNCATED option. For example:

```plaintext
endogenous y1 ~ select(z=0) discrete;
endogenous y2 ~ select(z=1) censored (lb=0);
endogenous y3 ~ select(z=1 or z=2) truncated (ub=10);
```

For more information about selection models with censoring or truncation, see the section “Selection Models” on page 1996.
Endogeneity and Overidentification Test Options

**ENDOTEST** *(regressors)*
requests the test of endogeneity for a list of regressors in the model. More specifically, this option tests the null hypothesis that the specified regressors are exogenous. Each of these regressors must also have a model of its own. The former model is considered the structural model, and the latter models are considered reduced form models.

The following example illustrates the use of the ENDOTEST option by testing whether the regressors y2 and y3 are endogenous in the model for y1:

```plaintext
proc qlim;
   model y1 = y2 y3 x1;
   model y2 = x1 x2 x3 x4 x5;
   model y3 = x1 x2 x3 x4 x5;
   endogenous y1 ~ endotest(y2 y3);
run;
```

The ENDOTEST option is not available when you specify the SELECT or FRONTIER option. You can specify the ENDOTEST option only once for each ENDOGENOUS statement.

For more information about the test for endogeneity, see the section “Test for Endogeneity” on page 2006.

**OVERID** *(variables)*
requests the overidentification test for a list of variables. These variables are the overidentifying instrumental variables that you provide from the reduced form models. For more information, see the section “Overidentification Test” on page 2007.

The following example illustrates the use of the OVERID option:

```plaintext
proc qlim;
   model y1 = y2 y3 x1;
   model y2 = x1 x2 x3 x4 x5;
   model y3 = x1 x2 x3 x4 x5;
   endogenous y1 ~ overid(y2.x4 y3.x5);
run;
```

The regressors y2 and y3 in the model for y1 are the endogenous variables. Therefore, each of these variables has its own models, which are considered reduced form models. The overidentifying instrumental variables are x4 and x5. If you specify the OVERID option as

```plaintext
endogenous y1 ~ overid(y2.x4 y3.x5);
```

then you consider only the regressor y2 to be endogenous, and the model for y3 is ignored during the testing process.

The OVERID option is not available when you specify the SELECT or FRONTIER option. You can specify the OVERID option only once for each ENDOGENOUS statement.
**FREQ Statement**

```
FREQ variable ;
```

The FREQ statement identifies a variable that contains the frequency of occurrence of each observation. PROC QLIM treats each observation as if it appears \( n \) times, where \( n \) is the value of the FREQ variable for the observation. If it is not an integer, the frequency value is truncated to an integer. If the frequency value is less than 1 or missing, the observation is not used in the model fitting. When the FREQ statement is not specified, each observation is assigned a frequency of 1. If you specify more than one FREQ statement, then the first FREQ statement is used.

**HETERO Statement**

```
HETERO dependent variables ~ exogenous variables < / options > ;
```

The HETERO statement specifies variables that are related to the heteroscedasticity of the residuals and the way these variables are used to model the error variance. The heteroscedastic regression model supported by PROC QLIM is

\[
y_i = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i \\
\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2)
\]

See the section “Heteroscedasticity” on page 1993 for more details on the specification of functional forms.

**LINK=value**

The functional form can be specified using the LINK= option. The following option values are allowed:

- **EXP** specifies the exponential link function
  
  \[
  \sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2(1 + \exp(z_i' y)) 
  \]

- **LINEAR** specifies the linear link function
  
  \[
  \sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2(1 + z_i' y) 
  \]

  When the LINK= option is not specified, the exponential link function is specified by default.

**NOCONST**

specifies that there be no constant in the exponential heteroscedasticity model.

\[
\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2 \exp(z_i' y)
\]

**SQUARE**

estimates the model by using the square of linear heteroscedasticity function. For example, you can specify the following heteroscedasticity function:

\[
\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2(1 + (z_i' y)^2)
\]

model y = x1 x2 / discrete;
hetero y ~ z1 / link=linear square;
The option SQUARE does not apply to exponential heteroscedasticity function because the square of an exponential function of $z_{i} \gamma$ is the same as the exponential of $2z_{i} \gamma$. Hence the only difference is that all $\gamma$ estimates are divided by two.

You can use the HETERO statement within a Bayesian framework, but you should do this carefully because convergence can be slower than in the homoscedastic case. For more information see “Priors for Heteroscedastic Models” on page 2019.

---

**INIT Statement**

```
INIT initvalue1 < , initvalue2 . . . > ;
```

The INIT statement sets initial values for parameters in the optimization. You can specify any number of INIT statements.

Each `initvalue` is written as a parameter or parameter list, followed by an optional equality operator (=), followed by a number:

`parameter <= number`

If you also specify the BAYES statement, the INIT statement also initializes the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm. In particular, the INIT statement does one of the following:

- It initializes the tuning phase (this also includes the PROPCOV option).
- It initializes the sampling phase, if there is no tuning phase.

---

**MODEL Statement**

```
MODEL dependent = regressors < / options > ;
```

The MODEL statement specifies the dependent variable and independent regressor variables for the regression model.

You can specify the following `options` after a slash (/).

- **LIMIT1=ZERO | VARYING**
  specifies the restriction of the threshold value of the first category when the ordinal probit or logit model is estimated. LIMIT1=ZERO is the default option. When LIMIT1=VARYING is specified, the threshold value is estimated.

- **NOINT**
  suppresses the intercept parameter.

---

**Endogenous Variable Options**

The endogenous variable options are the same as the options that you can specify in the ENDOGENOUS statement. If you specify an ENDOGENOUS statement, all endogenous options in the MODEL statement are ignored.
Endogeneity and Overidentification Test Options

The endogeneity and overidentification test options are the same as the options that you can specify in the ENDOGENOUS statement. If you specify an ENDOGENOUS statement, all endogeneity and overidentification test options in the MODEL statement are ignored.

BOXCOX Estimation Options

BOXCOX (option-list )
specifies options that are used for Box-Cox regression or regressor transformation. For example, the Box-Cox regression is specified as

\[ \text{model } y = x_1 \times x_2 / \text{boxcox}(y=\lambda, x_1 \times x_2) \]

PROC QLIM estimates the following Box-Cox regression model:

\[ y_i^{(\lambda)} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i}^{(\lambda)} + \beta_2 x_{2i}^{(\lambda)} + \epsilon_i \]

The option-list takes the form \textit{variable-list} < = \textit{varname} > separated by commas. The variable-list specifies that the list of variables have the same Box-Cox transformation; \textit{varname} specifies the name of this Box-Cox coefficient. If \textit{varname} is not specified, the coefficient is called \_Lambda\textsubscript{i}, where \textit{i} increments sequentially.

Variable Selection Options

SELECTVAR <=(selectvar-option)>
enables variable selection. The selectvar-option specifies a variable selection method based on an information criterion. For more information, see the section “Variable Selection” on page 2000. You can specify the following selectvar-options:

DIRECTION=FORWARD | BACKWARD
specifies the searching algorithm to use in the variable selection method. By default, DIRECTION=FORWARD.

CRITER=AIC | SBC
specifies the information criterion to use for the variable selection. By default, CRITER=AIC.

MAXSTEPS=value
specifies the maximum number of steps that are allowed in the search algorithm. The default is 100.

LSTOP=value
specifies the stopping criterion. The value represents the percentage of decrease or increase in the AIC or SBC that is required for the algorithm to proceed; it must be a positive number less than 1. The default is 0.

RETAIN(regressors)
specifies a list of regressors that are to be retained in any model that the variable selection process considers.
The following rules apply to how regressors are handled when you specify more than one MODEL statement and use the SELECTVAR option:

- If you do not specify the SELECTVAR option in a particular MODEL statement, then all regressors in the original model are included in any model that the variable selection algorithm considers. In other words, omitting the SELECTVAR option is equivalent to providing the option: SELECTVAR=(RETAIN(all-regressors)).

- If you specify the SELECTVAR option without any =(option) clause in a MODEL statement, then all regressors in that model (other than the intercept, if present) are eligible for potential exclusion as the variable selection process is executed.

The following example specifies 10 possible regressor candidates, out of which five are selected using the AIC criterions:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=one;
   model y = x1-x10 /selectvar=(direction=forward criter=AIC maxsteps=5);
run;
```

---

**NLOPTIONS Statement**

**NLOPTIONS <options> ;**

PROC QLIM uses the nonlinear optimization (NLO) subsystem to perform nonlinear optimization tasks. For a list of all the options of the NLOPTIONS statement, see Chapter 7, “Nonlinear Optimization Methods.”

---

**OUTPUT Statement**

**OUTPUT <OUT=SAS-data-set> <output-options> ;**

The OUTPUT statement creates a new SAS data set containing all variables in the input data set and, optionally, the estimates of $\mathbf{x}'\hat{\beta}$, predicted value, residual, marginal effects, probability, standard deviation of the error, expected value, conditional expected value, technical efficiency measures, and inverse Mills ratio. When the response values are missing for the observation, all output estimates except residual are still computed as long as none of the explanatory variables is missing. This enables you to compute these statistics for prediction. You can specify only one OUTPUT statement.

Details on the specifications in the OUTPUT statement are as follows:

**CONDITIONAL**

outputs estimates of conditional expected values of continuous endogenous variables.

**ERRSTD**

outputs estimates of $\sigma_j$, the standard deviation of the error term.

**EXPECTED**

outputs estimates of expected values of continuous endogenous variables.
MARGINAL
outputs marginal effects.

MILLS
outputs estimates of inverse Mills ratios of censored or truncated continuous, binary discrete, and selection endogenous variables.

OUT=SAS-data-set
names the output data set.

PREDICTED
outputs estimates of predicted endogenous variables.

PROB
outputs estimates of probability of discrete endogenous variables taking the current observed responses.

PROBALL
outputs estimates of probability of discrete endogenous variables for all possible responses.

RESIDUAL
outputs estimates of residuals of continuous endogenous variables.

XBETA
outputs estimates of $x^\prime \beta$.

TE1
outputs estimates of technical efficiency for each producer in the stochastic frontier model suggested by Battese and Coelli (1988).

TE2
outputs estimates of technical efficiency for each producer in the stochastic frontier model suggested by Jondrow et al. (1982).

PRIOR Statement

PRIOR _REgressors | parameter-list ~ distribution ;

The PRIOR statement specifies the prior distribution of the model parameters. You must specify a single parameter or a list of parameter, a tilde ~, and then a distribution with its parameters. Multiple PRIOR statements are allowed.

You can specify the following distributions:

NORMAL(MEAN=$\mu$, VAR=$\sigma^2$)
specifies a normal distribution with parameters MEAN and VAR.

GAMMA(SHAPE=$a$, SCALE=$b$)
specifies a gamma distribution with parameters SHAPE and SCALE.
SQGAMMA(SHAPE=a, SCALE=b)
   specifies a square root gamma distribution with parameters SHAPE and SCALE.

IGAMMA(SHAPE=a, SCALE=b)
   specifies an inverse gamma distribution with parameters SHAPE and SCALE.

SQIGAMMA(SHAPE=a, SCALE=b)
   specifies a square root inverse gamma distribution with parameters SHAPE and SCALE.

UNIFORM(MIN=m, MAX=M)
   specifies a uniform distribution that is defined between MIN and MAX.

BETA(SHAPE1=a, SHAPE2=b, MIN=m, MAX=M)
   specifies a beta distribution with parameters SHAPE1 and SHAPE2 and defined between MIN and MAX.

T(LOCATION=μ, DF=ν)
   specifies a noncentral t distribution with DF degrees of freedom and location parameter equal to LOCATION.

See the section “Standard Distributions” on page 2024 for details about how to specify distributions.

You can specify the special keyword REGRESSORS to select all the parameters used in the linear regression component of the model.

**RANDOM Statement (Experimental)**

**RANDOM** INTERCEPT < / options > ;

The RANDOM statement defines the random effects in the mixed model. Currently, you can specify only random intercepts as random effects; random coefficients are not allowed. If you have a panel data set, you can use the RANDOM statement to estimate random-effects models that include binomial probit, binomial logit, ordinal probit, ordinal logit, linear regression, Tobit, truncated regression, and stochastic frontier models.

You can specify only a single RANDOM statement, and if you specify a RANDOM statement, you can specify only one MODEL statement. The RANDOM statement is not supported if a BAYES statement is also specified.

You can abbreviate INTERCEPT as INT. You can specify the following options after a slash (/).

**SUBJECT=** variable

**S=** variable

determines the unique realizations of the random effects. In panel data, the variable identifies the cross-sectional units. For example, in panel data, variable might be household or country.

If you do not specify the SUBJECT= option, then variable is assumed to have a single realization; that is, there is no variation in the random effects. You should specify this option in order to have a true random-effects model.

The following statement illustrates the SUBJECT= option:

```plaintext
random int / subject=id;
```
METHOD=method-options
M=method-options
specifies the method of approximation to the integral that appears in the likelihood function. For more information about the integral and the integration methods, see the section “Random-Effects Models for Panel Data” on page 2008 and its subsections.

You can specify the following method-options:

HALTON < (halton-options) >
HALT < (halton-options) >
QMC < (halton-options) >
requests a quasi–Monte Carlo integration method that uses the Halton sequence that is defined by the prime number 2. For information about how this series is generated, see the section “QMC Method Using the Halton Sequence” on page 2010.

You can specify the following halton-options:

HALTONDRAW=value
HDRAW=value
determines the number of elements that the Halton series has for each unique value of the subject variable. Therefore, the total number of elements in the Halton sequence is value times the number of unique values of the variable that is specified in the SUBJECT= option. For more information, see the subsection “QMC Method Using the Halton Sequence” on page 2010.

The default value of the HALTONDRAW= option is the number of unique values of the variable that is specified in the SUBJECT= option. For example, if you have a panel data set, the number of terms in the Halton sequence is the square of the number of cross-sections.

HALTONSTART=value
specifies the starting point of the Halton sequence, where value must be a positive integer. By default, HALTONSTART=11.

The following statement requests a Halton sequence that has 100 elements and does not discard any elements:

    random int / subject=country method=halton(hdraw=100 haltonstart=1);

HERMITE < (QPOINTS=value) >
HERM < (QPOINTS=value) >
GAUSS < (QPOINTS=value) >
requests the Gauss-Hermite quadrature integration method. For more information, see the section “Approximation by Hermite Quadrature” on page 2010.

QPOINTS=value specifies the number of quadrature points to be used during evaluation of integral. By default QPOINTS=20.

The following statement illustrates this option:

    random int / subject=states method=hermite(qpoints=4);
**SIMULATION < (simulation-options) >**

**SIM < (simulation-options) >**

requests simulation as the method of integration. For more information, see the section “Simulated Maximum Likelihood” on page 2009.

You can specify the following `simulation-options`:

- **NDRAW=value**
  specifies the number of draws for the simulation. You can also specify the number of draws in the `NDRAW=` option in the PROC QLIM statement. If you specify both, PROC QLIM uses the `value` in the RANDOM statement. If you do not specify any `NDRAW=` option, the default value is set to \(N^{3/2}\), where \(N\) is the number of unique values of the subject variable. For example, for a panel data set, \(N\) is the number of cross-sections.

- **SEED=value**
  specifies the seed of the random draws, where `value` must be less than \(2^{31} - 1\). You can also specify the seed in the `SEED=` option in the PROC QLIM statement. If you specify both, PROC QLIM uses the `value` in the RANDOM statement. If you do not specify a seed, or if you specify a value less than equal to zero, the seed is generated randomly.

The following statement illustrates this option:

```
random int / subject=id method=simulation(ndraw=1000 seed=12345);
```

By default, METHOD=HERMITE(QPOINTS=20).

---

**RESTRICT Statement**

```
RESTRICT restriction1 <, restriction2 . . . > ;
```

The `RESTRICT` statement is used to impose linear restrictions on the parameter estimates. Any number of `RESTRICT` statements can be specified, but the number of restrictions imposed is limited by the number of regressors.

Each `restriction` is written as an expression, followed by an equality operator (=) or an inequality operator (<, >, <=, >=), followed by a second expression:

```
expression operator expression
```

The `operator` can be =, <, >, <=, or >=. The operator and second expression are optional.

Restriction expressions can be composed of parameter names, multiplication (*), addition (+) and substitution (-) operators, and constants. Parameters named in restriction expressions must be among the parameters estimated by the model. Parameters associated with a regressor variable are referred to by the name of the corresponding regressor variable. The restriction expressions must be a linear function of the parameters.

The following is an example of the use of the `RESTRICT` statement:

```
proc qlim data=one;
  model y = x1-x10 / discrete;
  restrict x1*2 <= x2 + x3;
run;
```
The RESTRICT statement can also be used to impose cross-equation restrictions in multivariate models. The following RESTRICT statement imposes an equality restriction on coefficients of \( x_1 \) in equation \( y_1 \) and \( x_1 \) in equation \( y_2 \):

```plaintext
proc qlim data=one;
    model y1 = x1-x10;
    model y2 = x1-x4;
    endogenous y1 y2 ~ discrete;
    restrict y1.x1=y2.x1;
run;
```

The RESTRICT statement is not supported if a BAYES statement is also specified. In Bayesian analysis, the restrictions on parameters are usually introduced through the prior distribution.

---

**TEST Statement**

```plaintext
<’label’:> TEST <’string’:> equation [,equation... ] / options ;
```

The TEST statement performs Wald, Lagrange multiplier, and likelihood ratio tests of linear hypotheses about the regression parameters in the preceding MODEL statement. Each equation specifies a linear hypothesis to be tested. All hypotheses in one TEST statement are tested jointly. Variable names in the equations must correspond to regressors in the preceding MODEL statement, and each name represents the coefficient of the corresponding regressor. The keyword INTERCEPT refers to the coefficient of the intercept.

The following options can be specified in the TEST statement after the slash (/):

- **ALL** requests Wald, Lagrange multiplier, and likelihood ratio tests.
- **WALD** requests the Wald test.
- **LM** requests the Lagrange multiplier test.
- **LR** requests the likelihood ratio test.

The following illustrates the use of the TEST statement:

```plaintext
proc qlim;
    model y = x1 x2 x3;
    test x1 = 0, x2 * .5 + 2 * x3 = 0;
    test_int: test intercept = 0, x3 = 0;
run;
```

The first test investigates the joint hypothesis that

\[
\beta_1 = 0
\]
In case there is more than one MODEL statement in one QLIM procedure, then TEST statement is capable of testing cross-equation restrictions. Each parameter reference should be preceded by the name of the dependent variable of the particular model and the dot sign. For example,

```plaintext
proc qlim;
  model y1 = x1 x2 x3;
  model y2 = x3 x5 x6;
  test y1.x1 + y2.x6 = 1;
run;
```

This cross-equation test investigates the null hypothesis that

\[ \beta_{1,1} + \beta_{2,3} = 1 \]

in the system of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_{1,i} &= \alpha_1 + \beta_{1,1}x_{1,i} + \beta_{1,2}x_{2,i} + \beta_{1,3}x_{3,i} \\
  y_{2,i} &= \alpha_2 + \beta_{2,1}x_{3,i} + \beta_{2,2}x_{5,i} + \beta_{2,3}x_{6,i}
\end{align*}
\]

Only linear equality restrictions and tests are permitted in PROC QLIM. Tests expressions can be composed only of algebraic operations involving the addition symbol (+), subtraction symbol (-), and multiplication symbol (*).

The TEST statement accepts labels that are reproduced in the printed output. TEST statement can be labeled in two ways. A TEST statement can be preceded by a label followed by a colon. Alternatively, the keyword TEST can be followed by a quoted string. If both are present, PROC QLIM uses the label preceding the colon. In the event no label is present, PROC QLIM automatically labels the tests.

You cannot specify both the TEST statement and the BAYES statement.

## WEIGHT Statement

```plaintext
WEIGHT variable < / option> ;
```

The WEIGHT statement specifies a variable to supply weighting values to use for each observation in estimating parameters. The log likelihood for each observation is multiplied by the corresponding weight variable value.

If the weight of an observation is nonpositive, that observation is not used in the estimation.

The following option can be added to the WEIGHT statement after a slash (/).

**NONNORMALIZE**

specifies that the weights are required to be used as is. When this option is not specified, the weights are normalized so that they add up to the actual sample size. Weights \( w_i \) are normalized by multiplying them by \[ \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i} \], where \( n \) is the sample size.
Ordinal Discrete Choice Modeling

Binary Probit and Logit Model

The binary choice model is
\[ y_i^* = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i \]
where value of the latent dependent variable, \(y_i^*\), is observed only as follows:
\[ y_i = 1 \quad \text{if } y_i^* > 0 \]
\[ = 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \]

The disturbance, \(\epsilon_i\), of the probit model has standard normal distribution with the distribution function (CDF)
\[ \Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-t^2/2) dt \]

The disturbance of the logit model has standard logistic distribution with the CDF
\[ \Lambda(x) = \frac{\exp(x)}{1 + \exp(x)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)} \]

The binary discrete choice model has the following probability that the event \(y_i = 1\) occurs:
\[ P(y_i = 1) = F(x_i' \beta) = \begin{cases} \Phi(x_i' \beta) \quad \text{(probit)} \\ \Lambda(x_i' \beta) \quad \text{(logit)} \end{cases} \]

The log-likelihood function is
\[ \ell = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ y_i \log[F(x_i' \beta)] + (1 - y_i) \log[1 - F(x_i' \beta)] \right] \]

where the CDF \(F(x)\) is defined as \(\Phi(x)\) for the probit model while \(F(x) = \Lambda(x)\) for logit. The first order derivatives of the logit model are
\[ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \Lambda(x_i' \beta)) x_i \]

The probit model has more complicated derivatives
\[ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \frac{(2y_i - 1)\phi[(2y_i - 1)x_i' \beta]}{\Phi[(2y_i - 1)x_i' \beta]} \right\} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i x_i \]
where
\[ r_i = \frac{(2y_i - 1)\phi[(2y_i - 1)x_i' \beta]}{\Phi[(2y_i - 1)x_i' \beta]} \]

Note that the logit maximum likelihood estimates are \(\frac{\pi^2}{3}\) times greater than probit maximum likelihood estimates, since the probit parameter estimates, \(\beta\), are standardized, and the error term with logistic distribution has a variance of \(\frac{\pi^2}{3}\).
Ordinal Probit/Logit

When the dependent variable is observed in sequence with \( M \) categories, binary discrete choice modeling is not appropriate for data analysis. McKelvey and Zavoina (1975) proposed the ordinal (or ordered) probit model.

Consider the following regression equation:

\[
y_i^* = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i
\]

where error disturbances, \( \epsilon_i \), have the distribution function \( F \). The unobserved continuous random variable, \( y_i^* \), is identified as \( M \) categories. Suppose there are \( M + 1 \) real numbers, \( \mu_0, \ldots, \mu_M \), where \( \mu_0 = -\infty, \mu_1 = 0, \mu_M = \infty \), and \( \mu_0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_M \). Define

\[
R_{i,j} = \mu_j - x_i' \beta
\]

The probability that the unobserved dependent variable is contained in the \( j \)th category can be written as

\[
P[y_i < y_i^* \leq \mu_j] = F(R_{i,j}) - F(R_{i,j-1})
\]

The log-likelihood function is

\[
\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij} \log \left[ \frac{F(R_{i,j})}{F(R_{i,j-1})} \right]
\]

where

\[
d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \mu_{j-1} < y_i \leq \mu_j \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

The first derivatives are written as

\[
\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij} \left[ \frac{f(R_{i,j-1}) - f(R_{i,j})}{F(R_{i,j}) - F(R_{i,j-1})} x_i \right]
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} d_{ij} \left[ \frac{\delta_{j,k} f(R_{i,j}) - \delta_{j-1,k} f(R_{i,j-1})}{F(R_{i,j}) - F(R_{i,j-1})} \right]
\]

where \( f(x) = \frac{dF(x)}{dx} \) and \( \delta_{j,k} = 1 \) if \( j = k \), and \( \delta_{j,k} = 0 \) otherwise. When the ordinal probit is estimated, it is assumed that \( F(R_{i,j}) = \Phi(R_{i,j}) \). The ordinal logit model is estimated if \( F(R_{i,j}) = \Lambda(R_{i,j}) \). The first threshold parameter, \( \mu_1 \), is estimated when the LIMIT1=VARYING option is specified. By default (LIMIT1=ZERO), so that \( M - 2 \) threshold parameters (\( \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{M-1} \)) are estimated.

The ordered probit models are analyzed by Aitchison and Silvey (1957), and Cox (1970) discussed ordered response data by using the logit model. They defined the probability that \( y_i^* \) belongs to \( j \)th category as

\[
P[\mu_{j-1} < y_i \leq \mu_j] = F(\mu_j + x_i' \theta) - F(\mu_{j-1} + x_i' \theta)
\]

where \( \mu_0 = -\infty \) and \( \mu_M = \infty \). Therefore, the ordered response model analyzed by Aitchison and Silvey can be estimated if the LIMIT1=VARYING option is specified. Note that \( \theta = -\beta \).
Goodness-of-Fit Measures

The goodness-of-fit measures discussed in this section apply only to discrete dependent variable models. McFadden (1974) suggested a likelihood ratio index that is analogous to the $R^2$ in the linear regression model:

$$R^2_M = 1 - \frac{\ln L}{\ln L_0}$$

where $L$ is the value of the maximum likelihood function and $L_0$ is the value of a likelihood function when regression coefficients except an intercept term are zero. It can be shown that $L_0$ can be written as

$$L_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{M} N_j \ln\left(\frac{N_j}{N}\right)$$

where $N_j$ is the number of responses in category $j$.

Estrella (1998) proposes the following requirements for a goodness-of-fit measure to be desirable in discrete choice modeling:

- The measure must take values in $[0, 1]$, where 0 represents no fit and 1 corresponds to perfect fit.
- The measure should be directly related to the valid test statistic for significance of all slope coefficients.
- The derivative of the measure with respect to the test statistic should comply with corresponding derivatives in a linear regression.

Estrella’s (1998) measure is written

$$R^2_{E1} = 1 - \left( \frac{\ln L}{\ln L_0} \right)^{\frac{2}{K}} \ln L_0$$

An alternative measure suggested by Estrella (1998) is

$$R^2_{E2} = 1 - \left[ \frac{\ln L - K}{\ln L_0} \right]^{\frac{2}{K}} \ln L_0$$

where $\ln L_0$ is computed with null slope parameter values, $N$ is the number observations used, and $K$ represents the number of estimated parameters.

Other goodness-of-fit measures are summarized as follows:

$$R^2_{CU1} = 1 - \left( \frac{L_0}{L} \right)^{\frac{2}{K}}$$  (Cragg – Uhler1)

$$R^2_{CU2} = \frac{1 - (L_0/L)^{\frac{2}{K}}}{1 - L_0^{\frac{2}{K}}}$$  (Cragg – Uhler2)

$$R^2_A = \frac{2(\ln L - \ln L_0)}{2(\ln L - \ln L_0) + N}$$  (Aldrich – Nelson)

$$R^2_{VZ} = R^2_A \frac{2\ln L_0 - N}{2\ln L_0}$$  (Veall – Zimmermann)

$$R^2_{MZ} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y}_i)^2}{N + \sum_{i=1}^{N}(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y}_i)^2}$$  (McKelvey – Zavoina)

where $\hat{y}_i = x_i \hat{\beta}$ and $\bar{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i / N$. 

Limited Dependent Variable Models

Censored Regression Models

When the dependent variable is censored, values in a certain range are all transformed to a single value. For example, the standard tobit model can be defined as

\[ y_i^* = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i \]

\[ y_i = \begin{cases} y_i^* & \text{if } y_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_i^* \leq 0 \end{cases} \]

where \( \epsilon_i \sim iid N(0, \sigma^2) \). The log-likelihood function of the standard censored regression model is

\[ \ell = \sum_{i \in \{ y_i = 0 \}} \ln \left( 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{y_i^* - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) + \sum_{i \in \{ y_i > 0 \}} \ln \left( \frac{\Phi \left( \frac{y_i - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right)}{\sigma} \right) \]

where \( \Phi(\cdot) \) is the cumulative density function of the standard normal distribution and \( \phi(\cdot) \) is the probability density function of the standard normal distribution.

The tobit model can be generalized to handle observation-by-observation censoring. The censored model on both of the lower and upper limits can be defined as

\[ y_i = \begin{cases} R_i & \text{if } y_i^* \geq R_i \\ y_i^* & \text{if } L_i < y_i^* < R_i \\ L_i & \text{if } y_i^* \leq L_i \end{cases} \]

The log-likelihood function can be written as

\[ \ell = \sum_{i \in \{ y_i > L_i \}} \ln \left( \phi \left( \frac{y_i^* - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) + \sum_{i \in \{ y_i = R_i \}} \ln \left( \Phi \left( \frac{-R_i - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) + \sum_{i \in \{ y_i = L_i \}} \ln \left( \Phi \left( \frac{L_i - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) \]

Log-likelihood functions of the lower- or upper-limit censored model are easily derived from the two-limit censored model. The log-likelihood function of the lower-limit censored model is

\[ \ell = \sum_{i \in \{ y_i > L_i \}} \ln \left( \phi \left( \frac{y_i^* - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) + \sum_{i \in \{ y_i = L_i \}} \ln \left( \Phi \left( \frac{L_i - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) \]

The log-likelihood function of the upper-limit censored model is

\[ \ell = \sum_{i \in \{ y_i < R_i \}} \ln \left( \phi \left( \frac{y_i^* - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) + \sum_{i \in \{ y_i = R_i \}} \ln \left( 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{R_i - x_i' \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) \]
Types of Tobit Models

Amemiya (1984) classified Tobit models into five types based on characteristics of the likelihood function. For notational convenience, let $P$ denote a distribution or density function, $y_{ji}^*$ is assumed to be normally distributed with mean $x'_{ji} \beta_j$ and variance $\sigma_j^2$.

**Type 1 Tobit**

The Type 1 Tobit model was already discussed in the preceding section.

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= x'_{1i} \beta_1 + u_{1i} \\
y_{1i} &= y_{1i}^* \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
     &= 0 \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

The likelihood function is characterized as $P(y_1 < 0) P(y_1)$.

**Type 2 Tobit**

The Type 2 Tobit model is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= x'_{1i} \beta_1 + u_{1i} \\
y_{2i}^* &= x'_{2i} \beta_2 + u_{2i} \\
y_{1i} &= 1 \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
     &= 0 \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 \\
y_{2i} &= y_{2i}^* \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
     &= 0 \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $(u_{1i}, u_{2i}) \sim N(0, \Sigma)$. The likelihood function is described as $P(y_1 < 0) P(y_1 > 0, y_2)$.

**Type 3 Tobit**

The Type 3 Tobit model is different from the Type 2 Tobit in that $y_{1i}^*$ of the Type 3 Tobit is observed when $y_{1i}^* > 0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= x'_{1i} \beta_1 + u_{1i} \\
y_{2i}^* &= x'_{2i} \beta_2 + u_{2i} \\
y_{1i} &= y_{1i}^* \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
     &= 0 \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 \\
y_{2i} &= y_{2i}^* \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
     &= 0 \quad \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $(u_{1i}, u_{2i})' \sim iid N(0, \Sigma)$.

The likelihood function is characterized as $P(y_1 < 0) P(y_1, y_2)$. 

**Type 4 Tobit**

The Type 4 Tobit model consists of three equations:

\[

d_y^{1i} = x_1'y_1 + u_{1i} \\
d_y^{2i} = x_2'y_2 + u_{2i} \\
d_y^{3i} = x_3'y_3 + u_{3i} \\
y_1 = \begin{cases} 
  y_1^* & \text{if } y_1^* > 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } y_1^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
y_2 = \begin{cases} 
  y_2^* & \text{if } y_2^* > 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } y_2^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
y_3 = \begin{cases} 
  y_3^* & \text{if } y_3^* \leq 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } y_3^* > 0 
\end{cases}
\]

where \((u_{1i}, u_{2i}, u_{3i})' \sim iidN(0, \Sigma)\). The likelihood function of the Type 4 Tobit model is characterized as

\[
P(y_1 < 0, y_3)P(y_1 > 0, y_2).
\]

**Type 5 Tobit**

The Type 5 Tobit model is defined as follows:

\[

d_y^{1i} = x_1'y_1 + u_{1i} \\
d_y^{2i} = x_2'y_2 + u_{2i} \\
d_y^{3i} = x_3'y_3 + u_{3i} \\
y_1 = \begin{cases} 
  y_1^* & \text{if } y_1^* > 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } y_1^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
y_2 = \begin{cases} 
  y_2^* & \text{if } y_2^* > 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } y_2^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
y_3 = \begin{cases} 
  y_3^* & \text{if } y_3^* \leq 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } y_3^* > 0 
\end{cases}
\]

where \((u_{1i}, u_{2i}, u_{3i})' \sim iid\) trivariate normal distribution. The likelihood function of the Type 5 Tobit model is characterized as

\[
P(y_1 < 0, y_3)P(y_1 > 0, y_2).
\]

Code examples for these models can be found in “Example 29.6: Types of Tobit Models” on page 2045.

**Truncated Regression Models**

In a truncated model, the observed sample is a subset of the population where the dependent variable falls in a certain range. For example, when neither a dependent variable nor exogenous variables are observed for \(y_i^* < 0\), the truncated regression model can be specified.

\[
\ell = \sum_{i \in \{y_i \geq 0\}} \left\{-\ln \Phi(x_i'y_1 / \sigma) + \ln \left[ \frac{\phi((y_i - x_i'y_1) / \sigma)}{\sigma} \right] \right\}
\]

Two-limit truncation model is defined as

\[
y_i = y_i^* \text{ if } L_i \leq y_i^* \leq R_i
\]
The log-likelihood function of the two-limit truncated regression model is

$$\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{y_i - x_i \beta}{\sigma} \right) - \ln \left( \Phi \left( \frac{R_i - x_i \beta}{\sigma} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{L_i - x_i \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) \right\}$$

The log-likelihood functions of the lower- and upper-limit truncation model are

$$\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{y_i - x_i \beta}{\sigma} \right) - \ln \left( 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{L_i - x_i \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) \right\} \quad \text{(lower)}$$

$$\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{y_i - x_i \beta}{\sigma} \right) - \ln \left( \Phi \left( \frac{R_i - x_i \beta}{\sigma} \right) \right) \right\} \quad \text{(upper)}$$

**Stochastic Frontier Production and Cost Models**

Stochastic frontier production models were first developed by Aigner, Lovell, and Schmidt (1977); Meeusen and van den Broeck (1977). Specification of these models allow for random shocks of the production or cost but also include a term for technological or cost inefficiency. Assuming that the production function takes a log-linear Cobb-Douglas form, the stochastic frontier production model can be written as

$$\ln y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_n \beta_n \ln x_{ni} + \epsilon_i$$

where $\epsilon_i = v_i - u_i$. The $v_i$ term represents the stochastic error component and $u_i$ is the nonnegative, technology inefficiency error component. The $v_i$ error component is assumed to be distributed iid normal and independently from $u_i$. Given that $u_i > 0$, the error term, $\epsilon_i$, is negatively skewed and represents technology inefficiency. For the stochastic frontier cost model, $\epsilon_i = v_i + u_i$. The $v_i$ term represents the stochastic error component and $u_i$ is the nonnegative, cost inefficiency error component. Given that $u_i > 0$, the error term, $\epsilon_i$, is positively skewed and represents cost inefficiency. PROC QLIM models the $u_i$ error component as a half normal, exponential, or truncated normal distribution.

**The Normal-Half Normal Model**

In case of the normal-half normal model, $v_i$ is iid $N(0, \sigma_v^2)$, $u_i$ is iid $N^+(0, \sigma_u^2)$ with $v_i$ and $u_i$ independent of each other. Given the independence of error terms, the joint density of $v$ and $u$ can be written as

$$f(u, v) = \frac{2}{2\pi \sigma_u \sigma_v} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2\sigma_u^2} - \frac{v^2}{2\sigma_v^2} \right\}$$

Substituting $v = \epsilon + u$ into the preceding equation gives

$$f(u, \epsilon) = \frac{2}{2\pi \sigma_u \sigma_v} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2\sigma_u^2} - \frac{(\epsilon + u)^2}{2\sigma_v^2} \right\}$$
Integrating $u$ out to obtain the marginal density function of $\epsilon$ results in the following form:

$$f(\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty f(u, \epsilon) du$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \left[ 1 - \Phi\left( \frac{\epsilon\lambda}{\sigma} \right) \right] \exp\left\{ -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sigma} \phi\left( \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \right) \Phi\left( \frac{-\epsilon\lambda}{\sigma} \right)$$

where $\lambda = \sigma_u/\sigma_v$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2_u + \sigma^2_v}$.

In the case of a stochastic frontier cost model, $v = \epsilon - u$ and

$$f(\epsilon) = \frac{2}{\sigma} \phi\left( \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma} \right) \Phi\left( \frac{\epsilon\lambda}{\sigma} \right)$$

The log-likelihood function for the production model with $N$ producers is written as

$$\ln L = \text{constant} - N \ln \sigma + \sum_i \ln \Phi\left( \frac{-\epsilon_i\lambda}{\sigma} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i \epsilon_i^2$$

**The Normal-Exponential Model**

Under the normal-exponential model, $v_i$ is iid $N(0, \sigma_v^2)$ and $u_i$ is iid exponential with scale parameter $\sigma_u$.

Given the independence of error term components $u_i$ and $v_i$, the joint density of $v$ and $u$ can be written as

$$f(u, v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_u\sigma_v} \exp\left\{ -\frac{u}{\sigma_u} - \frac{v^2}{2\sigma_v^2} \right\}$$

The marginal density function of $\epsilon$ for the production function is

$$f(\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty f(u, \epsilon) du$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_u} \right) \Phi\left( \frac{-\epsilon\sigma_v}{\sigma_u} \right) \exp\left\{ \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma_u} + \frac{\sigma^2_v}{2\sigma_u^2} \right\}$$

and the marginal density function for the cost function is equal to

$$f(\epsilon) = \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_u} \right) \Phi\left( \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma_v} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{\epsilon}{\sigma_u} + \frac{\sigma^2_v}{2\sigma_u^2} \right\}$$

The log-likelihood function for the normal-exponential production model with $N$ producers is

$$\ln L = \text{constant} - N \ln \sigma_u + N \left( \frac{\sigma^2_v}{2\sigma_u^2} \right) + \sum_i \frac{\epsilon_i}{\sigma_u} + \sum_i \ln \Phi\left( \frac{-\epsilon_i}{\sigma_v} - \frac{\sigma_v}{\sigma_u} \right)$$
The Normal-Truncated Normal Model

The normal-truncated normal model is a generalization of the normal-half normal model by allowing the mean of $u_i$ to differ from zero. Under the normal-truncated normal model, the error term component $v_i$ is iid $N(0, \sigma_v^2)$ and $u_i$ is iid $N^+(\mu, \sigma_u^2)$. The joint density of $v_i$ and $u_i$ can be written as

$$f(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma_u \sigma_v \Phi(\mu/\sigma_u)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(u - \mu)^2}{2\sigma_u^2} - \frac{v^2}{2\sigma_v^2} \right\}$$

The marginal density function of $\epsilon$ for the production function is

$$f(\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty f(u, \epsilon) du$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma \Phi(\mu/\sigma_u)} \Phi(\frac{\mu}{\sigma\lambda} - \frac{\epsilon\lambda}{\sigma}) \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\epsilon + \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{\epsilon + \mu}{\sigma}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma\lambda} - \frac{\epsilon\lambda}{\sigma}\right) \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma_u}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

and the marginal density function for the cost function is

$$f(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{\epsilon - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma\lambda} + \frac{\epsilon\lambda}{\sigma}\right) \left[ \Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma_u}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

The log-likelihood function for the normal-truncated normal production model with $N$ producers is

$$\ln L = \text{constant} - N \ln \sigma - N \ln \Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma_u}\right) + \sum_i \ln \Phi\left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma\lambda} - \frac{\epsilon_i\lambda}{\sigma}\right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left(\frac{\epsilon_i + \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2$$

For more detail on normal-half normal, normal-exponential, and normal-truncated models, see Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000); Coelli, Prasada Rao, and Battese (1998).

Heteroscedasticity and Box-Cox Transformation

Heteroscedasticity

If the variance of regression disturbance, $(\epsilon_i)$, is heteroscedastic, the variance can be specified as a function of variables

$$E(\epsilon_i^2) = \sigma_i^2 = f(x_i' y)$$

The following table shows various functional forms of heteroscedasticity and the corresponding options to request each model.
### Chapter 29: The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( f(z_i, y) = \sigma^2 (1 + \exp(z_i^T y)) )</td>
<td>LINK=EXP (default)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( f(z_i, y) = \sigma^2 \exp(z_i^T y) )</td>
<td>LINK=EXP NOCONST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( f(z_i, y) = \sigma^2 (1 + \sum_{l=1}^L y_l z_{li}) )</td>
<td>LINK=LINEAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( f(z_i, y) = \sigma^2 (1 + \sum_{l=1}^L y_l z_{li})^2 )</td>
<td>LINK=LINEAR SQUARE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For discrete choice models, \( \sigma^2 \) is normalized (\( \sigma^2 = 1 \)) since this parameter is not identified. Note that in models 3 and 5, it may be possible that variances of some observations are negative. Although the QLIM procedure assigns a large penalty to move the optimization away from such region, it is possible that the optimization cannot improve the objective function value and gets locked in the region. Signs of such outcome include extremely small likelihood values or missing standard errors in the estimates. In models 2 and 6, variances are guaranteed to be greater or equal to zero, but it may be possible that variances of some observations are very close to zero. In these scenarios, standard errors may be missing. Models 1 and 4 do not have such problems. Variances in these models are always positive and never close to zero.

The heteroscedastic regression model is estimated using the following log-likelihood function:

\[
\ell = -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln(\sigma_i^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{e_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2
\]

where \( e_i = y_i - x_i^T \beta \).

### Box-Cox Modeling

The Box-Cox transformation on \( x \) is defined as

\[
x^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x^\lambda - 1}{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \\
\ln(x) & \text{if } \lambda = 0 
\end{cases}
\]

The Box-Cox regression model with heteroscedasticity is written as

\[
y^{(\lambda_0)}_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k x_k^{(\lambda_k)} + \epsilon_i = \mu_i + \epsilon_i
\]

where \( \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2) \) and transformed variables must be positive. In practice, too many transformation parameters cause numerical problems in model fitting. It is common to have the same Box-Cox transformation performed on all the variables — that is, \( \lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_K \). It is required for the magnitude of transformed variables to be in the tolerable range if the corresponding transformation parameters are \(|\lambda| > 1\).

The log-likelihood function of the Box-Cox regression model is written as

\[
\ell = -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln(\sigma_i^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{e_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 + (\lambda_0 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln(y_i)
\]

where \( e_i = y_i^{(\lambda_0)} - \mu_i \).

When the dependent variable is discrete, censored, or truncated, the Box-Cox transformation can be applied only to explanatory variables.
Bivariate Limited Dependent Variable Modeling

The generic form of a bivariate limited dependent variable model is

\[ y_{1i}^* = x_{1i}' \beta_1 + \epsilon_{1i} \]
\[ y_{2i}^* = x_{2i}' \beta_2 + \epsilon_{2i} \]

where the disturbances, \( \epsilon_{1i} \) and \( \epsilon_{2i} \), have joint normal distribution with zero mean, standard deviations \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \), and correlation of \( \rho \). \( y_{1i}^* \) and \( y_{2i}^* \) are latent variables. The dependent variables \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are observed if the latent variables \( y_{1i}^* \) and \( y_{2i}^* \) fall in certain ranges:

\[ y_1 = y_{1i} \text{ if } y_{1i}^* \in D_1(y_{1i}) \]
\[ y_2 = y_{2i} \text{ if } y_{2i}^* \in D_2(y_{2i}) \]

\( D \) is a transformation from \((y_{1i}^*, y_{2i}^*)\) to \((y_{1i}, y_{2i})\). For example, if \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are censored variables with lower bound 0, then

\[ y_1 = y_{1i} \text{ if } y_{1i}^* > 0, \quad y_1 = 0 \text{ if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 \]
\[ y_2 = y_{2i} \text{ if } y_{2i}^* > 0, \quad y_2 = 0 \text{ if } y_{2i}^* \leq 0 \]

There are three cases for the log likelihood of \((y_{1i}, y_{2i})\). The first case is that \( y_{1i} = y_{1i}^* \) and \( y_{2i} = y_{2i}^* \). That is, this observation is mapped to one point in the space of latent variables. The log likelihood is computed from a bivariate normal density,

\[
\ell_i = \ln \left[ \phi_2 \left( \frac{y_1 - x_1' \beta_1}{\sigma_1}, \frac{y_2 - x_2' \beta_2}{\sigma_2}, \rho \right) \right] - \ln \sigma_1 - \ln \sigma_2
\]

where \( \phi_2(u, v, \rho) \) is the density function for standardized bivariate normal distribution with correlation \( \rho \),

\[
\phi_2(u, v, \rho) = \frac{e^{-(1/2)(u^2 + v^2 - 2\rho uv)/(1 - \rho^2)}}{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}}
\]

The second case is that one observed dependent variable is mapped to a point of its latent variable and the other dependent variable is mapped to a segment in the space of its latent variable. For example, in the bivariate censored model specified, if observed \( y_1 > 0 \) and \( y_2 = 0 \), then \( y_{1i}^* = y_{1i} \) and \( y_{2i}^* \in (-\infty, 0] \). In general, the log likelihood for one observation can be written as follows (the subscript \( i \) is dropped for simplicity):

\[
\ell_i = \ln \left[ \phi \left( \frac{y_1 - x_1' \beta_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \right] - \ln \sigma_1
\]

\[
+ \ln \left[ \Phi \left( \frac{R_2 - x_2' \beta_2 - \rho \frac{y_1 - x_1' \beta_1}{\sigma_1}}{\sigma_2} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{L_2 - x_2' \beta_2 - \rho \frac{y_1 - x_1' \beta_1}{\sigma_1}}{\sigma_2} \right) \right]
\]

where \( \phi \) and \( \Phi \) are the density function and the cumulative probability function for standardized univariate normal distribution.
The third case is that both dependent variables are mapped to segments in the space of latent variables. For example, in the bivariate censored model specified, if observed $y_1 = 0$ and $y_2 = 0$, then $y_1^* \in (-\infty, 0]$ and $y_2^* \in (-\infty, 0]$. In general, if $D_1(y_1) = [L_1, R_1]$ and $D_2(y_2) = [L_2, R_2]$, the log likelihood is

$$
\ell_i = \ln \int_{L_1-x_1'\beta_1}^{R_1-x_1'\beta_1} \int_{L_2-x_2'\beta_2}^{R_2-x_2'\beta_2} \phi_2(u, v, \rho) \, du \, dv
$$

**Selection Models**

In sample selection models, one or several dependent variables are observed when another variable takes certain values. For example, the standard Heckman selection model can be defined as

$$
z_i^* = w_i' y + u_i
$$

$$
z_i = \begin{cases} 
  1 & \text{if } z_i^* > 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } z_i^* \leq 0
\end{cases}
$$

$$
y_i = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i \text{ if } z_i = 1
$$

where $u_i$ and $\epsilon_i$ are jointly normal with 0 mean, standard deviations of 1 and $\sigma$, respectively, and correlation of $\rho$. Selection is based on the variable $z$, and $y$ is observed when $z$ has a value of 1. Least squares regression that uses the observed data of $y$ produces inconsistent estimates of $\beta$. The maximum likelihood method is used to estimate selection models. It is also possible to estimate these models by using Heckman’s method, which is more computationally efficient. But it can be shown that the resulting estimates, although consistent, are not asymptotically efficient under a normality assumption. Moreover, this method often violates the constraint on the correlation coefficient $|\rho| \leq 1$.

The log-likelihood function of the Heckman selection model is written as

$$
\ell = \sum_{i \in \{z_i=0\}} \ln[1 - \Phi(w_i' y)]
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i \in \{z_i=1\}} \left\{ \ln \phi\left(\frac{y_i - x_i' \beta}{\sigma}\right) - \ln \sigma + \ln \Phi\left(\frac{w_i' y + \rho \frac{y_i - x_i' \beta}{\sigma}}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \right\}
$$

The selection can be based on only one variable, but the selection can lead to several variables. For example, selection is based on the variable $z$ in the following switching regression model:

$$
z_i^* = w_i' y + u_i
$$

$$
z_i = \begin{cases} 
  1 & \text{if } z_i^* > 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } z_i^* \leq 0
\end{cases}
$$

$$
y_{1i} = x_{1i}' \beta_1 + \epsilon_{1i} \text{ if } z_i = 0
$$

$$
y_{2i} = x_{2i}' \beta_2 + \epsilon_{2i} \text{ if } z_i = 1
$$
If $z = 0$, then $y_1$ is observed. If $z = 1$, then $y_2$ is observed. Because $y_1$ and $y_2$ are never observed at the same time, the correlation between $y_1$ and $y_2$ cannot be estimated. Only the correlation between $z$ and $y_1$ and the correlation between $z$ and $y_2$ can be estimated. This estimation uses the maximum likelihood method.

A brief example of the SAS statements for this model can be found in “Example 29.4: Sample Selection Model” on page 2042.

The Heckman selection model can include censoring or truncation. For a brief example of the SAS statements for these models see “Example 29.5: Sample Selection Model with Truncation and Censoring” on page 2043.

The following example shows a variable $y_i$ that is censored from below at zero:

$$z_i^* = w_i'y + u_i$$

$$z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z_i^* \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$y_i^* = x_i'\beta + \epsilon_i \quad \text{if } z_i = 1$$

$$y_i = \begin{cases} y_i^* & \text{if } y_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_i^* \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

In this case, the log-likelihood function of the Heckman selection model needs to be modified as follows to include the censored region:

$$\ell = \sum_{i|z_i=0} \ln[1 - \Phi(w_i'y)] + \sum_{i|z_i=1,y_i=y_i^*} \left\{ \ln \left[ \phi \left( \frac{y_i - x_i'\beta}{\sigma} \right) \right] - \ln \sigma + \ln \left[ \Phi \left( w_i'y + \frac{y_i - x_i'\beta}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{i|z_i=1,y_i=0} \ln \int_{-\infty}^{y_i^*} \int_{-w_i'y}^{\infty} \phi_2(u, v, \rho) \, du \, dv$$

In case $y_i$ is truncated from below at 0 instead of censored, the likelihood function can be written as

$$\ell = \sum_{i|z_i=0} \ln[1 - \Phi(w_i'y)] + \sum_{i|z_i=1} \left\{ \ln \left[ \phi \left( \frac{y_i - x_i'\beta}{\sigma} \right) \right] - \ln \sigma + \ln \left[ \Phi \left( w_i'y + \frac{y_i - x_i'\beta}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right) \right] - \ln \left[ \Phi(x_i'\beta / \sigma) \right] \right\}$$

**Heckman’s Two-Step Selection Method**

Sample selection bias arises from nonrandom selection of the sample from the population. A classic example is using a sample of market wages for working women to estimate female labor supply function. This sample is nonrandom because it includes only the wages of women whose market wage exceeds their home wage at zero hours of work.
A simple selection model can be written as the latent model
\[ z_i^* = w_i'y + u_i \]
\[ z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z_i^* \leq 0 \end{cases} \]
\[ y_i = x_i'\beta + \epsilon_i \quad \text{if } z_i = 1 \]
where \( u_i \) and \( \epsilon_i \) are jointly normal with 0 mean, standard deviations of 1 and \( \sigma \), respectively, and correlation of \( \rho \). The dependent variable \( y_i \) (wage) is observed if the latent variable \( z_i^* \) (the difference between market wage and reservation wage) is positive or if the indicator variable \( z_i \) (labor force participation) is 1.

The model of interest that applies to the observations in the selected sample can be written as
\[ E(y_i|x_i, z_i = 1) = x_i'\beta + \rho \sigma \lambda(w_i'y) \]
where \( \lambda(w_i'y) = \phi(w_i'y)/\Phi(w_i'y) \). Hence, the following regression equation is valid for the observations for which \( z_i = 1 \):
\[ y_i = x_i'\beta + \rho \sigma \lambda(w_i'y) + v_i \]

Therefore, estimates of \( \beta \) that are obtained from the OLS regression of \( y \) on \( x \) by using the selected sample (that is, the sample for which \( z_i = 1 \)) suffer from omitted variable bias if selection bias is really the case. Although maximum likelihood estimation of \( \beta \) is consistent and efficient, Heckman’s two-step method is more frequently used. Heckman’s two-step method can be requested by specifying the HECKIT option of the QLIM statement.

Heckman’s two-step method is as follows:

1. Obtain \( \hat{\lambda}_i = \lambda(w_i'\hat{y}) \), the estimate of the parameters of the probability that \( z_i^* > 0 \), by using regressors \( w_i \) and the binary dependent variable \( z_i \) by probit analysis for the full sample. Compute \( \hat{\delta}_i = \hat{\lambda}_i w_i'\hat{y} \).

2. Obtain \( \hat{\beta} \) and \( \hat{\rho}_\lambda \), the estimates of \( \beta \) and \( \rho \sigma \), by least squares regression of \( y_i \) on \( x_i \) and \( \hat{\delta}_i \) by using observations on the selected subsample.

The standard least squares estimators of the population variance \( \sigma^2 \) and the variances of the estimated coefficients are incorrect. To test hypotheses, the correct ones need to be calculated. An estimator of \( \sigma^2 \) is
\[ \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} e_i^2 + \frac{\hat{\beta}_\lambda^2}{N_1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \hat{\delta}_i \]
where \( N_1 \) is the selected subsample size, \( e_i \) is the residual for the \( i \)th observation obtained from step 2, and \( \hat{\delta}_i = \hat{\lambda}_i^2 + \hat{\lambda}_i w_i'\hat{y} \). Let \( X_* \) be an \( N_1 \times (K + 1) \) matrix with \( i \)th row \( [x_i' \lambda_i] \), and define \( W \) similarly with \( i \)th row \( w_i' \). Then the estimator of the asymptotic covariance of \( \hat{\beta}, \hat{\rho}_\lambda \) is
\[ \text{EstAsyVar}[\hat{\beta}, \hat{\rho}_\lambda] = \hat{\sigma}^2 [X_*'X_*]^{-1} [X_*(I - \hat{\rho}^2 \hat{\Delta})X_* + Q][X_*'X_*]^{-1} \]
where \( \hat{\rho}^2 = \hat{\beta}_\lambda^2/\hat{\sigma}^2, \hat{\Delta} = \text{diag}(\hat{\delta}_i) \), and
\[ Q = \hat{\sigma}^2 (X_*'\hat{\Delta}W)\text{Est.Asy.Var}(\hat{y})(W'\hat{\Delta}X_*) \]
Multivariate Limited Dependent Models

The multivariate model is similar to bivariate models. The generic form of the multivariate limited dependent variable model is

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= x_{1i}'\hat{\beta}_1 + \epsilon_{1i} \\
y_{2i}^* &= x_{2i}'\hat{\beta}_2 + \epsilon_{2i} \\
&\vdots \\
y_{mi}^* &= x_{mi}'\hat{\beta}_m + \epsilon_{mi}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(m\) is the number of models to be estimated. The vector \(\epsilon\) has multivariate normal distribution with mean 0 and variance-covariance matrix \(\Sigma\). Similar to bivariate models, the likelihood may involve computing multivariate normal integrations. This is done using Monte Carlo integration. (See Genz (1992); Hajivassiliou and McFadden (1998).)

When the number of equations, \(N\), increases in a system, the number of parameters increases at the rate of \(N^2\) because of the correlation matrix. When the number of parameters is large, sometimes the optimization converges but some of the standard deviations are missing. This usually means that the model is over-parameterized. The default method for computing the covariance is to use the inverse Hessian matrix. The Hessian is computed by finite differences, and in over-parameterized cases, the inverse cannot be computed. It is recommended that you reduce the number of parameters in such cases. Sometimes using the outer product covariance matrix (COVEST=OP option) may also help.
Chapter 29: The QLIM Procedure

Variable Selection

Variable selection uses either Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) or the Schwartz Bayesian criterion (SBC) and either a forward selection method or a backward elimination method.

Forward selection starts from a small subset of variables. In each step, the variable that gives the largest decrease in the value of the information criterion specified in the CRITER= option (AIC or SBC) is added. The process stops when the next candidate to be added does not reduce the value of the information criterion by more than the amount specified in the LSTOP= option in the MODEL statement.

Backward elimination starts from a larger subset of variables. In each step, one variable is dropped based on the information criterion that is chosen.

Tests on Parameters

Tests on Parameters

In general, the hypothesis tested can be written as

\[ H_0 : h(\theta) = 0 \]

where \( h(\theta) \) is an \( r \) by 1 vector valued function of the parameters \( \theta \) given by the \( r \) expressions specified in the TEST statement.

Let \( \hat{V} \) be the estimate of the covariance matrix of \( \hat{\theta} \). Let \( \hat{\theta} \) be the unconstrained estimate of \( \theta \) and \( \tilde{\theta} \) be the constrained estimate of \( \theta \) such that \( h(\tilde{\theta}) = 0 \). Let

\[ A(\theta) = \frac{\partial h(\theta)}{\partial \theta} |_{\hat{\theta}} \]

Using this notation, the test statistics for the three kinds of tests are computed as follows.

The Wald test statistic is defined as

\[ W = h'(\hat{\theta}) \left( A(\hat{\theta})\hat{V} A'(\hat{\theta}) \right)^{-1} h(\hat{\theta}) \]

The Wald test is not invariant to reparameterization of the model (Gregory and Veall 1985, Gallant 1987, p. 219). For more information about the theoretical properties of the Wald test, see Phillips and Park (1988).

The Lagrange multiplier test statistic is

\[ LM = \lambda' A(\hat{\theta})\hat{V} A'(\hat{\theta}) \lambda \]

where \( \lambda \) is the vector of Lagrange multipliers from the computation of the restricted estimate \( \hat{\theta} \).

The likelihood ratio test statistic is

\[ LR = 2 \left( L(\tilde{\theta}) - L(\hat{\theta}) \right) \]

where \( \tilde{\theta} \) represents the constrained estimate of \( \theta \) and \( L \) is the concentrated log-likelihood value.
For each kind of test, under the null hypothesis the test statistic is asymptotically distributed as a $\chi^2$ random variable with $r$ degrees of freedom, where $r$ is the number of expressions in the TEST statement. The $p$-values reported for the tests are computed from the $\chi^2(r)$ distribution and are only asymptotically valid.

Monte Carlo simulations suggest that the asymptotic distribution of the Wald test is a poorer approximation to its small sample distribution than that of the other two tests. However, the Wald test has the lowest computational cost, since it does not require computation of the constrained estimate $\hat{\theta}$.

The following is an example of using the TEST statement to perform a likelihood ratio test:

```plaintext
proc qlim;
   model y = x1 x2 x3;
   test x1 = 0, x2 * .5 + 2 * x3 = 0 /lr;
run;
```

Endogeneity and Instrumental Variables

The PROC QLIM models such as qualitative response or limited dependent variable models assume that the errors are independent of the explanatory variables. If this assumption fails to hold, the distributional form that the likelihood is based on is misspecified and the obtained coefficients are inconsistent.

To begin, consider a linear model

$$y_i = y_i^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i$$

Assume that $E(u) = 0$, Cov($x_j, u$) = 0 for $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$, and Cov($x_k, u$) = $\rho \neq 0$. Therefore, $x_k$ is endogenous. The endogeneity comes from many sources, such as $x_k$ having measurement error or omitting a variable that is correlated with $x_k$. If you ignore the endogeneity, you can estimate this model in PROC QLIM as follows (assuming $k = 4$):

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
   model y = x1 x2 x3 x4;
run;
```

However, this approach produces inconsistent maximum likelihood estimates. To obtain consistent maximum likelihood estimates, you should consider the joint density of the dependent variable and the endogenous variables. To do this in PROC QLIM, you need at least one instrument—that is, an observable variable, $z_1$—that is not in the structural equation and that satisfies two conditions: $z_1$ is exogenous (that is, Cov($z_1, u$) = 0), and $z_1$ must be correlated with the endogenous regressor $x_k$. Then, you can model $x_k$ as

$$x_{ki} = \pi_0 + \pi_1 x_{1i} + \cdots + \pi_{k-1} x_{(k-1)i} + \theta z_{1i} + \epsilon_i$$

You can now write this reduced form equation along with the structural equation to obtain the consistent maximum likelihood estimates as follows:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
   model y = x1 x2 x3 x4;
   model x4 = x1 x2 x3 z1;
run;
```
Estimating the structural model together with the reduced form models for the endogenous explanatory variables gives you the full information maximum likelihood (FIML) estimates. Because of the linearity of the structural model, you can estimate it efficiently and more simply by using the two-stage least squares estimator. However, PROC QLIM handles nonlinear models such as qualitative response and limited dependent variable models, and in their estimation it maximizes the corresponding joint likelihood function (for more information and an application see Wooldridge (2010, Section 15.7.3)).

In the case of endogeneity, when the reduced form models for the endogenous explanatory variables are written along with the structural model, PROC QLIM maximizes the likelihood function that is obtained from the joint density of the response variable and the endogenous explanatory variables. For example, consider the following censored regression model in which one of the explanatory variables is a continuous endogenous variable:

\[
y_{1i}^* = \alpha y_{2i} + z_{1i}'\beta + u_i \\
y_{2i} = z_i'\pi + \epsilon_i \\
y_{1i} = \begin{cases} 
  y_{1i}^* & \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
  0 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0
\end{cases}
\]

The exogenous explanatory variables are \(z_{1i}\), and the continuous endogenous explanatory variable is \(y_{2i}\).

The likelihood function to maximize is

\[
L = \prod_{i \in \{y_{1i} > 0\}} f(y_{1i}, y_{2i}) \cdot \prod_{i \in \{y_{1i} = 0\}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} f(y_{1i}^*, y_{2i}) dy_{1i}^*
\]

where \(f(y_{1i}^*, y_{2i})\) is the joint density of \(y_{1i}^*\) and \(y_{2i}\). Note that \(y_{1i}\) is substituted for \(y_{1i}^*\) when \(y_{1i} > 0\). If you assume \((u_i, \epsilon_i) \sim i.i.d. N(0, \Sigma)\) with \(\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_u^2 & \eta \\ \eta & \sigma_e^2 \end{bmatrix}\), then, by using \(f(y_{1i}^*, y_{2i}) = f(y_{1i}^* | y_{2i}) \cdot f(y_{2i})\), you can write the likelihood function for each \(i\) as a multiplication of two parts. The first part is the probability density function of the normal distribution with mean \(z_{1i}'\pi\) and variance \(\sigma_e^2\), and the second part follows a Tobit model that has latent mean \(\alpha y_{2i} + z_{1i}'\pi + (\eta/\sigma_e^2)(y_{2i} - z_{1i}'\pi)\) and variance \(\sigma_u^2 - (\eta^2/\sigma_e^2)\). Then, you can obtain the log-likelihood function by taking the log of this multiplication and summing over \(i\) (for more information, see Wooldridge (2002, Section 16.6.2)). This is the log-likelihood function that PROC QLIM maximizes. The parameters \((\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_u^2, \hat{\sigma}_e^2, \hat{\eta})\) that are obtained from this maximization are the FIML estimators. Assuming that the latent model includes two instrumental variables and two exogenous explanatory variables, you can estimate this model in PROC QLIM as follows:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
  model y1 = y2 z11 z12 / censored(lb=0);
  model y2 = z11 z12 z21 z22;
run;
```

For simple examples like the preceding ones, you can derive the likelihood function easily. However, as the number of endogenous explanatory variables increases, if these variables have a discontinuous nature, if simultaneity among equations exists, or if a combination of these occurs, then the derivation of the likelihood function becomes cumbersome, or, in some cases, the likelihood function does not even have a closed analytical form.

PROC QLIM can handle endogeneity regardless of the nature of the endogenous explanatory variables for a single structural model. In the case of one endogenous explanatory variable, PROC QLIM reports the FIML estimates that are calculated by using the analytical likelihood function that is obtained from the joint
distribution of the dependent variable and the endogenous variable. When there is more than one endogenous explanatory variable, the analytical form of the likelihood function is usually not available; in this case PROC QLIM reports the simulated maximum likelihood estimates. For the simulated maximum likelihood estimation method, PROC QLIM uses the Geweke-Hajivassiliou-Keane (GHK) simulator (see, among others, Hajivassiliou, McFadden, and Ruud (1996)) to simulate the joint distribution of the dependent variable and the endogenous variables. The simulation is facilitated by assuming that the error terms in the latent models for the dependent variable and the endogenous explanatory variables are distributed as multivariate normal.

When you estimate a model in PROC QLIM, you can take the endogeneity into account by writing the structural model along with the reduced form models for each endogenous variable. Examples are provided in the following sections.

**Probit Model with a Continuous Endogenous Explanatory Variable**

Consider a probit model that contains a single endogenous explanatory variable in addition to two instruments and two exogenous explanatory variables. The model is

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= \alpha_1 y_{2i} + \beta_1 z_{1i} + \beta_2 z_{2i} + u_i \\
y_{2i}^* &= \pi_1 z_{1i} + \pi_2 z_{2i} + \pi_3 z_{3i} + \pi_4 z_{4i} + \epsilon_i \\
y_{1i} &= \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
y_{2i} &= y_{2i}^*
\end{align*}
\]

where Cov\((u, \epsilon) = \eta\). You can estimate this model by using the following statements:

```
proc qlim data=a;
   model y1 = y2 z1 z2 / discrete;
   model y2 = z1 z2 z3 z4;
run;
```

**Probit Model with a Binary Endogenous Explanatory Variable**

Consider a probit model that contains a single binary endogenous explanatory variable in addition to two instruments and two exogenous explanatory variables. The model is

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= \alpha_1 y_{2i} + \beta_1 z_{1i} + \beta_2 z_{2i} + u_i \\
y_{2i}^* &= \pi_1 z_{1i} + \pi_2 z_{2i} + \pi_3 z_{3i} + \pi_4 z_{4i} + \epsilon_i \\
y_{1i} &= \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
y_{2i} &= \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } y_{2i}^* > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } y_{2i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where Cov\((u, \epsilon) = \eta\). You can estimate this model by using the following statements:

```
proc qlim data=a;
   model y1 = y2 z1 z2 / discrete;
   model y2 = z1 z2 z3 z4 / discrete;
run;
```
Probit Model with a Censored Endogenous Explanatory Variable

Consider a probit model that contains a single censored (below zero) endogenous explanatory variable in addition to two instruments and two exogenous explanatory variables. The model is

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= \alpha_1 y_{2i} + \beta_1 z_{1i} + \beta_2 z_{2i} + u_i \\
y_{2i}^* &= \pi_1 z_{1i} + \pi_2 z_{2i} + \pi_3 z_{3i} + \pi_4 z_{4i} + \epsilon_i \\
y_{1i} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 \end{cases} \\
y_{2i} &= \begin{cases} y_{2i}^* & \text{if } y_{2i}^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_{2i}^* \leq 0 \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where Cov\((u, \epsilon) = \eta\). You can estimate this model by using the following statements:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
    model y1 = y2 z1 z2 / discrete;
    model y2 = z1 z2 z3 z4 / censored(lb=0);
run;
```

Censored Regression Model with a Binary Endogenous Explanatory Variable

Consider a Type 1 Tobit model that contains a single binary endogenous explanatory variable in addition to two instruments and two exogenous explanatory variables. The model is

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{1i}^* &= \alpha_1 y_{2i} + \beta_1 z_{1i} + \beta_2 z_{2i} + u_i \\
y_{2i}^* &= \pi_1 z_{1i} + \pi_2 z_{2i} + \pi_3 z_{3i} + \pi_4 z_{4i} + \epsilon_i \\
y_{1i} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 \end{cases} \\
y_{2i} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{2i}^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_{2i}^* \leq 0 \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where Cov\((u, \epsilon) = \eta\). You can estimate this model by using the following statements:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
    model y1 = y2 z1 z2 / censored(lb=0);
    model y2 = z1 z2 z3 z4 / discrete;
run;
```
Censored Regression Model with Binary and Continuous Endogenous Explanatory Variables

Consider a Type 1 Tobit model that contain binary and continuous endogenous explanatory variables in addition to two instruments and two exogenous explanatory variables. The model is

\[
\begin{align*}
 y_{1i}^* &= \alpha_1 y_{21i} + \alpha_2 y_{22i} + \beta_1 z_{1i} + \beta_2 z_{2i} + u_i \\
 y_{21i}^* &= \pi_{11} z_{1i} + \pi_{12} z_{2i} + \pi_{13} z_{3i} + \pi_{14} z_{4i} + \epsilon_{1i} \\
 y_{22i}^* &= \pi_{21} z_{1i} + \pi_{22} z_{2i} + \pi_{23} z_{3i} + \pi_{24} z_{4i} + \epsilon_{2i} \\
 y_{1i} &= \begin{cases} 
 y_{1i}^* & \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
 0 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
 y_{21i} &= \begin{cases} 
 1 & \text{if } y_{21i}^* > 0 \\
 0 & \text{if } y_{21i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
 y_{22i} &= y_{22i}^* 
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \text{Cov}(u, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \eta \). You can estimate this model by using the following statements:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
  model y1 = y21 y22 z1 z2 / censored(lb=0);
  model y21 = z1 z2 z3 z4 / discrete;
  model y22 = z1 z2 z3 z4;
run;
```

Probit Model with Binary, Censored, and Truncated Endogenous Explanatory Variables

Consider a probit model that contains binary, censored (below zero), and truncated (below zero) endogenous explanatory variables. The model is

\[
\begin{align*}
 y_{1i}^* &= \alpha_1 y_{21i} + \alpha_2 y_{22i} + \alpha_3 y_{23i} + u_i \\
 y_{21i}^* &= \pi_{11} z_{1i} + \pi_{12} z_{2i} + \pi_{13} z_{3i} + \pi_{14} z_{4i} + \epsilon_{1i} \\
 y_{22i}^* &= \pi_{21} z_{1i} + \pi_{22} z_{2i} + \pi_{23} z_{3i} + \pi_{24} z_{4i} + \epsilon_{2i} \\
 y_{23i}^* &= \pi_{31} z_{1i} + \pi_{32} z_{2i} + \pi_{33} z_{3i} + \pi_{34} z_{4i} + \epsilon_{3i} \\
 y_{1i} &= \begin{cases} 
 1 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* > 0 \\
 0 & \text{if } y_{1i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
 y_{21i} &= \begin{cases} 
 1 & \text{if } y_{21i}^* > 0 \\
 0 & \text{if } y_{21i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
 y_{22i} &= \begin{cases} 
 y_{22i}^* & \text{if } y_{22i}^* > 0 \\
 0 & \text{if } y_{22i}^* \leq 0 
\end{cases} \\
 y_{23i} &= y_{23i}^* \text{ if } y_{23i}^* > 0 
\end{align*}
\]

where \( z_1, \ldots, z_4 \) are the instrumental variables that are independent of the errors. You can estimate this model by using the following statements:
Note that the dependent variable $y_1$ should not occur in the models for the endogenous explanatory variables, because this causes inconsistent coefficient estimates. In other words, you should write the models for the endogenous explanatory variables as reduced form models. PROC QLIM does not handle simultaneous equations models.

**Test for Endogeneity**

PROC QLIM has two ways to test the null hypothesis that an endogenous explanatory variable (EEV) is in fact exogenous. In the case of a single EEV, the first testing method involves a likelihood ratio test of $H_0: \rho = 0$. For example, consider the probit model with a binary endogenous explanatory variable that was considered earlier; $y_2$ is exogenous if the error term in the model for $y_1$ is uncorrelated with the error term in the model for $y_2$. Therefore, testing to determine whether this correlation is 0 or not provides an endogeneity test for $y_2$. You can do this in PROC QLIM as follows:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=a;
    model y1 = y2 z1 z2 / discrete;
    model y2 = z1 z2 z3 z4 / discrete;
    test _rho = 0 / LR;
run;
```

Failing to reject the null hypothesis favors the decision that $y_2$ is exogenous in the model for $y_1$.

When there are two or more EEVs, the test becomes the joint likelihood ratio test of whether corresponding correlations are 0 or not.

The second testing method is similar to the approach of Rivers and Vuong (1988). Considering the same model, you can write

$$u_i = \theta \epsilon_i + e_i$$

where $\theta = \eta / \sigma^2_e$ and $e$ is independent of $z$s and $\epsilon$. You can now write

$$y^*_i = \alpha_1 y_{2i} + \beta_1 z_{1i} + \beta_2 z_{2i} + \theta \epsilon_i + e_i$$

Testing $H_0: \theta = 0$ is the same as testing whether $u_i$ is correlated with $\epsilon_i$ or testing whether $y_{2i}$ is endogenous or not. Because $\epsilon_i$ are unobserved, you can replace them with the OLS residuals from the model for $y^*_i$ and apply a robust $t$ test. Note that even though $y_{2i}$ is binary (or censored), the test is still correct under $H_0$.  

This approach can be summarized as a two-step procedure. In the first step, generated regressors—that is, the OLS residuals from the models for each of the EEVs—are obtained. In the second step, the structural model that includes the generated regressors as additional explanatory variables is estimated by the maximum likelihood method and the joint significance of these generated regressors is tested by the Wald test.

In PROC QLIM, you can apply the second method for the same test that was considered previously as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{proc qlim data=a;} \\
&\quad \text{model y1 = y2 z1 z2 / discrete endotest(y2);} \\
&\quad \text{model y2 = z1 z2 z3 z4 / discrete;} \\
&\quad \text{run;}
\end{align*}
\]

**Overidentification Test**

In PROC QLIM you can test the validity of instrumental variables (IVs) by specifying the OVERID option in the ENDOGENOUS or MODEL statement. The OVERID test is a maximum likelihood version of the overidentifying restrictions test in the IV framework. If you have more IVs than are necessary for identification—that is, overidentifying IVs—you can use them to test the validity of your IVs. When you use the OVERID option to specify the overidentifying IVs, it applies the likelihood ratio test of the joint significance of these IVs, included as additional explanatory variables in the structural model that it estimates by the MLE jointly with the reduced form models. In effect, you test whether the overidentifying IVs are correlated with the error term in the structural model. You specify the reduced form models through the overidentifying IVs. The structural model is the model that includes the OVERID option. For example, consider the probit model that contains a continuous endogenous explanatory variable. You can consider \( z_3 \) or \( z_4 \) in the model for \( y_2 \) as an overidentifying IV; therefore, you can specify the OVERID test as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{proc qlim data=a;} \\
&\quad \text{model y1 = y2 z1 z2 / discrete overid(y2,z4);} \\
&\quad \text{model y2 = z1 z2 z3 z4;} \\
&\quad \text{run;}
\end{align*}
\]

In this case, PROC QLIM estimates the structural model \( y_1 \), including the overidentifying IV \( z_4 \) as an additional explanatory variable in this model, jointly with the reduced form model \( y_2 \). Then it uses the likelihood ratio test to test the hypothesis that the overidentifying IV is insignificant. Rejecting this hypothesis raises doubts about the validity of the instruments \( z_3 \) and \( z_4 \).

Note that, as long as you have continuous endogenous explanatory variables, the test result is invariant to which overidentifying IVs you specify in the test.
Panel Data Analysis

You can use panel data to estimate the random effects in linear, censored response, truncated response, discrete choice, and stochastic frontier models.

Random-Effects Models for Panel Data

The general form of a nonlinear random-effects model is defined by the density for an observed random variable, $y_{it}$, as follows

$$f(y_{it}|x_{it}, \mu_i) = g(y_{it}, x_{it}' \beta, \mu_i; \theta)$$

where $\theta$ is a vector of ancillary parameters such as a scale parameter or an overdispersion parameter and $\mu_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, embodies the group-specific heterogeneity, which is unobservable and has the density

$$f(\mu_i) = h(\mu_i; \theta)$$

There are $T_i$ observations for group $i$. For example, in the case of a random-effects Tobit model, $y_{it}$ is specified as

$$y_{it}^* = x_{it}' \beta + \epsilon_{it}, \quad t = 1, \ldots, T_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N$$

$$y_{it} = \begin{cases} y_{it}^* & \text{if } y_{it}^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_{it}^* \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{it} = \mu_i + \nu_{it}$$

$$\nu_{it}|x_i, \mu_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\mu_i|x_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\mu}^2)$$

where $x_i$ contains $x_{it}$ for all $t$ and $\theta$ consists of $\sigma$ and $\sigma_{\mu}$. Therefore, for this model

$$f(y_{it}|x_{it}, \mu_i) = \{1 - \Phi[(x_{it}' \beta + \mu_i)/\sigma]\}^{[y_{it}=0]}(1/\sigma)\phi[(y_{it} - x_{it}' \beta - \mu_i)/\sigma]\}^{[y_{it}>0]}$$

and

$$f(\mu_i) = \phi(\mu_i/\sigma_{\mu})$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative density function and $\phi(\cdot)$ is the probability density function of the standard normal distribution and $1[\cdot]$ is the indicator function.

By construction, the $T_i$ observations in group $i$ are correlated and jointly distributed with a distribution that does not factor into the product of the marginal distributions. To be able to drive the joint distribution of the $T_i + 1$ random variables, $f(y_{i1}, y_{i2}, \ldots, y_{iT_i}, \mu_i|x_i, \beta; \theta)$, the assumption that the $T_i$ observations are independent conditional on $\mu_i$ is important. Under this assumption the joint distribution can be written as

$$f(y_{i1}, y_{i2}, \ldots, y_{iT_i}, \mu_i|x_i, \beta; \theta) = f(y_{i1}, y_{i2}, \ldots, y_{iT_i}|x_i, \beta; \theta) f(\mu_i)$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_{it}' \beta, \mu_i; \theta) h(\mu_i; \theta)$$

$^1$The $i$ subscript represents individuals, and the $t$ subscript represents time.
In order to form the likelihood function for the observed data, the unobserved component, $\mu_i$, must be integrated out. For individual $i$

$$L_i = f(y_{i1}, y_{i2}, \ldots, y_{iT_i} | x_i, \beta; \theta) = \int_{\mu} \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_i' \beta, \mu_i; \theta) \ h(\mu_i; \theta) d\mu_i$$

Therefore, the log-likelihood function for the observed data becomes

$$\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \left( \int_{\mu} \left( \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_i' \beta, \mu_i; \theta) \ h(\mu_i; \theta) d\mu_i \right) \right)$$

In most cases, the integral in the square brackets does not have a closed form. The following subsections describe three approaches to this integration.

**Simulated Maximum Likelihood**

You can use simulation to approximate the integral. First, note that

$$\int_{\mu} \left( \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_i' \beta, \mu_i; \theta) \ h(\mu_i; \theta) d\mu_i \right) = E[F(\mu_i; \theta)]$$

The function is smooth, continuous, and continuously differentiable. By the law of large numbers, if $(\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}, \ldots, \mu_{iR})$ is a sample of iid draws from $h(\mu_i; \theta)$, then

$$\operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} F(\mu_{ir}; \theta) = E[F(\mu_i; \theta)]$$

This operation is implemented by simulation that uses a random-number generator. PROC QLIM inserts the simulated integral in the log likelihood to obtain the simulated log likelihood

$$\ln L_{Simulated} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \left( \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \left( \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_i' \beta, \mu_{ir}; \theta) \right) \right)$$

and maximizes the simulated log likelihood with respect to the parameters $\beta$ and $\theta$.

Under certain assumptions (Greene 2001), the simulated likelihood estimator and the maximum likelihood estimator are equivalent. For this equivalence result to hold, the number of draws, $R$, must increase faster than the number of observations, $N$. For this reason, if the NDRAW option is not specified, then by default, it is tied to the sample size by using the rule $R = N^{1+\delta}$, where $\delta = 1/2$.

The use of independent random draws in simulation is conceptually straightforward, and the statistical properties of the simulated maximum likelihood estimator are easy to derive. However, simulation is a very computationally intensive technique. Moreover, the simulation method itself contributes to the variation of the simulated maximum likelihood estimator; see, for example, Geweke (1995). There are other ways to take draws that can provide greater accuracy by covering the domain of the integral more uniformly and by lowering the simulation variance (Train 2009 section 9.3). Quas–Monte Carlo methods (QMC), for example, are based on an integration technique that replaces the pseudorandom draws of Monte Carlo (MC) integration with a sequence of judiciously selected nonrandom points that provide more uniform coverage of the domain of the integral. Therefore, the advantage of QMC integration over MC integration is that for
some types of sequences, the accuracy is far greater, convergence is much faster, and the simulation variance is smaller. QMC methods are surveyed in Bhat (2001), Sloan and Woźniakowski (1998), and Morokoff and Caflisch (1995). Besides MC simulation, PROC QLIM offers the QMC integration method that uses Halton sequences.

**QMC Method Using the Halton Sequence**
Halton sequences (Halton 1960) provide a uniform coverage for each observation’s integral, and they decrease the simulation variance by inducing a negative correlation over the draws for each observation. A Halton sequence is constructed deterministically in terms of a prime number as its base. For example, the following sequence is the Halton sequence for 2:

$$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{9}{16}, \ldots$$

For more information about how to generate a Halton sequence, see Train (2009) section 9.3.3.

If you use the QMC method, one long Halton sequence is created, and then part of the sequence (or the whole sequence, depending on whether you decide to discard the initial elements of the sequence\(^2\)) is used in groups. Each group of consequent elements constitutes the “draws” for each cross-sectional observation. This way, each subsequence fills in the gaps for the previous subsequences, and the draws for one observation tend to be negatively correlated with those for the previous observation.

When the number of draws used for each observation rises, the coverage for each observation improves. This improvement in turn improves the accuracy; however, the negative covariance across observations diminishes. Because Halton draws are far more effective than random draws for simulation, a small number of Halton draws provide relatively good integration (Spanier and Maize 1991).

The Halton draws are for a uniform density. PROC QLIM evaluates the inverse cumulative standard normal density for each element of the Halton sequence to obtain draws from a standard normal density.

**Approximation by Hermite Quadrature**
This method is the Butler and Moffitt (1982) approach, which is based on models in which \(\mu_i\) has a normal distribution. If \(\mu_i\) is normally distributed with zero mean, then

\[
\int_{\mu} \left( \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_{it}^\prime \beta, \mu_i; \theta) \right) h(\mu_i; \theta) d\mu_i \\
= \frac{1}{\sigma_\mu \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_{it}^\prime \beta, \mu_i; \theta) \exp \left( -\frac{\mu_i^2}{2\sigma_\mu^2} \right) d\mu_i
\]

Let \(r_i = \mu_i / (\sigma_\mu \sqrt{2})\). Then \(\mu_i = (\sigma_\mu \sqrt{2}) r_i\) and \(d\mu_i = (\sigma_\mu \sqrt{2}) dr_i\). Making the change of variable and letting the error effects be additive produce

\[
L_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-r_i^2) \left[ \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_{it}^\prime \beta + (\sigma_\mu \sqrt{2}) r_i; \theta) \right] dr_i
\]

\(^2\)When sequences are created in multiple dimensions, the initial part of the series is usually eliminated because the initial terms of multiple Halton sequences are highly correlated. However, there is no such correlation for a single dimension.
This likelihood function is in a form that can be approximated accurately by using Gauss-Hermite quadrature, which eliminates the integration. Thus, the log-likelihood function can be approximated with

$$\ln L_h = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{h=1}^{H} w_h \prod_{t=1}^{T_i} g(y_{it}, x_{it}' \beta + (\sigma_\mu \sqrt{2})r_i; \theta) \right]$$

where $w_h$ and $r_h$ are the weights and nodes for the Hermite quadrature of degree $H$. PROC QLIM maximizes $\ln L_h$ when the Hermite quadrature option is specified (METHOD=HERMITE in the RANDOM statement).

### Bayesian Analysis

To perform Bayesian analysis, you must specify a BAYES statement. Unless otherwise stated, all options in this section are options in the BAYES statement.

By default, PROC QLIM uses the random walk Metropolis algorithm to obtain posterior samples. For the implementation details of the Metropolis algorithm in PROC QLIM, such as the blocking of the parameters and tuning of the covariance matrices, see the sections “Blocking of Parameters” on page 2011 and “Tuning the Proposal Distribution” on page 2011.

The Bayes theorem states that

$$p(\theta|y) \propto \pi(\theta)L(y|\theta)$$

where $\theta$ is a parameter or a vector of parameters and $\pi(\theta)$ is the product of the prior densities that are specified in the PRIOR statement. The term $L(y|\theta)$ is the likelihood associated with the MODEL statement.

### Blocking of Parameters

In a multivariate parameter model, all the parameters are updated in one single block (by default or when you specify the SAMPLING=MULTIMETROPOLIS option). This could be inefficient, especially when parameters have vastly different scales. As an alternative, you could update the parameters one at the time (by specifying SAMPLING=UNIMETROPOLIS).

### Tuning the Proposal Distribution

One key factor in achieving high efficiency of a Metropolis-based Markov chain is finding a good proposal distribution for each block of parameters. This process is called tuning. The tuning phase consists of a number of loops controlled by the options MINTUNE and MAXTUNE. The MINTUNE= option controls the minimum number of tuning loops and has a default value of 2. The MAXTUNE= option controls the maximum number of tuning loops and has a default value of 24. Each loop is iterated the number of times specified by the NTU= option, which has a default of 500. At the end of every loop, PROC QLIM examines the acceptance probability for each block. The acceptance probability is the percentage of NTU proposed values that have been accepted. If this probability does not fall within the acceptance tolerance range (see the following section), the proposal distribution is modified before the next tuning loop.

A good proposal distribution should resemble the actual posterior distribution of the parameters. Large sample theory states that the posterior distribution of the parameters approaches a multivariate normal distribution (see Gelman et al. 2004, Appendix B; Schervish 1995, Section 7.4). That is why a normal proposal distribution often works well in practice. The default proposal distribution in PROC QLIM is the normal distribution.
**Scale Tuning**

The acceptance rate is closely related to the sampling efficiency of a Metropolis chain. For a random walk Metropolis, a high acceptance rate means that most new samples occur right around the current data point. Their frequent acceptance means that the Markov chain is moving rather slowly and not exploring the parameter space fully. A low acceptance rate means that the proposed samples are often rejected; hence the chain is not moving much. An efficient Metropolis sampler has an acceptance rate that is neither too high nor too low. The scale $c$ in the proposal distribution $q(\cdot | \cdot)$ effectively controls this acceptance probability. Roberts, Gelman, and Gilks (1997) show that if both the target and proposal densities are normal, the optimal acceptance probability for the Markov chain should be around 0.45 in a one-dimension problem and should asymptotically approach 0.234 in higher-dimension problems. The corresponding optimal scale is 2.38, which is the initial scale that is set for each block.

Because of the nature of stochastic simulations, it is impossible to fine-tune a set of variables so that the Metropolis chain has exactly the desired acceptance rate that you want. In addition, Roberts and Rosenthal (2001) empirically demonstrate that an acceptance rate between 0.15 and 0.5 is at least 80% efficient, so there really is no need to fine-tune the algorithms to reach an acceptance probability that is within a small tolerance of the optimal values. PROC QLIM works with a probability range, determined by `TargetAcceptance`. If the observed acceptance rate in a given tuning loop is less than the lower bound of the range, the scale is reduced; if the observed acceptance rate is greater than the upper bound of the range, the scale is increased. During the tuning phase, a scale parameter in the normal distribution is adjusted as a function of the observed acceptance rate and the target acceptance rate. PROC QLIM uses the following updating scheme:

$$c_{\text{new}} = \frac{c_{\text{cur}} \cdot \Phi^{-1}(p_{\text{opt}}/2)}{\Phi^{-1}(p_{\text{cur}}/2)}$$

where $c_{\text{cur}}$ is the current scale, $p_{\text{cur}}$ is the current acceptance rate, and $p_{\text{opt}}$ is the optimal acceptance probability.

**Covariance Tuning**

To tune a covariance matrix, PROC QLIM takes a weighted average of the old proposal covariance matrix and the recent observed covariance matrix, based on the number samples (as specified by the `NTU=` option) NTU samples in the current loop. The formula to update the covariance matrix is:

$$\text{COV}_{\text{new}} = 0.75 \text{COV}_{\text{cur}} + 0.25 \text{COV}_{\text{old}}$$

There are two ways to initialize the covariance matrix:

- The default is an identity matrix that is multiplied by the initial scale of 2.38 and divided by the square root of the number of estimated parameters in the model. A number of tuning phases might be required before the proposal distribution is tuned to its optimal stage, because the Markov chain needs to spend time to learn about the posterior covariance structure. If the posterior variances of your parameters vary by more than a few orders of magnitude, if the variances of your parameters are much different from 1, or if the posterior correlations are high, then the proposal tuning algorithm might have difficulty forming an acceptable proposal distribution.

---

3 Roberts and associates demonstrate that the relationship between acceptance probability and scale in a random walk Metropolis scheme is $p = 2\Phi\left(-\sqrt{c}/2\right)$, where $c$ is the scale, $p$ is the acceptance rate, $\Phi$ is the CDF of a standard normal, and $I \equiv E_f[(f'(x)/f(x))^2]$, $f(x)$ is the density function of samples (Roberts, Gelman, and Gilks 1997; Roberts and Rosenthal 2001). This relationship determines the updating scheme, with $I$ replaced by the identity matrix to simplify calculation.
Alternatively, you can use a numerical optimization routine, such as the quasi-Newton method, to find a starting covariance matrix. The optimization is performed on the joint posterior distribution, and the covariance matrix is a quadratic approximation at the posterior mode. In some cases this is a better and more efficient way of initializing the covariance matrix. However, there are cases, such as when the number of parameters is large, where the optimization could fail to find a matrix that is positive definite. In those cases, the tuning covariance matrix is reset to the identity matrix.

A by-product of the optimization routine is that it also finds the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimates with respect to the posterior distribution. The MAP estimates are used as the initial values of the Markov chain. For more information, see the section “INIT Statement” on page 1976.

**Initial Values of the Markov Chains**

You can assign initial values to any parameters. (For more information, see the INIT statement.) If you use the optimization option PROPCOV=, then PROC QLIM starts the tuning at the optimized values. This option overwrites the provided initial values. If you specify the RANDINIT option, the information that the INIT statement provides is overwritten.

**Aggregation of Multiple Chains**

When you want to exploit the possibility of running several MCMC instances at the same time (NTRDS=n>1), you face the problem of aggregating the chains. In ordinary applications, each MCMC instance can easily obtain stationary samples from the entire posterior distribution. In these applications, you can use the option AGGREGATION=UNWEIGHTED. This option piles up one chain on top of another and makes no particular adjustment. However, when the posterior distribution is characterized by multiple distinct posterior modes, some of the MCMC instances fail to obtain stationary samples from the entire posterior distribution. You can use the option AGGREGATION=WEIGHTED when the posterior samples from each MCMC instance approximate well only a part of the posterior distribution.

The main idea behind the option AGGREGATION=WEIGHTED is to consider the entire posterior distribution to be similar to a mixture distribution. When you are sampling with multiple threads, each MCMC instance samples from one of the mixture components. Then the samples from each mixture component are aggregated together using a resampling scheme in which weights are proportional to the nonnormalized posterior distribution.

**Description of the Algorithm**

The preliminary step of the aggregation that is implied by the option AGGREGATION=WEIGHTED is to run several (K) independent instances of the MCMC algorithm. Each instance searches for a set of stationary samples. Notice that the concept of stationarity is weaker: each instance might be able to explore not the entire posterior but only portions of it. In the next equation, each column represents the output from one MCMC instance,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1K} \\
  x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2K} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nK}
\end{bmatrix}
\sim \text{globally/locally sampled from the posterior}
\]
If the length of each chain is less than \( n \), you can augment the corresponding chain by subsampling the chain itself. Each chain is then sorted with respect to the nonnormalized posterior density: \( \pi(x_{[1]}) \leq \pi(x_{[2]}) \leq \cdots \pi(x_{[n]}) \). Therefore,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_{11} \\
  x_{12} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{1K}
\end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{21} \\
  x_{22} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{2K}
\end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{N1} \\
  x_{N2} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{NK}
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{[1]1} \\
  x_{[1]2} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{[1]K}
\end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{[2]1} \\
  x_{[2]2} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{[2]K}
\end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{[N1]} \\
  x_{[N2]} \\
  \vdots \\
  x_{[NK]}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The final step is to use a multinomial sampler to resample each row \( i \) with weights proportional to the nonnormalized posterior densities:

\[
\hat{x}_{(i-1)K+1}, \hat{x}_{(i-1)K+2}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{(i-1)K+K} \sim \text{Multinom}[x_{[i]1}, x_{[i]2}, \ldots, x_{[i]K}; \pi(x_{[i]1}), \pi(x_{[i]2}), \ldots, \pi(x_{[i]K})]
\]

The resulting posterior sample

\[
\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_K, \ldots, \hat{x}_{(i-1)K+1}, \hat{x}_{(i-1)K+2}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{(i-1)K+K}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{(n-1)K+1}, \hat{x}_{(n-1)K+2}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{nK}
\]

is a good approximation of the posterior distribution that is characterized by multiple modes.

**Automated Initialization of MCMC**

The MCMC methods can generate samples from the posterior distribution. The correct implementation of these methods often requires the stationarity analysis, the convergence analysis and the accuracy analysis of the posterior samples. These analyses usually imply the following:

- initialization of the proposal distribution
- initialization of the chains (starting values)
- determination of the burn-in
- determination of the length of the chains.

In more general terms, this determination is equivalent to deciding whether the samples are drawn from the posterior distribution (stationarity analysis), and whether the number of samples is large enough to accurately approximate the posterior distribution (accuracy analysis). You can use the AUTOMCMC option to automate and facilitate the stationary analysis and the accuracy analysis.

**Description of the Algorithm**

The algorithm consists of two phases. In the first phase, the stationarity phase, the algorithm tries to generate stationary samples from the posterior distribution. In the second phase, the accuracy phase, the algorithm searches for an accurate representation of the posterior distribution. The algorithm implements the following tools:

- Geweke test to check stationarity
- Heidelberger-Welch test to check stationarity and provide a proxy for the burn-in
- Heidelberger-Welch half-test to check the accuracy of the posterior mean
- Raftery-Lewis test to check the accuracy of a given percentile (indirectly proving a proxy for the number of required samples)

- Effective sample size analysis to determine a proxy of the number of required samples

During the stationarity phase, the algorithm searches for stationarity. The number of attempts that the algorithm makes is determined by the option ATTEMPTS=number. During each attempt, a preliminary tuning stage chooses a proposal distribution for the MCMC sampler. At the end of the preliminary tuning phase, the algorithm analyzes tests for the stationarity of the samples. If the percentage of successful stationary tests is equal to or greater than the percentage that is indicated by the option TOL=value, then the posterior sample is considered to be stationary. If the sample cannot be considered stationary, then the algorithm attempts to achieve stationarity by changing some of the initialization parameters as follows:

- Increasing the number of tuning samples (NTU)
- Increasing the number of posterior samples (NMC)
- Increasing the burn-in (NBI)

Figure 29.8 shows a flowchart of the algorithm as it searches for stationarity.

**Figure 29.8** Flowchart of the AUTOMCMC Algorithm: Stationarity Analysis
You can initialize NMC=M, NBI=B, and NTU=T during the stationarity phase by specifying NMC, NBI, and NTU as options in the BAYES statement. You can also change the minimum stationarity acceptance ratio of successful stationarity tests that are needed to exit the stationarity phase. By default, TOL=0.95. For example:

```
proc qlim data=dataset;
    ...;
    bayes nmc=M nbi=B ntu=T automcmc=( stationarity=(tol=0.95) );
    ...;
run;
```

During the accuracy phase, the algorithm attempts to determine how many posterior samples are needed. The number of attempts is determined by the option ATTEMPTS=number. You can choose between two different approaches to study the accuracy:

- accuracy analysis based on the effective sample size (ESS)
- accuracy analysis based on the Heidelberger-Welch half-test and the Raftery-Lewis test

If you choose the effective sample size approach, you must provide the minimum number of effective samples that are needed. You can also change the tolerance for the ESS accuracy analysis (by default, TOL=0.95). For example:

```
proc qlim data=dataset;
    ...;
    bayes automcmc=(targetess=N accuracy=(tol=0.95));
    ...;
run;
```

Figure 29.9 shows a flowchart of the algorithm based on the effective sample size approach to determine whether the samples provide an accurate representation of the posterior distribution.
If you choose the accuracy analysis based on the Heidelberger-Welch half-test and the Raftery-Lewis test (the default option), then you might want to choose a posterior quantile of interest for the Raftery-Lewis test (by default, 0.025). You can also change the tolerance for the accuracy analysis (by default, TOL=0.95). Notice that the Raftery-Lewis test produces a proxy of the number of posterior sample required. In each attempt, the current number of posterior samples is compared to this proxy. If the proxy is greater than the current nmc, then the algorithm reinitializes itself. To control this reinitialization, you can use the option RLLIMITS=(LB=lb UB=ub). In particular, there are three cases:

- if the proxy is greater than ub, then NMC is set equal to ub,
- if the proxy is less than lb, then NMC is set equal to lb,
- if lb is less than the proxy, which is less than ub, then NMC is set equal to the proxy.

For example:

```sas
proc qlim data=dataset;
  ...;
  bayes automcmc=( accuracy=(tol=0.95 targetstats=(rllimits=(lb=k1 ub=k2))) )
    raftery(q=0.025);
  ...;
run;
```
Figure 29.10 shows a flowchart of the algorithm based on the Heidelberger-Welch half-test and the Raftery-Lewis test approach to determine whether the posterior samples provide an accurate representation of the posterior distribution.

**Figure 29.10 Flowchart of the AUTOMCMC Algorithm: Accuracy Analysis Based on the Heidelberger-Welch Half-test and the Raftery-Lewis Test**

---

**Prior Distributions**

The PRIOR statement is used to specify the prior distribution of the model parameters. You must specify a list of parameters, a tilde ~, and then a distribution with its parameters. You can specify multiple PRIOR statements to define independent priors. Parameters that are associated with a regressor variable are referred to by the name of the corresponding regressor variable.

You can specify the special keyword _REGRESSORS to consider all the regressors of a model. If multiple prior statements affect the same parameter, the prior that is specified is used. For example, in a regression with three regressors (X1, X2, X3) the following statements imply that the prior on X1 is NORMAL(MEAN=0, VAR=1), the prior on X2 is GAMMA(SHAPE=3, SCALE=4), and the prior on X3 is UNIFORM(MIN=0, MAX=1):

```plaintext
... prior _Regressors ~ uniform(min=0, max=1);
```
prior X1 X2 ~ gamma(shape=3, scale=4);
prior X1 ~ normal(mean=0, var=1);
...

If a parameter is not associated with a PRIOR statement or if some of the prior hyperparameters are missing, then the following default choices are considered:

Table 29.2 Default values for prior distributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR distribution</th>
<th>Hyperparameter 1</th>
<th>Hyperparameter 2</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Parameters Default Choice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>MEAN=0</td>
<td>VAR=1E6</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>Regression-Location-Threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGAMMA</td>
<td>SHAPE=2.000001</td>
<td>SCALE=1</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQIGAMMA</td>
<td>SHAPE=2.000001</td>
<td>SCALE=1</td>
<td>&gt;0</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMMA</td>
<td>SHAPE=1</td>
<td>SCALE=1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQGAMMA</td>
<td>SHAPE=1</td>
<td>SCALE=1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIFORM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIFORM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;-1</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>Cross-correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETA</td>
<td>SHAPE1=1</td>
<td>SHAPE2=1</td>
<td>$-\infty$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>LOCATION=0</td>
<td>DF=3</td>
<td>$-\infty$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See the section “Standard Distributions” on page 2024 for density specification.

Priors for Heteroscedastic Models

The choice of the prior distribution for a heteroscedastic model is particularly interesting. Based on the notation provided in section “HETERO Statement” on page 1975, you need to provide a prior for $\gamma$. This prior is enough to induce different $\sigma_i^2$ into the analysis. The resulting inference is a compromise between two cases: the inference based on the entire sample and the inference based on a single unit $z_i$. The degree of compromise is determined by $\pi(\gamma)$.

This type of modeling is similar to a method called “hierarchical Bayes,” in which the prior is characterized by two levels: one for each individual $\pi(\sigma_i^2|\gamma)$ and one for the entire population $\pi(\gamma)$. In this scenario the degree of compromise between the information provided by a unit and the information provided by the entire sample is determined by the data.

The choice of the prior might not be straightforward, and it can heavily affect sampling performance. Depending on how the heteroscedastic effects are modeled, the default priors are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } 1 + \exp(z_i' \gamma), \quad \pi(\gamma_j) &= \text{normal} \left\{ \text{mean} = \frac{1}{z_j J} \left[ \log \left( \frac{\epsilon^4}{1 + \epsilon^2} \right) \right], \text{var} = \frac{1}{z_j J} \left[ \log \left( \frac{1 + \epsilon^2}{\epsilon^2} \right) \right] \right\} \\
\text{if } \exp(z_i' \gamma), \quad \pi(\gamma_j) &= \text{normal} \left\{ \text{mean} = \frac{1}{z_j J} \left[ \log \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right], \text{var} = \frac{1}{z_j J} \left[ \log (2) \right] \right\} \\
\text{if } 1 + z_i' \gamma, \quad \pi(\gamma_j) &= \text{normal} \left\{ \text{mean} = 0, \text{var} = \frac{1}{z_j J} \right\} \\
\text{if } 1 + (z_i' \gamma)^2, \quad \pi(\gamma_j) &= \text{normal} \left\{ \text{mean} = \frac{(\epsilon^2 - 1/2)^{1/4}}{z_j J}, \text{var} = \frac{\epsilon - (\epsilon^2 - 1/2)^{1/2}}{z_j J} \right\}
\end{align*}
\]
where $\bar{z}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{ij}, \forall j$, and $\varepsilon$ is a small number (by default, $\varepsilon = 0.1$ for the EXPONENTIAL link function and $\varepsilon = 0.71$ for the QUADRATIC link function).

The priors for the EXPONENTIAL and QUADRATIC link functions are not straightforward. To understand the choices, do the following:

1. Assume that

$$z_i \gamma = z_{i1} \gamma_1 + \ldots + z_{ij} \gamma_j \approx \bar{z}_1 \gamma_1 + \ldots + \bar{z}_j \gamma_j, \quad \forall i$$

2. Set the priors according to the link function type:

   - For the EXPONENTIAL link function, set
     $$
     \begin{align*}
     E[\exp(z_i \gamma)] &\approx E[\exp(\bar{z}_1 \gamma_1)] \times \ldots \times E[\exp(\bar{z}_j \gamma_j)] = \varepsilon \\
     V[\exp(z_i \gamma)] &\approx E[\exp(2\bar{z}_1 \gamma_1)] \times \ldots \times E[\exp(2\bar{z}_j \gamma_j)] - \varepsilon^2 = 1
     \end{align*}
     $$
     Assume a normal prior for $\gamma_j$, and set
     $$
     \begin{align*}
     E[\exp(\bar{z}_j \gamma_j)] &= \varepsilon^{1/2}, \forall j \\
     E[\exp(2\bar{z}_j \gamma_j)] &= (1 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}, \forall j
     \end{align*}
     $$
     Based on the properties of the lognormal distribution, the prior hyperparameters for $\gamma_j$ can be derived. Notice that $J$ is the number of regressors that are used in the heterogeneous regression. If the intercept is excluded, then $\varepsilon = 1$.

   - For the QUADRATIC link function, set
     $$
     \begin{align*}
     E[(z_i \gamma)^2] &\approx [E(\bar{z}_1 \gamma_1 + \ldots + \bar{z}_j \gamma_j)]^2 + V[\bar{z}_1 \gamma_1 + \ldots + \bar{z}_j \gamma_j] = \varepsilon \\
     V[(z_i \gamma)^2] &\approx E[(\bar{z}_1 \gamma_1 + \ldots + \bar{z}_j \gamma_j)^4] - \varepsilon^2 = 1
     \end{align*}
     $$
     Assume a normal prior for $\gamma_j$. Based on the properties of the normal distribution, the preceding expressions return
     $$
     \begin{align*}
     E[\bar{z}_1 \gamma_1 + \ldots + \bar{z}_j \gamma_j] &= (\varepsilon^2 - 1/2)^{1/4} \\
     V[\bar{z}_1 \gamma_1 + \ldots + \bar{z}_j \gamma_j] &= \varepsilon - (\varepsilon^2 - 1/2)^{1/2} \\
     \varepsilon &> (1/2)^{1/2}
     \end{align*}
     $$
     The prior hyperparameters for $\gamma_j$ can be derived by setting
     $$
     \begin{align*}
     E[\bar{z}_j \gamma_j] &= \frac{(\varepsilon^2 - 1/2)^{1/4}}{J}, \forall j \\
     V[\bar{z}_j \gamma_j] &= \frac{\varepsilon - (\varepsilon^2 - 1/2)^{1/2}}{J}, \forall j
     \end{align*}
     $$
     Notice that $J$ is the number of regressors that are used in the heterogeneous regression. It is important to emphasize that the restriction $\varepsilon > (1/2)^{1/2} \approx 0.71$ is likely to introduce some distortion because $\varepsilon$ cannot be any “small” number.
Automated MCMC

The main purpose is to provide the user with the opportunity of obtaining a good approximation of the posterior distribution without initializing the MCMC algorithm: initial values, proposal distributions, burn-in and number of samples.

The automated algorithm is composed of two phases: tuning and sampling. In the tuning phase, there are two main concerns: the choice of a good proposal distribution and the search for the stationary region of the posterior distribution. In the sampling phase, the algorithm will decide how many samples are necessary to obtain good approximations of the posterior mean and some quantiles of interest.

Stationarity Phase

During the stationarity phase, the algorithm tries to search for a good proposal distribution and, at the same time, to reach the stationary region of the posterior. The choice of the proposal distribution is based on the analysis of the acceptance rates. This is similar to what is done in PROC MCMC; for more details see Chapter 73.10, “Tuning the Proposal Distribution” (SAS/STAT User’s Guide). For the stationarity analysis, the main idea is to run two tests, Geweke (Ge) and Heidleberger-Welch (HW), on the posterior chains at the end of each attempt. For more details, see Chapter 7.4, “Geweke Diagnostics” (SAS/STAT User’s Guide), and Chapter 7.4, “Heidelberger and Welch Diagnostics” (SAS/STAT User’s Guide). If the stationarity hypothesis is rejected, then the tuning samples are increased and the tests repeated in the next attempt. After 10 attempts, the stationarity phase will be ended regardless of the results. The tuning parameters for the first attempt are fixed:

- 1000 burn-in (nbi),
- 5000 tuning samples (ntu),
- 1000 MCMC samples (nmc).

For the remaining attempts, the tuning parameters will be adjusted dynamically. More specifically, each parameter will be assigned an acceptance ratio (AR) of the stationarity hypothesis:

\[
AR_i = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if both tests reject the stationarity hypothesis,} \\
0.5 & \text{if one tests rejects and the other does not,} \\
1 & \text{if both tests do not reject the stationarity hypothesis,} 
\end{cases} 
\]

for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). For the Geweke test, the implemented significance level is 0.05. Then, an overall stationarity average (SA) for all parameters ratios is evaluated,

\[
SA = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} AR_i , 
\]  
(29.1)

and the number of tuning samples is updated accordingly:

- \( ntu = ntu + 2000 \) if \( SA < 70\% \),
- \( ntu = ntu + 1000 \) if \( 70\% \leq SA < 100\% \),
- \( ntu = ntu \) if \( SA = 100\% \).

The Heidelberger-Welch test also provides an indications of how much burn-in should be used. The algorithm requires this burn-in to be: \( nbi(HW) = 0 \). If that is not the case, the burn-in will updated accordingly,
nbi = nbi + nbi(HW), and a new attempt searching for stationarity will be implemented. This choice is motivated by the fact that the burn-in must be discarded in order to reach the stationary region of the posterior distribution.

The number of samples is updated at each attempt. However, in order to exit the stationarity phase, it will not be required nmc(RL) = 0. The default update is nmc = nmc + 1000. Depending on the outcome of the Raftery-Lewis diagnostics, if nmc < min{LB[nmc(RL)], nmc(RL)}, the number of sampling is further updated to nmc = LB[nmc(RL)]. By default, LB[nmc(RL)] = 10000. Finally, if the number of projected samples is not sufficient to perform a stable evaluation of the Raftery-Lewis test, the number of samples is updated to nmc = min[nmc(RL)]. For more details see “AUTOMCMC <=(automcmc-options) >” on page 1964 and Chapter 7.4, “Raftery and Lewis Diagnostics” (SAS/STAT User’s Guide).

**Accuracy Phase**

The main idea of the accuracy phase is to make sure that the mean and a quantile of interest are evaluated accurately. This can be tested by implementing the half-width test by Heidelberger-Welch and by analyzing the Raftery-Lewis diagnostic tool. In addition, the requirements defined in the stationarity phase will also be checked: the Geweke and the Heidelberger-Welch tests must not reject the stationary hypothesis and the burn-in predicted by the Heidelberger-Welch test must be zero.

The accuracy phase is characterized by a maximum of 10 attempts. If the algorithm exceeds this limit, the accuracy phase will end and indications on how to improve sampling will be given. The search of accuracy can be performed using two different methods. The first method (the default) is triggered by the option TARGETSTATS and it is based on the accuracy analysis of the mean and a percentile of interest. The second method is triggered by the option TARGETESS and it targets a minimum number of effective samples. The accuracy phase will first update the burn-in with the information provided by the HW test: nbi = nbi + nbi(HW). Then, it determines the difference between the actual number of samples and the number of samples predicted by either the RL test or the ESS: \( \Delta[nmc] = nmc(RL) - nmc \), or \( \Delta[nmc] = nmc(ESS) - nmc \). The new number of samples will be updated accordingly:

\[
\begin{align*}
nmc &= nmc + LB[nmc(RL)] \quad \text{if} \quad 0 < \Delta[nmc] \leq LB[nmc(RL)], \\
nmc &= nmc + \Delta[nmc] \quad \text{if} \quad LB[nmc(RL)] < \Delta[nmc] \leq UB[nmc(RL)], \\
nmc &= nmc + UB[nmc(RL)] \quad \text{if} \quad UB[nmc(RL)] < \Delta[nmc].
\end{align*}
\]

By default, LB[nmc(RL)] = 10000 and UB[nmc(RL)] = 300000.

In addition, the accuracy search triggered by the option TARGETSTATS also implements the HW half-width test to checks whether the sample mean is accurate. If the mean of any parameters is not considered to be accurate and the number of samples has not been updated based on \( \Delta[nmc] \), then the number of samples is increased:

\[ nmc = nmc + 5000 \quad \text{if} \quad \Delta[nmc] \leq 0, \]

**Marginal Likelihood**

The Bayes theorem states that

\[ p(\theta | y) \propto \pi(\theta) L(y | \theta) \]
where $\theta$ is a vector of parameters and $\pi(\theta)$ is the product of the prior densities that are specified in the PRIOR statement. The term $L(y|\theta)$ is the likelihood that is associated with the MODEL statement. The function $\pi(\theta)L(y|\theta)$ is the nonnormalized posterior distribution over the parameter vector $\theta$. The normalized posterior distribution (simply, the posterior distribution) is

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{\pi(\theta)L(y|\theta)}{\int_\theta \pi(\theta)L(y|\theta)d\theta} \quad \text{(1)}$$

The denominator $m(y) = \int_\theta \pi(\theta)L(y|\theta)d\theta$ (also called the “marginal likelihood”) is a quantity of interest because it represents the probability of the data after the effect of the parameter vector has been averaged out. Because of its interpretation, the marginal likelihood can be used in various applications, including model averaging, variable selection, and model selection.

A natural estimate of the marginal likelihood is provided by the harmonic mean,

$$m(y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{L(y|\theta_i)} \right\}^{-1} \quad \text{(2)}$$

where $\theta_i$ is a sample draw from the posterior distribution. In practical applications, this estimator has proven to be unstable.

An alternative and more stable estimator can be obtained with an importance sampling scheme. The auxiliary distribution for the importance sampler can be chosen through the cross entropy theory (Chan and Eisenstat 2015). In particular, given a parametric family of distributions, the auxiliary density function is chosen to be the one closest, in terms of the Kullback-Leibler divergence, to the probability density that would give a zero variance estimate of the marginal likelihood. In practical terms, this is equivalent to the following algorithm:

1. Choose a parametric family, $f(.,\beta)$, for the parameters of the model: $f(\theta|\beta)$.
2. Evaluate the maximum likelihood estimator of $\beta$ by using the posterior samples $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$ as data.
3. Use $f(\theta^*|\hat{\beta}_{mle})$ to generate the importance samples $\theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_n^*$.
4. Estimate the marginal likelihood:

$$m(y) = \frac{1}{n^*} \sum_{j=1}^{n^*} \frac{L(y|\theta_j^*)\pi(\theta_j^*)}{f(\theta_j^*|\hat{\beta}_{mle})} \quad \text{(3)}$$

The parametric family for the auxiliary distribution is chosen to be Gaussian. The parameters that are subject to bounds are transformed accordingly

- If $-\infty < \theta < \infty$, then $p = \theta$.
- If $m \leq \theta < \infty$, then $q = \log(\theta - m)$.
- If $-\infty < \theta \leq M$, then $r = \log(M - \theta)$.
- If $m \leq \theta \leq M$, then $s = \log(\theta - m) - \log(M - \theta)$. 
Assuming independence for the parameters that are subject to bounds, the auxiliary distribution to generate importance samples is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
p \\
q \\
r \\
s
\end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_p \\
\mu_q \\
\mu_r \\
\mu_s
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\Sigma_p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma_q & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Sigma_r & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \Sigma_s
\end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

where \(p\), \(q\), \(r\), and \(s\) are vectors that contain the transformations of the unbounded, bounded-below, bounded-above, and bounded-above-and-below parameters. Also, given the imposed independence structure, \(\Sigma_p\) can be a nondiagonal matrix, but \(\Sigma_q\), \(\Sigma_r\), and \(\Sigma_s\) are assumed to be diagonal matrices.

## Standard Distributions

Table 29.3 through Table 29.10 show all the distribution density functions that PROC QLIM recognizes. You specify these distribution densities in the PRIOR statement.

### Table 29.3 Beta Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR statement</th>
<th>BETA(SHAPE1=a, SHAPE2=b, MIN=m, MAX=M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>((\theta - m)^{a-1}(M - \theta)^{b-1}) (B(a,b)(M-m)^{a+b-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>(a &gt; 0, \ b &gt; 0, \ -\infty &lt; m &lt; M &lt; \infty)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Range                            | \(\begin{cases} 
    [m, M] & \text{when } a = 1, b = 1 \\
    [m, M] & \text{when } a = 1, b \neq 1 \\
    (m, M) & \text{when } a \neq 1, b = 1 \\
    (m, M) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}\) |
| Mean                             | \(\frac{a}{a+b} \times (M - m) + m\) |
| Variance                         | \(\frac{a^b}{(a+b)^2(a+b+1)} \times (M - m)^2\) \(+ \frac{a-1}{a+b-2} \times M + \frac{b-1}{a+b-2} \times m\) \(a > 1, b > 1\) |
| Mode                             | \(\begin{cases} 
    m & a < 1, b \geq 1 \\
    M & a = 1, b > 1 \\
    a \geq 1, b < 1 \\
    a > 1, b = 1 \\
    \text{not unique}
\end{cases}\) |
| Defaults                         | SHAPE1=SHAPE2=1, MIN \to -\infty, MAX \to \infty |
### Table 29.4 Gamma Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR statement</th>
<th>( \text{GAMMA(SHAPE}=a, \text{SCALE}=b) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{b^a \Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-\theta/b} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>( a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>([0, \infty))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>(ab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>(ab^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>((a - 1)b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaults</td>
<td>SHAPE=SCALE=1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 29.5 Square Root Gamma Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR statement</th>
<th>( \text{SQGAMMA(SHAPE}=a, \text{SCALE}=b) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \frac{2}{b^a \Gamma(a)} \theta^{2a-1} e^{-\theta^2/b} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>( a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>([0, \infty))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>(\frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} \sqrt{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>(\left{ a - \left[ \frac{\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} \right]^2 \right} b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>(\sqrt{(a - \frac{1}{2})b}, \quad a \geq \frac{1}{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaults</td>
<td>SHAPE=SCALE=1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Stacy (1962) for more details.

### Table 29.6 Inverse-Gamma Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIOR statement</th>
<th>( \text{IGAMMA(SHAPE}=a, \text{SCALE}=b) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{-(a+1)} e^{-b/\theta} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>( a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(0 &lt; \theta &lt; \infty)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>(\frac{b}{a-1}, \quad a &gt; 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>(\frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2(a-2)}, \quad a &gt; 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>(\frac{b}{a+1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaults</td>
<td>SHAPE=2.000001, SCALE=1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 29.7  Square Root Inverse-Gamma Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIOR statement</td>
<td>SQIGAMMA(SHAPE=a, SCALE=b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$\frac{2b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{-2(a+1)} e^{-b/\theta^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>$a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$0 &lt; \theta &lt; \infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>$\frac{\Gamma(a-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} \sqrt{b}, \quad a &gt; \frac{1}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$\left{ \frac{1}{a-1} - \left[ \frac{\Gamma(a-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(a)} \right]^2 \right} b, \quad a &gt; 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>$\sqrt{\frac{b}{a+\frac{1}{2}}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaults</td>
<td>SHAPE=2.000001, SCALE=1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Stacy (1962) for more details.

### Table 29.8  Normal Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIOR statement</td>
<td>NORMAL(MEAN=μ, VAR=σ²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{(\theta - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$-\infty &lt; \theta &lt; \infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaults</td>
<td>MEAN=0, VAR=1000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 29.9  t Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIOR statement</td>
<td>T(LOCATION=μ, DF=ν)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\sqrt{\pi\nu}} \left[ 1 + \frac{(\theta - \mu)^2}{\nu} \right]^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>$\nu &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$-\infty &lt; \theta &lt; \infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>$\mu$, for $\nu &gt; 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>$\frac{\nu}{\nu-2}, \quad$ for $\nu &gt; 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaults</td>
<td>LOCATION=0, DF=3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 29.10  Uniform Distribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIOR statement</td>
<td>UNIFORM(MIN=m, MAX=M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{M-m} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter restriction</td>
<td>(-\infty &lt; m &lt; M &lt; \infty)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>( \theta \in [m, M] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>( \frac{m+M}{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>( \frac{(M-m)^2}{12} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>Not unique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaults</td>
<td>MIN(\rightarrow -\infty), MAX(\rightarrow \infty)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Output to SAS Data Set**

**XBeta, Predicted, Residual**

XBeta is the structural part on the right-hand side of the model. Predicted value is the predicted dependent variable value. For censored variables, if the predicted value is outside the boundaries, it is reported as the closest boundary. For discrete variables, it is the level whose boundaries Xbeta falls between. Residual is defined only for continuous variables and is defined as

\[ \text{Residual} = \text{Observed} - \text{Predicted} \]

**Error Standard Deviation**

Error standard deviation is \( \sigma_i \) in the model. It varies only when the HETERO statement is used.

**Marginal Effects**

Marginal effect is defined as a contribution of one control variable to the response variable. For the binary choice model with two response categories, \( \mu_0 = -\infty, \mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 = \infty \); and ordinal response model with \( M \) response categories, \( \mu_0, \cdots, \mu_M \), define

\[ R_{i,j} = \mu_j - x_i'\beta \]

The probability that the unobserved dependent variable is contained in the \( j \)th category can be written as

\[ P[\mu_{j-1} < y_i^\ast \leq \mu_j] = F(R_{i,j}) - F(R_{i,j-1}) \]

The marginal effect of changes in the regressors on the probability of \( y_i = j \) is then

\[ \frac{\partial \text{Prob}[y_i = j]}{\partial x} = [f(\mu_{j-1} - x_i'\beta) - f(\mu_j - x_i'\beta)]\beta \]
where \( f(x) = \frac{dF(x)}{dx} \). In particular,
\[
f(x) = \frac{dF(x)}{dx} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} & \text{probit} \\
\frac{1}{1 + e^{x}} & \text{logit}
\end{cases}
\]

The marginal effects in the Box-Cox regression model are
\[
\frac{\partial E[y_i]}{\partial x} = \beta \frac{x^\lambda - 1}{y^{\lambda 0} - 1}
\]

The marginal effects in the truncated regression model are
\[
\frac{\partial E[y_i | L_i < y_i^* < R_i]}{\partial x} = \beta \left[ 1 - \frac{(\Phi(a_i) - \phi(b_i))^2}{(\Phi(b_i) - \Phi(a_i))^2} + \frac{a_i \phi(a_i) - b_i \phi(b_i)}{\Phi(b_i) - \Phi(a_i)} \right]
\]
where \( a_i = \frac{L_i - x_i^* \beta}{\sigma_i} \) and \( b_i = \frac{R_i - x_i^* \beta}{\sigma_i} \).

The marginal effects in the censored regression model are
\[
\frac{\partial E[y | x_i]}{\partial x} = \beta \times \text{Prob}[L_i < y_i^* < R_i]
\]

### Inverse Mills Ratio, Expected and Conditionally Expected Values

Expected and conditionally expected values are computed only for continuous variables. The inverse Mills ratio is computed for censored or truncated continuous, binary discrete, and selection endogenous variables.

Let \( L_i \) and \( R_i \) be the lower boundary and upper boundary, respectively, for the \( y_i \). Define \( a_i = \frac{L_i - x_i^* \beta}{\sigma_i} \) and \( b_i = \frac{R_i - x_i^* \beta}{\sigma_i} \). Then the inverse Mills ratio is defined as
\[
\lambda = \frac{(\phi(a_i) - \phi(b_i))}{(\Phi(b_i) - \Phi(a_i))}
\]
for a continuous variable and defined as
\[
\lambda = \frac{\phi(x_i^* \beta)}{\Phi(x_i^* \beta)}
\]
for a binary discrete variable.

The expected value is the unconditional expectation of the dependent variable. For a censored variable, it is
\[
E[y_i] = \Phi(a_i) L_i + (x_i^* \beta + \lambda \sigma_i)(\Phi(b_i) - \Phi(a_i)) + (1 - \Phi(b_i)) R_i
\]

For a left-censored variable \( (R_i = \infty) \), this formula is
\[
E[y_i] = \Phi(a_i) L_i + (x_i^* \beta + \lambda \sigma_i)(1 - \Phi(a_i))
\]

where \( \lambda = \frac{\phi(a_i)}{1 - \Phi(a_i)} \).
For a right-censored variable \((L_i = -\infty)\), this formula is

\[
E[y_i] = (x_i' \beta + \lambda \sigma_i) \Phi(b_i) + (1 - \Phi(b_i)) R_i
\]

where \(\lambda = -\frac{\phi(b_i)}{\Phi(b_i)}\).

For a noncensored variable, this formula is

\[
E[y_i] = x_i' \beta
\]

The conditional expected value is the expectation given that the variable is inside the boundaries:

\[
E[y_i | L_i < y_i < R_i] = x_i' \beta + \lambda \sigma_i
\]

**Probability**

Probability applies only to discrete responses. It is the marginal probability that the discrete response is taking the value of the observation. If the PROBALL option is specified, then the probability for all of the possible responses of the discrete variables is computed.

**Technical Efficiency**

Technical efficiency for each producer is computed only for stochastic frontier models.

In general, the stochastic production frontier can be written as

\[
y_i = f(x_i; \beta) \exp\{v_i\} TE_i
\]

where \(y_i\) denotes producer \(i\)'s actual output, \(f()\) is the deterministic part of production frontier, \(\exp\{v_i\}\) is a producer-specific error term, and \(TE_i\) is the technical efficiency coefficient, which can be written as

\[
TE_i = \frac{y_i}{f(x_i; \beta) \exp\{v_i\}}
\]

In the case of a Cobb-Douglas production function, \(TE_i = \exp\{-u_i\}\). See the section “Stochastic Frontier Production and Cost Models” on page 1991.

Cost frontier can be written in general as

\[
E_i = c(y_i, w_i; \beta) \exp\{v_i\} / CE_i
\]

where \(w_i\) denotes producer \(i\)'s input prices, \(c()\) is the deterministic part of cost frontier, \(\exp\{v_i\}\) is a producer-specific error term, and \(CE_i\) is the cost efficiency coefficient, which can be written as

\[
CE_i = \frac{c(x_i, w_i; \beta) \exp\{v_i\}}{E_i}
\]

In the case of a Cobb-Douglas cost function, \(CE_i = \exp\{-u_i\}\). See the section “Stochastic Frontier Production and Cost Models” on page 1991. Hence, both technical and cost efficiency coefficients are the same. The estimates of technical efficiency are provided in the following subsections.
Normal-Half Normal Model

Define \( \mu_* = -\epsilon \sigma_u^2 / \sigma^2 \) and \( \sigma_*^2 = \sigma_u^2 \sigma_v^2 / \sigma^2 \). Then, as it is shown by Jondrow et al. (1982), conditional density is as follows:

\[
f(u|\epsilon) = \frac{f(u, \epsilon)}{f(\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_*}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(u - \mu_*)^2}{2\sigma_*^2} \right\} \left[ 1 - \Phi \left( -\frac{\mu_*}{\sigma_*} \right) \right]
\]

Hence, \( f(u|\epsilon) \) is the density for \( N^+(\mu_*, \sigma_*^2) \).

Using this result, it follows that the estimate of technical efficiency (Battese and Coelli 1988) is

\[
TE_1 = E(\exp(-u_i|\epsilon_i)) = \left[ 1 - \Phi(\sigma_* - \mu_*/\sigma_*) \right] \exp \left\{ -\mu_* + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_*^2 \right\}
\]

The second version of the estimate (Jondrow et al. 1982) is

\[
TE_2 = \exp\{-E(u_i|\epsilon_i)\}
\]

where

\[
E(u_i|\epsilon_i) = \mu_* + \sigma_* \left[ \frac{\phi(-\mu_*/\sigma_*)}{1 - \Phi(-\mu_*/\sigma_*)} \right] = \sigma_* \left[ \frac{\phi(\epsilon_i \lambda / \sigma)}{1 - \Phi(\epsilon_i \lambda / \sigma)} - \left( \frac{\epsilon_i \lambda}{\sigma} \right) \right]
\]

Normal-Exponential Model

Define \( A = -\bar{\mu}/\sigma_v \) and \( \bar{\mu} = -\epsilon \sigma_v^2 / \sigma_u \). Then, as it is shown by Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000), conditional density is as follows:

\[
f(u|\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_v} \Phi(-\bar{\mu}/\sigma_v)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(u - \bar{\mu})^2}{2\sigma_v^2} \right\}
\]

Hence, \( f(u|\epsilon) \) is the density for \( N^+(\bar{\mu}, \sigma_v^2) \).

Using this result, it follows that the estimate of technical efficiency is

\[
TE_1 = E(\exp(-u_i|\epsilon_i)) = \left[ 1 - \Phi(\sigma_v - \bar{\mu}/\sigma_v) \right] \exp \left\{ -\bar{\mu}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_v^2 \right\}
\]

The second version of the estimate is

\[
TE_2 = \exp\{-E(u_i|\epsilon_i)\}
\]

where

\[
E(u_i|\epsilon_i) = \bar{\mu}_i + \sigma_v \left[ \frac{\phi(-\bar{\mu}_i/\sigma_v)}{1 - \Phi(-\bar{\mu}_i/\sigma_v)} \right] = \sigma_v \left[ \frac{\phi(A)}{\Phi(-A)} \right]
\]

Normal-Truncated Normal Model

Define \( \bar{\mu} = (-\sigma_u^2 \epsilon_i + \mu \sigma_v^2) / \sigma^2 \) and \( \sigma_*^2 = \sigma_u^2 \sigma_v^2 / \sigma^2 \). Then, as it is shown by Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000), conditional density is as follows:

\[
f(u|\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_*}[1 - \Phi(-\bar{\mu}/\sigma_*)]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(u - \bar{\mu})^2}{2\sigma_*^2} \right\}
\]
Hence, \( f(u|\epsilon) \) is the density for \( N^+(\bar{\mu}, \sigma^2_*) \).

Using this result, it follows that the estimate of technical efficiency is

\[
TE_1 = E\{\exp(-u_i|\epsilon_i)\} = \frac{1 - \Phi(\sigma_* - \bar{\mu}_i/\sigma_*)}{1 - \Phi(-\bar{\mu}_i/\sigma_*)} \exp \left\{ -\bar{\mu}_i + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2_* \right\}
\]

The second version of the estimate is

\[
TE_2 = \exp\{-E(u_i|\epsilon_i)\}
\]

where

\[
E(u_i|\epsilon_i) = \bar{\mu}_i + \sigma_* \left[ \frac{\phi(\bar{\mu}_i/\sigma_*)}{1 - \Phi(-\bar{\mu}_i/\sigma_*)} \right]
\]

**OUTEST= Data Set**

The OUTEST= data set contains all the parameters estimated in a MODEL statement. The OUTEST= option can be used when the PROC QLIM call contains one MODEL statement:

```
proc qlim data=a outest=e;
   model y = x1 x2 x3;
   endogenous y ~ censored(lb=0);
run;
```

Each parameter contains the estimate for the corresponding parameter in the corresponding model. In addition, the OUTEST= data set contains the following variables:

- **_NAME_** the name of the independent variable
- **_TYPE_** type of observation. PARM indicates the row of coefficients; STD indicates the row of standard deviations of the corresponding coefficients.
- **_STATUS_** convergence status for optimization

The rest of the columns correspond to the explanatory variables.

The OUTEST= data set contains one observation for the MODEL statement, giving the parameter estimates for that model. If the COVOUT option is specified, the OUTEST= data set includes additional observations for the MODEL statement, giving the rows of the covariance matrix of parameter estimates. For covariance observations, the value of the _TYPE_ variable is COV, and the _NAME_ variable identifies the parameter associated with that row of the covariance matrix. If the CORROUT option is specified, the OUTEST= data set includes additional observations for the MODEL statement, giving the rows of the correlation matrix of parameter estimates. For correlation observations, the value of the _TYPE_ variable is CORR, and the _NAME_ variable identifies the parameter associated with that row of the correlation matrix.
Naming

Naming of Parameters

When there is only one equation in the estimation, parameters are named in the same way as in other SAS procedures such as REG, PROBIT, and so on. The constant in the regression equation is called Intercept. The coefficients on independent variables are named by the independent variables. The standard deviation of the errors is called _Sigma. If there are Box-Cox transformations, the coefficients are named _Lambda_i, where i increments from 1, or as specified by the user. The limits for the discrete dependent variable are named _Limit_i. If the LIMIT=varying option is specified, then _Limit_i starts from 1. If the LIMIT=varying option is not specified, then _Limit1 is set to 0 and the limit parameters start from i = 2. If the HETERO statement is included, the coefficients of the independent variables in the hetero equation are called _H.x, where x is the name of the independent variable. If the parameter name includes interaction terms, it needs to be enclosed in quotation marks followed by N. The following example restricts the parameter that includes the interaction term to be greater than zero:

```
proc qlim data=a;
  model y = x1|x2;
  endogenous y ~ discrete;
  restrict "x1*x2"N>0;
run;
```

When there are multiple equations in the estimation, the parameters in the main equation are named in the format of y.x, where y is the name of the dependent variable and x is the name of the independent variable. The standard deviation of the errors is called _Sigma.y. The correlation of the errors is called _Rho for bivariate model. For the model with three variables it is _Rho.y1.y2, _Rho.y1.y3, _Rho.y2.y3. The construction of correlation names for multivariate models is analogous. Box-Cox parameters are called _Lambda_i.y and limit variables are called _Limit_i.y. Parameters in the HETERO statement are named as _H.y.x. In the OUTEST= data set, all variables are changed from '.' to '_'.

Naming of Output Variables

The following table shows the option in the OUTPUT statement, with the corresponding variable names and their explanation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED</td>
<td>P_y</td>
<td>Predicted value of y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDUAL</td>
<td>RESID_y</td>
<td>Residual of y, (y-PredictedY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBETA</td>
<td>XBETA_y</td>
<td>Structure part (x’(\beta)) of y equation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRSTD</td>
<td>ERRSTD_y</td>
<td>Standard deviation of error term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROB</td>
<td>PROB_y</td>
<td>Probability that y is taking the observed value in this observation (discrete y only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBALL</td>
<td>PROB_i_y</td>
<td>Probability that y is taking the i-th value (discrete y only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILLS</td>
<td>MILLS_y</td>
<td>Inverse Mills ratio for y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPECTED</td>
<td>EXPECT_y</td>
<td>Unconditional expected value of y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONDITIONAL</td>
<td>CEXPCT_y</td>
<td>Conditional expected value of y, condition on the truncation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARGINAL</td>
<td>MEFF_x</td>
<td>Marginal effect of (x) on (y) with single equation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEFF_y_x</td>
<td>Marginal effect of (x) on (y) with multiple equations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEFF_P_i_x</td>
<td>Marginal effect of (x) on (y) (\frac{\partial \text{Prob}(y=i)}{\partial x}) with single equation and discrete y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEFF_P_i_y_x</td>
<td>Marginal effect of (x) on (y) (\frac{\partial \text{Prob}(y=i)}{\partial x}) with multiple equations and discrete y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE1</td>
<td>TE1</td>
<td>Technical efficiency estimate for each producer proposed by Battese and Coelli (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE2</td>
<td>TE2</td>
<td>Technical efficiency estimate for each producer proposed by Jondrow et al. (1982)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you prefer to name the output variables differently, you can use the RENAME option in the data set. For example, the following statements rename the residual of y as Resid:

```plaintext
proc qlim data=one;
    model y = x1-x10 / censored;
    output out=outds(rename=(resid_y=resid)) residual;
run;
```

**ODS Table Names**

PROC QLIM assigns a name to each table it creates. You can use these names to denote the table when using the Output Delivery System (ODS) to select tables and create output data sets. These names are listed in the Table 29.11.
### Table 29.11  ODS Tables Produced in PROC QLIM by the MODEL Statement and TEST Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODS Table Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ODS Tables Created by the MODEL Statement and TEST Statement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResponseProfile</td>
<td>Response profile</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassLevels</td>
<td>Class levels</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FitSummary</td>
<td>Summary of nonlinear estimation</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoodnessOfFit</td>
<td>Pseudo-R-square measures</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConvergenceStatus</td>
<td>Convergence status</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParameterEstimates</td>
<td>Parameter estimates</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SummaryContResponse</td>
<td>Summary of continuous response</td>
<td>default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CovB</td>
<td>Covariance of parameter estimates</td>
<td>COVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CorrB</td>
<td>Correlation of parameter estimates</td>
<td>CORRB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FitSummaryHeckman1</td>
<td>Heckman First Step Model Fit Summary</td>
<td>HECKIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FitSummaryHeckman2</td>
<td>Heckman Second Model Fit Summary</td>
<td>HECKIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinCon</td>
<td>Linear constraints</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InputOptions</td>
<td>Input options</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProblemDescription</td>
<td>Problem description</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IterStart</td>
<td>Optimization start summary</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IterHist</td>
<td>Iteration history</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IterStop</td>
<td>Optimization results</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConvergenceStatus</td>
<td>Convergence status</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParameterEstimatesStart</td>
<td>Optimization start</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParameterEstimatesResults</td>
<td>Resulting parameters</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinConSol</td>
<td>Linear constraints evaluated at solution</td>
<td>ITPRINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VariableSelection</td>
<td>Variable selection summary</td>
<td>SELECTVAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **ODS Tables Created by the TEST Statement** | | |
| TestResults | Test results | default |

| **ODS Tables Created by the BAYES Statement** | | |
| AutoMcmcSummary | Automatic MCMC summary | DIAGNOSTICS=AUTOSUM |
| AutoCorr | Autocorrelation statistics for each parameter | default |
| Corr | Correlation matrix of the posterior samples | STATS=COR |
| Cov | Covariance matrix of the posterior samples | STATS=COV |
| ESS | Effective sample size for each parameter | Default |
| MCSE | Monte Carlo standard error for each parameter | Default |
| Geweke | Geweke diagnostics for each parameter | Default |
| Heidelberger | Heidelberger-Welch diagnostics for each parameter | DIAGNOSTICS=HEIDEL |
| LogMarginLike | Marginal likelihood | MARGINLIKE |
| PostIntervals | Equal-tail and HPD intervals for each parameter | Default |
| PosteriorSample | Posterior samples | (ODS output data set only) |
| PostSummaries | Posterior summaries | default |
| PriorSample | Prior samples used for prior predictive analysis | (ODS output data set only) |
### Table 29.11  (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODS Table Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PriorSummaries</td>
<td>Prior summaries</td>
<td>STATS=PRIOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raftery</td>
<td>Raftery-Lewis diagnostics for each parameter</td>
<td>DIAGNOSTICS=RAFTER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ODS Graphics

You can reference every graph that is produced through ODS Graphics with a name. The names of the graphs that PROC QLIM generates are listed in Table 29.12 for the frequentist approach and in Table 29.13 for the Bayesian approach.

### Table 29.12  Graphs Produced by PROC QLIM without a BAYES Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODS Graph Name</th>
<th>Plot Description</th>
<th>Statement &amp; Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequentist Output Plots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResidPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of residuals</td>
<td>PLOTS=RESIDUAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XbetaPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of xbeta</td>
<td>PLOTS=XBETA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PredPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of Predictions</td>
<td>PLOTS=PREDICTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarginalPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of marginal effects</td>
<td>PLOTS=MARGINAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErrStdPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of the error standard deviation (meaningful only with a HETERO statement)</td>
<td>PLOTS=ERRSTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MillsPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of Mills ratio</td>
<td>PLOTS=MILLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExpctPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of expected values for continuous endogenous variables</td>
<td>PLOTS=EXPECTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE1Plot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of technical efficiency (only in stochastic frontier model) suggested by Battese and Coelli (1988)</td>
<td>PLOTS=TE1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE2Plot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of technical efficiency (only in stochastic frontier model) suggested by Jondrow et al. (1982)</td>
<td>PLOTS=TE2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CExpctPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of conditional expected values for continuous endogenous variables</td>
<td>PLOTS=CONDITIONAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProbPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of probability of discrete endogenous variables that take the current observed responses</td>
<td>PLOTS=PROB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProbAllPlot</td>
<td>Frequentist analysis of probability of discrete endogenous variables for all responses</td>
<td>PLOTS=PROBALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProfLikPlot</td>
<td>Profile log-likelihood plot</td>
<td>PLOTS=PROFLIK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 29.13  Graphs Produced by PROC QLIM When a BAYES Statement Is Included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODS Graph Name</th>
<th>Plot Description</th>
<th>Statement and Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bayesian Diagnostic Plots</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADPanel</td>
<td>Autocorrelation function and density panel</td>
<td>PLOTS=(AUTOCORR DENSITY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AutocorrPanel</td>
<td>Autocorrelation function panel</td>
<td>PLOTS=AUTOCORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AutocorrPlot</td>
<td>Autocorrelation function plot</td>
<td>PLOTS(UNPACK)=AUTOCORR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DensityPanel</td>
<td>Density panel</td>
<td>PLOTS=DENSITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DensityPlot</td>
<td>Density plot</td>
<td>PLOTS(UNPACK)=DENSITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProfLikPlot</td>
<td>Profile log-likelihood plot</td>
<td>PLOTS=PROFLIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPanel</td>
<td>Trace and autocorrelation function panel</td>
<td>PLOTS=(TRACE AUTOCORR DENSITY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TADPanel</td>
<td>Trace, density, and autocorrelation function</td>
<td>PLOTS=(TRACE AUTOCORR DENSITY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDPanel</td>
<td>Trace and density panel</td>
<td>PLOTS=(TRACE DENSITY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TracePanel</td>
<td>Trace panel</td>
<td>PLOTS=TRACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TracePlot</td>
<td>Trace plot</td>
<td>PLOTS(UNPACK)=TRACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bayesian Summary Plots</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BayesSumPlot</td>
<td>Prior/posterior densities and MLE</td>
<td>PLOTS=BAYESSUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bayesian Output Plots</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PredictiveByObsNumPlot</td>
<td>Predictive analysis by observation number</td>
<td>PLOTS(PRIOR)=BAYESPRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PredictivePlot</td>
<td>Predictive analysis by regressor</td>
<td>PLOTS(PRIOR)=BAYESPRED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples: QLIM Procedure**

**Example 29.1: Ordered Data Modeling**

Cameron and Trivedi (1986, 1998) studied the number of doctor visits from the Australian Health Survey 1977-78. In the following data set, the dependent variable, DVISITS, contains the number of doctor visits in the past 2 weeks (0, 1, or more than 2). The explanatory variables are: SEX indicates if the patient is female; AGE is the age in years divided by 100; INCOME is the annual income ($10,000); LEVYPLUS indicates if the patient has private health insurance; FREEPOOR indicates free government health insurance due to low income; FREEREPA indicates free government health insurance for other reasons; ILLNESS is the number of illnesses in the past 2 weeks; ACTDAYS is the number of days the illness caused reduced activity; HSCORE is a questionnaire score; CHCOND1 indicates a chronic condition that does not limit activity; and CHCOND2 indicates a chronic condition that limits activity.
Example 29.1: Ordered Data Modeling

data docvisit;
    input sex age agesq income levyplus freepoor freerepa illness actdays hscore chcond1 chcond2 dvisits;
    y = (dvisits > 0);
    if ( dvisits > 8 ) then dvisits = 8;
datalines;
1 0.19 0.0361 0.55 1 0 0 1 4 1 0 0 1
1 0.19 0.0361 0.45 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 1
... more lines ...
1 0.37 0.1369 0.25 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0
1 0.52 0.2704 0.65 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0.72 0.5184 0.25 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
;

The dependent variable, dvisits, has nine ordered values. The following SAS statements estimate the ordinal probit model:

```sas
/*-- Ordered Discrete Responses --*/
proc qlim data=docvisit;
    model dvisits = sex age agesq income levyplus freepoor freerepa illness actdays hscore chcond1 chcond2 / discrete;
run;
```

The output of the QLIM procedure for ordered data modeling is shown in Output 29.1.1.

**Output 29.1.1** Ordered Data Modeling

**Binary Data**

The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discrete Response Profile of dvisits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Output 29.1.1 continued

Model Fit Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
<td>dvisits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
<td>5190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
<td>-3138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
<td>0.0003675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
<td>Quasi-Newton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>6316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
<td>6447</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goodness-of-Fit Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio (R)</td>
<td>789.73</td>
<td>2 * (LogL - LogL0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Bound of R (U)</td>
<td>7065.9</td>
<td>- 2 * LogL0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldrich-Nelson</td>
<td>0.13211</td>
<td>R / (R+N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cragg-Uhler 1</td>
<td>0.1412</td>
<td>1 - exp(-R/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cragg-Uhler 2</td>
<td>0.1898</td>
<td>(1-exp(-R/N)) / (1-exp(-U/N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrella</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>1 - (1-R/U)*(U/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Estrella</td>
<td>0.1416</td>
<td>1 - ((LogL-K)/LogL0)^(-2<em>N</em>LogL0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFadden's LRI</td>
<td>0.1118</td>
<td>R / U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veall-Zimmermann</td>
<td>0.2291</td>
<td>(R * (U+N)) / (U * (R+N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKelvey-Zavoina</td>
<td>0.2036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = # of observations, K = # of regressors

Parameter Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt;</th>
<th>t</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.378705</td>
<td>0.147413</td>
<td>-9.35</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.131885</td>
<td>0.043785</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.534190</td>
<td>0.815907</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.5126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agesq</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.857308</td>
<td>0.898364</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.3399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>income</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.062211</td>
<td>0.068017</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>0.3604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>levyplus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.137030</td>
<td>0.053262</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freepoor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.346045</td>
<td>0.129638</td>
<td>-2.67</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freerepa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.178382</td>
<td>0.074348</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>illness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.150485</td>
<td>0.015747</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actdays</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.100575</td>
<td>0.005850</td>
<td>17.19</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hscore</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.031862</td>
<td>0.009201</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chcond1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.061601</td>
<td>0.049024</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.2089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chcond2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.135321</td>
<td>0.067711</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.0457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Limit2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.938884</td>
<td>0.031219</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Limit3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.514288</td>
<td>0.049329</td>
<td>30.70</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Limit4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.711660</td>
<td>0.058151</td>
<td>29.43</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Limit5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.952860</td>
<td>0.072014</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Limit6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.087422</td>
<td>0.081655</td>
<td>25.56</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Limit7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.333786</td>
<td>0.101760</td>
<td>22.93</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Limit8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.789796</td>
<td>0.156189</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By default, ordinal probit/logit models are estimated assuming that the first threshold or limit parameter ($\mu_1$) is 0. However, this parameter can also be estimated when the LIMIT1=VARYING option is specified. The probability that $y^*_i$ belongs to the \( j \)th category is defined as

\[
P[\mu_{j-1} < y^*_i < \mu_j] = F(\mu_j - x_i' \beta) - F(\mu_{j-1} - x_i' \beta)
\]

where $F(\cdot)$ is the logistic or standard normal CDF, $\mu_0 = -\infty$ and $\mu_\infty = \infty$. Output 29.1.2 lists ordinal probit estimates computed in the following program. Note that the intercept term is suppressed for model identification when $\mu_1$ is estimated.

```plaintext
/*-- Ordered Probit --*/
proc qlim data=docvisit;
  model dvisits = sex age agesq income levyplus freepoor freerepa illness actdays hscore chcond1 chcond2 / discrete(d=normal) limit1=varying;
run;
```

**Output 29.1.2** Ordinal Probit Parameter Estimates with LIMIT1=VARYING

### Binary Data

The QLIM Procedure

| Parameter | DF | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|-----------|----|----------|----------------|---------|-------------|---|
| sex       | 1  | 0.131885 | 0.043785       | 3.01    | 0.0026      |
| age       | 1  | -0.534181| 0.815915       | -0.65   | 0.5127      |
| agesq     | 1  | 0.857298 | 0.898371       | 0.95    | 0.3399      |
| income    | 1  | -0.062211| 0.068017       | -0.91   | 0.3604      |
| levyplus  | 1  | 0.137031 | 0.053262       | 2.57    | 0.0101      |
| freepoor  | 1  | -0.346045| 0.129638       | -2.67   | 0.0076      |
| freerepa  | 1  | 0.178382 | 0.074348       | 2.40    | 0.0164      |
| illness   | 1  | 0.150485 | 0.015747       | 9.56    | <.0001      |
| actdays   | 1  | 0.100575 | 0.005850       | 17.19   | <.0001      |
| hscore    | 1  | 0.031862 | 0.009201       | 3.46    | 0.0005      |
| chcond1   | 1  | 0.061602 | 0.049024       | 1.26    | 0.2089      |
| chcond2   | 1  | 0.135322 | 0.067711       | 2.00    | 0.0457      |
| _Limit1   | 1  | 1.378706 | 0.147415       | 9.35    | <.0001      |
| _Limit2   | 1  | 2.317590 | 0.150206       | 15.43   | <.0001      |
| _Limit3   | 1  | 2.892994 | 0.155198       | 18.64   | <.0001      |
| _Limit4   | 1  | 3.090367 | 0.158263       | 19.53   | <.0001      |
| _Limit5   | 1  | 3.331566 | 0.164065       | 20.31   | <.0001      |
| _Limit6   | 1  | 3.466128 | 0.168799       | 20.53   | <.0001      |
| _Limit7   | 1  | 3.712493 | 0.179756       | 20.65   | <.0001      |
| _Limit8   | 1  | 4.168502 | 0.215738       | 19.32   | <.0001      |

---

**Example 29.2: Tobit Analysis**

The following statements show a subset of the Mroz (1987) data set. In these data, Hours is the number of hours the wife worked outside the household in a given year, Yrs_Ed is the years of education, and Yrs_Exp
is the years of work experience. A Tobit model will be fit to the hours worked with years of education and experience as covariates.

By the nature of the data it is clear that there are a number of women who committed some positive number of hours to outside work \((y_i > 0)\) is observed). There are also a number of women who did not work at all \((y_i = 0)\) is observed). This gives us the following model:

\[
y_i^* = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i
\]

\[
y_i = \begin{cases} y_i^* & \text{if } y_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y_i^* \leq 0 \end{cases}
\]

where \(\epsilon_i \sim iid N(0, \sigma^2)\). The set of explanatory variables is denoted by \(x_i\).

```sas
title1 'Estimating a Tobit model';

data subset;
  input Hours Yrs_Ed Yrs_Exp @@;
  if Hours eq 0 then Lower=.;
  else Lower=Hours;
  datalines;
  0 8 9 0 8 12 0 9 10 0 10 15 0 11 4 0 11 6
  1000 12 1 1960 12 29 0 13 3 2100 13 36
  3686 14 11 1920 14 38 0 15 14 1728 16 3
  1568 16 19 1316 17 7 0 17 15
;

/ *** Tobit Model ***/
  proc qlim data=subset;
    model hours = yrs_ed yrs_exp;
    endogenous hours ~ censored(lb=0);
  run;
```

The output of the QLIM procedure is shown in **Output 29.2.1**.

**Output 29.2.1** Tobit Analysis Results

**Estimating a Tobit model**

**The QLIM Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 29.3: Bivariate Probit Analysis

In the “Parameter Estimates” table there are four rows. The first three of these rows correspond to the vector estimate of the regression coefficients \( \beta \). The last one is called _Sigma, which corresponds to the estimate of the error variance \( \sigma \).

Example 29.3: Bivariate Probit Analysis

This example shows how to estimate a bivariate probit model. Note the INIT statement in the following program, which sets the initial values for some parameters in the optimization:

```sas
data a;
  keep y1 y2 x1 x2;
  do i = 1 to 500;
    x1 = rannor( 19283 );
    x2 = rannor( 19283 );
    u1 = rannor( 19283 );
    u2 = rannor( 19283 );
    y1l = 1 + 2 * x1 + 3 * x2 + u1;
    y2l = 3 + 4 * x1 - 2 * x2 + u1*.2 + u2;
    if ( y1l > 0 ) then y1 = 1;
    else
      y1 = 0;
    if ( y2l > 0 ) then y2 = 1;
    else
      y2 = 0;
    output;
  end;
run;
```

```sas
/**** Bivariate Probit ---*/
proc qlim data=a method=qn;
  init y1.x1 2.8, y1.x2 2.1, _rho .1;
  model y1 = x1 x2;
  model y2 = x1 x2;
  endogenous y1 y2 ~ discrete;
run;
```

The output of the QLIM procedure is shown in Output 29.3.1.
Chapter 29: The QLIM Procedure

Output 29.3.1  Bivariate Probit Analysis Results

Estimating a Tobit model

The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y1.Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y1.x1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y1.x2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2.Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2.x1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2.x2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rho</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 29.4: Sample Selection Model

This example illustrates the use of PROC QLIM for sample selection models. The data set is the same one from Mroz (1987). The goal is to estimate a wage offer function for married women, accounting for potential selection bias. Of the 753 women, the wage is observed for 428 working women. The labor force participation equation estimated in the introductory example is used for selection. The wage equation uses log wage (lwage) as the dependent variable. The explanatory variables in the wage equation are the woman’s years of schooling (educ), wife’s labor experience (exper), and square of experience (expersq). The program is as follows:

```sas
/*-- Sample Selection --*/
proc qlim data=mroz;
    model inlf = nwifeinc educ exper expersq
              age kidslt6 kidsge6 / discrete;
    model lwage = educ exper expersq / select(inlf=1);
run;
```

The output of the QLIM procedure is shown in Output 29.4.1.
**Output 29.4.1  Sample Selection**

**Binary Data**

The QLIM Procedure

**Model Fit Summary**

| Parameter                | DF | Estimate  | Standard Error | t Value | Pr > |<|t| |
|--------------------------|----|-----------|----------------|---------|------|---|---|
| lwage.Intercept         | 1  | -0.552716 | 0.260371       | -2.12   | 0.0338|
| lwage.educ              | 1  | 0.108351  | 0.014861       | 7.29    | <.0001|
| lwage.exper             | 1  | 0.042837  | 0.014878       | 2.88    | 0.0040|
| lwage.expersq           | 1  | -0.000837 | 0.000417       | -2.01   | 0.0449|
| _Sigma.lwage            | 1  | 0.663397  | 0.022706       | 29.22   | <.0001|
| inf.Intercept           | 1  | 0.266459  | 0.508954       | 0.52    | 0.6006|
| inf.nwfeinc             | 1  | -0.012132 | 0.004877       | -2.49   | 0.0129|
| inf.educ                | 1  | 0.131341  | 0.025383       | 5.17    | <.0001|
| inf.exper               | 1  | 0.123282  | 0.018728       | 6.58    | <.0001|
| inf.expersq             | 1  | -0.001886 | 0.000601       | -3.14   | 0.0017|
| inf.age                 | 1  | -0.052829 | 0.008479       | -6.23   | <.0001|
| inf.kids0f6             | 1  | -0.867398 | 0.118647       | -7.31   | <.0001|
| inf.kidsge6             | 1  | 0.035872  | 0.043476       | 0.83    | 0.4093|
| _Rho                    | 1  | 0.026617  | 0.147073       | 0.18    | 0.8564|

Note the correlation estimate is insignificant. This indicates that selection bias is not a big problem in the estimation of wage equation.

**Example 29.5: Sample Selection Model with Truncation and Censoring**

In this example the data are generated such that the selection variable is discrete and the variable Y is truncated from below by zero. The program follows, with the results shown in Output 29.5.1:

```plaintext
data trunc;
  keep z y x1 x2;
do i = 1 to 500;
x1 = rannor( 19283 );
x2 = rannor( 19283 );
u1 = rannor( 19283 );
u2 = rannor( 19283 );
```

```plaintext
data trunc;
  keep z y x1 x2;
do i = 1 to 500;
x1 = rannor( 19283 );
x2 = rannor( 19283 );
u1 = rannor( 19283 );
u2 = rannor( 19283 );
```
\[ z_l = 1 + 2 \times x_1 + 3 \times x_2 + u_1; \]
\[ y = 3 + 4 \times x_1 - 2 \times x_2 + u_1 \times 0.2 + u_2; \]
if ( \( z_l > 0 \) ) then \( z = 1 \);
else \( z = 0 \);
if \( y \geq 0 \) then output;
end;
run;

/\*-- Sample Selection with Truncation \*/
proc qlim data=trunc method=qn;
model z = x1 x2 / discrete;
model y = x1 x2 / select(z=1) truncated(lb=0);
run;

**Output 29.5.1** Sample Selection with Truncation

**Binary Data**

The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Parameter Estimates | DF   | Estimate    | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|---------------------|------|-------------|----------------|---------|-------------|---|
| y.Intercept        | 1    | 3.014158    | 0.128548       | 23.45   | <.0001      |
| y.x1                | 1    | 3.995671    | 0.099599       | 40.12   | <.0001      |
| y.x2                | 1    | -1.972697   | 0.096385       | -20.47  | <.0001      |
| _Sigma_y           | 1    | 0.923428    | 0.047233       | 19.55   | <.0001      |
| z.Intercept        | 1    | 0.949444    | 0.190265       | 4.99    | <.0001      |
| z.x1                | 1    | 2.163928    | 0.288384       | 7.50    | <.0001      |
| z.x2                | 1    | 3.134213    | 0.379251       | 8.26    | <.0001      |
| _Rho               | 1    | 0.494356    | 0.176542       | 2.80    | 0.0051      |

In the following statements the data are generated such that the selection variable is discrete and the variable \( Y \) is censored from below by zero. The results are shown in Output 29.5.2.

```plaintext
data cens;
    keep z y x1 x2;
    do i = 1 to 500;
        x1 = rannor( 19283 );
        x2 = rannor( 19283 );
        u1 = rannor( 19283 );
        u2 = rannor( 19283 );
        zl = 1 + 2 \times x1 + 3 \times x2 + u1;
        yl = 3 + 4 \times x1 - 2 \times x2 + u1 \times 0.2 + u2;
        if ( zl > 0 ) then z = 1;
    end;
run;
```
else z = 0;
if (yl > 0) then y = yl;
else y = 0;
output;
end;
run;

/*--- Sample Selection with Censoring ---*/
proc qlim data=cens method=qn;
model z = x1 x2 / discrete;
model y = x1 x2 / select(z=1) censored(lb=0);
run;

Output 29.5.2  Sample Selection with Censoring

Binary Data

The QLIM Procedure

Model Fit Summary

| Parameter                  | DF | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|----------------------------|----|----------|----------------|---------|-------------|---|
| _Sigma_y                   | 1  | 0.920860 | 0.043278       | 21.28   | <.0001      |
| y.Intercept                | 1  | 3.074276 | 0.111617       | 27.54   | <.0001      |
| y.x1                       | 1  | 3.963619 | 0.085796       | 46.20   | <.0001      |
| y.x2                       | 1  | -2.023548| 0.088714       | -22.81  | <.0001      |
| z.Intercept                | 1  | 1.013610 | 0.154081       | 6.58    | <.0001      |
| z.x1                       | 1  | 2.256922 | 0.255999       | 8.82    | <.0001      |
| z.x2                       | 1  | 3.302692 | 0.342168       | 9.65    | <.0001      |
| _Rho                       | 1  | 0.350776 | 0.197093       | 1.78    | 0.0751      |

Example 29.6: Types of Tobit Models

The following five examples show how to estimate different types of Tobit models (see “Types of Tobit Models” on page 1989). Output 29.6.1 through Output 29.6.5 show the results of the corresponding programs.

Type 1 Tobit

data a1;
    keep y x;
    do i = 1 to 500;
        x = rannor( 19283 );
    end;
    if ( y > 0 ) then y = x + rannor( 19283 );
    else y = x;
    output;
end;
run;

Output 29.5.1  Sample Selection with Censoring

Binary Data

The QLIM Procedure

Model Fit Summary

| Parameter                  | DF | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|----------------------------|----|----------|----------------|---------|-------------|---|
| _Sigma_y                   | 1  | 0.920860 | 0.043278       | 21.28   | <.0001      |
| y.Intercept                | 1  | 3.074276 | 0.111617       | 27.54   | <.0001      |
| y.x1                       | 1  | 3.963619 | 0.085796       | 46.20   | <.0001      |
| y.x2                       | 1  | -2.023548| 0.088714       | -22.81  | <.0001      |
| z.Intercept                | 1  | 1.013610 | 0.154081       | 6.58    | <.0001      |
| z.x1                       | 1  | 2.256922 | 0.255999       | 8.82    | <.0001      |
| z.x2                       | 1  | 3.302692 | 0.342168       | 9.65    | <.0001      |
| _Rho                       | 1  | 0.350776 | 0.197093       | 1.78    | 0.0751      |
u = ranor( 19283 );
yl = 1 + 2 * x + u;
if ( yl > 0 ) then y = yl;
else y = 0;
output;
end;
run;

/** Type 1 Tobit */
proc qlim data=a1 method=qn;
model y = x;
endogenous y ~ censored(lb=0);
run;

Output 29.6.1 Type 1 Tobit

Binary Data

The QLIM Procedure

Table: Model Fit Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
<td>-554.17696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
<td>4.65556E-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
<td>Quasi-Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>1114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
<td>1127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Parameter Estimates

| Parameter | DF | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|-----------|----|----------|----------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| Intercept | 1  | 0.942734 | 0.056784       | 16.60   | <.0001      |        |
| x         | 1  | 2.049571 | 0.066979       | 30.60   | <.0001      |        |
| _Sigma    | 1  | 1.016571 | 0.039035       | 26.04   | <.0001      |        |

Type 2 Tobit

data a2;
keep y1 y2 x1 x2;
do i = 1 to 500;
x1 = ranor( 19283 );
x2 = ranor( 19283 );
u1 = ranor( 19283 );
u2 = ranor( 19283 );
y1l = 1 + 2 * x1 + 3 * x2 + u1;
y2l = 3 + 4 * x1 - 2 * x2 + u1*.2 + u2;
if ( y1l > 0 ) then y1 = 1;
else y1 = 0;
if ( y1l > 0 ) then y2 = y2l;
else y2 = 0;
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Example 29.6.2 Type 2 Tobit

Binary Data

The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Parameter      | DF  | Estimate  | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|----------------|-----|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------|---|
| y2.Intercept   | 1   | 3.066992  | 0.106903       | 28.69   | .0001       |
| y2.x1          | 1   | 4.004874  | 0.072043       | 55.59   | .0001       |
| y2.x2          | 1   | -2.079352 | 0.087544       | -23.75  | .0001       |
| _Sigma.y2      | 1   | 0.940559  | 0.039321       | 23.92   | .0001       |
| y1.Intercept   | 1   | 1.017140  | 0.154975       | 6.56    | .0001       |
| y1.x1          | 1   | 2.253080  | 0.256097       | 8.80    | .0001       |
| y1.x2          | 1   | 3.305140  | 0.343695       | 9.62    | .0001       |
| _Rho           | 1   | 0.292992  | 0.210073       | 1.39    | 0.1631      |

Type 3 Tobit

data a3;
keep y1 y2 x1 x2;
do i = 1 to 500;
x1 = rannor( 19283 );
x2 = rannor( 19283 );
u1 = rannor( 19283 );
u2 = rannor( 19283 );
y1l = 1 + 2 * x1 + 3 * x2 + u1;
y2l = 3 + 4 * x1 - 2 * x2 + u1*.2 + u2;
if ( y1l > 0 ) then y1 = y1l;
else y1 = 0;
if ( y1l > 0 ) then y2 = y2l;
else
    y2 = 0;
output;
end;
run;

/** Type 3 Tobit --*/
proc qlim data=a3 method=qn;
    model y1 = x1 x2 / censored(lb=0);
    model y2 = x1 x2 / select(y1>0);
run;

Output 29.6.3 Type 3 Tobit

Binary Data

The QLIM Procedure

Model Fit Summary

| Parameter                  | DF | Estimate  | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|----------------------------|----|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------|  |
| Number of Endogenous Variables | 2  |           |                |         |             |  |
| Endogenous Variable        | y1 y2|          |                |         |             |  |
| Number of Observations     | 500 |           |                |         |             |  |
| Log Likelihood             | -838.94087 |          |                |         |             |  |
| Maximum Absolute Gradient  | 9.71691E-6 |          |                |         |             |  |
| Number of Iterations       | 16  |           |                |         |             |  |
| Optimization Method        | Quasi-Newton |         |                |         |             |  |
| AIC                        | 1696 |           |                |         |             |  |
| Schwarz Criterion          | 1734 |           |                |         |             |  |

Parameter Estimates

| Parameter                  | DF | Estimate  | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|----------------------------|----|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------|  |
| y2.Intercept              | 1  | 3.081206  | 0.080121       | 38.46   | <.0001      |  |
| y2.x1                      | 1  | 3.998361  | 0.063734       | 62.73   | <.0001      |  |
| y2.x2                      | 1  | -2.088280 | 0.072876       | -28.66  | <.0001      |  |
| _Sigma.y2                 | 1  | 0.939799  | 0.039047       | 24.07   | <.0001      |  |
| y1.Intercept              | 1  | 0.981975  | 0.067351       | 14.58   | <.0001      |  |
| y1.x1                      | 1  | 2.032675  | 0.059363       | 34.24   | <.0001      |  |
| y1.x2                      | 1  | 2.976609  | 0.065584       | 45.39   | <.0001      |  |
| _Sigma.y1                 | 1  | 0.969968  | 0.039795       | 24.37   | <.0001      |  |
| _Rho                      | 1  | 0.226281  | 0.057672       | 3.92    | <.0001      |  |

Type 4 Tobit

data a4;
    keep y1 y2 y3 x1 x2;
    do i = 1 to 500;
        x1 = rannor( 19283 );
        x2 = rannor( 19283 );
        u1 = rannor( 19283 );
        u2 = rannor( 19283 );
        u3 = rannor( 19283 );
        y1l = 1 + 2 * x1 + 3 * x2 + u1;
        y2l = 3 + 4 * x1 - 2 * x2 + u1*.2 + u2;
        y3l = 0 - 1 * x1 + 1 * x2 + u1*.1 - u2*.5 + u3*.5;
        if ( y1l > 0 ) then y1 = y1l;
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```plaintext
else
    y1 = 0;
if ( y1l > 0 ) then y2 = y2l;
else
    y2 = 0;
if ( y1l <= 0 ) then y3 = y3l;
else
    y3 = 0;
output;
end;
run;

/*--- Type 4 Tobit ---*/
proc qlim data=a4 method=qn;
    model y1 = x1 x2 / censored(lb=0);
    model y2 = x1 x2 / select(y1>0);
    model y3 = x1 x2 / select(y1<=0);
run;
```

Output 29.6.4 Type 4 Tobit

Binary Data

The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2.Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2.x1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2.x2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma.y2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3.Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3.x1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y3.x2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma.y3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y1.Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y1.x1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y1.x2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma.y1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Rho.y1.y2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Rho.y1.y3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Type 5 Tobit**

data a5;
    keep y1 y2 y3 x1 x2;
    do i = 1 to 500;
        x1 = rannor( 19283 );
        x2 = rannor( 19283 );
        u1 = rannor( 19283 );
        u2 = rannor( 19283 );
        u3 = rannor( 19283 );
        y1l = 1 + 2 * x1 + 3 * x2 + u1;
        y2l = 3 + 4 * x1 - 2 * x2 + u1*.2 + u2;
        y3l = 0 - 1 * x1 + 1 * x2 + u1*.1 - u2*.5 + u3*.5;
        if ( y1l > 0 ) then y1 = 1;
        else y1 = 0;
        if ( y1l > 0 ) then y2 = y2l;
        else y2 = 0;
        if ( y1l <= 0 ) then y3 = y3l;
        else y3 = 0;
    output;
end;
run;

/**** Type 5 Tobit ****/
proc qlim data=a5 method=qn;
    model y1 = x1 x2 / discrete;
    model y2 = x1 x2 / select(y1>0);
    model y3 = x1 x2 / select(y1<=0);
run;

**Output 29.6.5** Type 5 Tobit

**Binary Data**

**The QLIM Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 29.7: Stochastic Frontier Models

This example illustrates the estimation of stochastic frontier production and cost models.

First, a production function model is estimated. The data for this example were collected by Christensen Associates; they represent a sample of 125 observations on inputs and output for 10 airlines between 1970 and 1984. The explanatory variables (inputs) are fuel (LF), materials (LM), equipment (LE), labor (LL), and property (LP), and (LQ) is an index that represents passengers, charter, mail, and freight transported.

The following statements create the dataset:

```plaintext
   title1 'Stochastic Frontier Production Model';
   data airlines;
       input TS FIRM NI LQ LF LM LE LL LP;
   datalines;
   ... more lines ...
```

The following statements estimate a stochastic frontier exponential production model that uses Christensen Associates data:

```plaintext
   /*-- Stochastic Frontier Production Model --*/
   proc qlim data=airlines;
       model LQ=LF LM LE LL LP;
       endogenous LQ ~ frontier (type=exponential production);
   run;
```

Figure 29.7.1 shows the results from this production model.
Similarly, the stochastic frontier production function can be estimated with (type=half) or (type=truncated) options that represent half-normal and truncated normal production models.

In the next step, stochastic frontier cost function is estimated. The data for the cost model are provided by Christensen and Greene (1976). The data describe costs and production inputs of 145 U.S. electricity producers in 1955. The model being estimated follows the nonhomogeneous version of the Cobb-Douglas cost function:

\[
\log\left( \frac{\text{Cost}}{\text{FPrice}} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log\left( \frac{\text{KPrice}}{\text{FPrice}} \right) + \beta_2 \log\left( \frac{\text{LPrice}}{\text{FPrice}} \right) + \beta_3 \log(\text{Output}) + \beta_4 \frac{1}{2} \log(\text{Output})^2 + \epsilon
\]

All dollar values are normalized by fuel price. The quadratic log of the output is added to capture nonlinearities due to scale effects in cost functions. New variables, log_C_PF, log_PK_PF, log_PL_PF, log_y, and log_y_sq, are created to reflect transformations. The following statements create the data set and transformed variables:
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Example 29.7: Stochastic Frontier Models

```r
title1 'Stochastic Frontier Cost Model';
data electricity;
   input Firm Year Cost Output LPrice LShare KPrice KShare FPrice FShare;
datalines;
1 1955 .0820 2.0 2.090 .3164 183.000 .4521 17.9000 .2315
2 1955 .6610 3.0 2.050 .2073 174.000 .6676 35.1000 .1251
3 1955 .9900 4.0 2.050 .2349 171.000 .5799 35.1000 .1852
4 1955 .3150 4.0 1.830 .1152 166.000 .7857 32.2000 .0990
... more lines ...

/* Data transformations */
data electricity;
   set electricity;
   label Firm="firm index"
     Year="1955 for all observations"
     Cost="Total cost"
     Output="Total output"
     LPrice="Wage rate"
     LShare="Cost share for labor"
     KPrice="Capital price index"
     KShare="Cost share for capital"
     FPrice="Fuel price"
     FShare="Cost share for fuel";
   log_C_PF=log(Cost/FPrice);
   log_PK_PF=log(KPrice/FPrice);
   log_PL_PF=log(LPrice/FPrice);
   log_y=log(Output);
   log_y_sq=log_y**2/2;
run;
```

The following statements estimate a stochastic frontier exponential cost model that uses Christensen and Greene (1976) data:

```r
/--- Stochastic Frontier Cost Model ---*/
proc qlim data=electricity;
   model log_C_PF = log_PK_PF log_PL_PF log_y log_y_sq;
   endogenous log_C_PF ~ frontier (type=exponential cost);
run;
```

Output 29.7.2 shows the results.
### Output 29.7.2 Exponential Distribution

**Stochastic Frontier Cost Model**

**The QLIM Procedure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_PK_PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_PL_PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_y_sq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma_v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma_u</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, the stochastic frontier cost model can be estimated with (type=half) or (type=truncated) options that represent half-normal and truncated normal errors.

The following statements illustrate the half-normal option:

```plaintext
/*--- Stochastic Frontier Cost Model ---*/
proc qlim data=electricity;
   model log_C_PF = log_PK_PF log_PL_PF log_y log_y_sq;
   endogenous log_C_PF ~ frontier (type=half cost);
run;
```

Output 29.7.3 shows the result.
Output 29.7.3  Half-Normal Distribution

Stochastic Frontier Cost Model

The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_PK_PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_PL_PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_y_sq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma_v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma_u</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following statements illustrate the truncated normal option:

```plaintext
/*--- Stochastic Frontier Cost Model ---*/
proc qlim data=electricity;
   model log_C_PF = log_PK_PF log_PL_PF log_y log_y_sq;
   endogenous log_C_PF ~ frontier (type=truncated cost);
run;
```

Output 29.7.4 shows the results.
Output 29.7.4 Truncated Normal Distribution

Stochastic Frontier Cost Model

The QLIM Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Fit Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Endogenous Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Absolute Gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz Criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_PK_PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_PL_PF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log_y_sq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma_v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma_u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Mu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If no (Production) or (Cost) option is specified, the stochastic frontier production model is estimated by default.

Example 29.8: Bayesian Modeling

This example illustrates how to use the QLIM procedure to perform Bayesian analysis. The generated data mimic a hypothetical scenario in which you study the number of tickets sold for a sports event given the probability of the hosting team winning and the price of the tickets. The following statements create the dataset:

```r
title1 'Bayesian Analysis';
ods graphics on;

data test;
  do i=1 to 200;
    e1 = rannor(8726)*2000;
    WinChance = ranuni(8772);
  end;
```

If no (Production) or (Cost) option is specified, the stochastic frontier production model is estimated by default.
Example 29.8: Bayesian Modeling

```
Price = 10+ranexp(8773)*4;
y = 48000 + 5000*WinChance - 100 * price + e1;
if y>50000 then TicketSales = 50000;
if y<=50000 then TicketSales = y;
output;
end;
keep WinChance price y TicketSales;
run;
```

The following statements perform Bayesian analysis of a Tobit model:

```
proc qlim data=test plots(prior)=all;
model TicketSales = WinChance price;
endogenous TicketSales ~ censored(lb=0 ub= 50000);
prior intercept~normal(mean=48000);
prior WinChance~normal(mean=5000);
prior Price~normal(mean=-100);
bayes NBI=10000 NMC=30000 THIN=1 ntrds=1 DIAG=ALL STATS=ALL seed=2;
run;
```

Output 29.8.1 shows the results from the maximum likelihood estimation and the Bayesian analysis with diffuse prior of this Tobit model.

### Output 29.8.1 Bayesian Tobit Model

#### Bayesian Analysis

The QLIM Procedure

| Parameter  | DF | Estimate  | Standard Error | t Value | Approx Pr > |t| |
|------------|----|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| Intercept  | 1  | 48119     | 623.565045     | 77.17   | <.0001      |                |
| WinChance  | 1  | 5242.083501 | 559.151222     | 9.38    | <.0001      |                |
| Price      | 1  | -106.731665 | 40.660795      | -2.62   | 0.0087      |                |
| _Sigma     | 1  | 1939.607206 | 134.348772     | 14.44   | <.0001      |                |

#### Posterior Summaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>75%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>48109.4</td>
<td>535.00</td>
<td>47750.5</td>
<td>48102.6</td>
<td>48460.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WinChance</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>5212.9</td>
<td>483.4</td>
<td>4878.8</td>
<td>5205.2</td>
<td>5533.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>-104.7</td>
<td>36.5224</td>
<td>-128.6</td>
<td>-104.2</td>
<td>-79.4191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_Sigma</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>1950.9</td>
<td>132.9</td>
<td>1858.4</td>
<td>1945.0</td>
<td>2034.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Output 29.8.2 depicts a graphical representation of MLE, prior, and posterior distributions.

**Output 29.8.2** Predictive Analysis by Observation Number

---

**ML Asymptotic Distribution**

**Posterior Distribution for Intercept**

---

**ML Asymptotic Distribution**

**Posterior Distribution for WinChance**
Output 29.8.2 continued

ML Asymptotic Distribution
Posterior Distribution for Price

ML Asymptotic Distribution
Posterior Distribution for _Sigma
The validity of the MCMC sampling phase can be monitored with Output 29.8.3.

**Output 29.8.3** Predictive Analysis by Observation Number

**Diagnostics for Intercept**

**Diagnostics for WinChance**
Output 29.8.3 continued
Finally the prior and the posterior predictive analyses are represented in Output 29.8.4.

**Output 29.8.4**  Predictive Analysis by Observation Number

![95% Predictive Interval for TicketSales](image)
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